This legislative session, lawmakers in 21 states introduced bills to deny gender-affirming medical care to transgender youth.¹ To date, one state, Arkansas,² has passed legislation denying gender-affirming care for youth and nine other states are still considering these bills: Alabama,³ Florida,⁴ Louisiana,⁵ Missouri,⁶ Montana,⁷ North Carolina,⁸ South Carolina,⁹ Tennessee,¹⁰ and Texas.¹¹ Access to gender-affirming care is in jeopardy for an estimated 45,100 transgender youth across these ten states. State-specific estimates of the numbers of at-risk youth are provided in the table below.

Most of these bills propose to make it a crime or a cause for professional discipline for medical providers to deliver gender-affirming care to minors. Bills in Louisiana, Missouri, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas also include penalties for parents who encourage or facilitate minors’ access to gender-affirming medical care. In three other states—Alabama, Louisiana, and South Carolina—school employees would be prohibited from withholding information about a child being transgender from that child’s parents, while a similar requirement proposed in North Carolina would apply to all state employees. The bill passed in Arkansas, and bills under consideration in Louisiana, Montana, North Carolina, and Tennessee, would allow individuals to file civil suits for damages against medical providers who violate these laws. Bills in Arkansas and Montana provide mechanisms for the state Attorneys General to file suit against medical providers to enforce compliance.

Finally, three states have included insurance-related provisions within their proposed bills. In Texas, one set of bills would prohibit professional liability policies for medical providers from providing coverage for damages related to providing gender-affirming medical care to a minor. Arkansas’s bill prohibits health plans from covering reimbursement for gender-affirming medical care provided to minors under the age of 18 and does not require insurance plans to provide coverage for gender-affirming medical care—regardless of the beneficiary’s age. North Carolina’s bill prohibits the use of state funds to provide gender-affirming care or support the administration of governmental health plans that provide coverage for such care.
Gender-affirming medical care is recommended for transgender youth by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Endocrine Society and is viewed by the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP) and the American Psychiatric Association (APA) as evidence-based patient care (AACAP, 2019; APA, 2021; Hembree et al., 2017; Rafferty, 2018). Gender-affirming medical care includes the use of hormones to delay puberty and to promote physical development that is consistent with a child’s gender identity (their internal sense of who they are). Research shows that gender-affirming care improves mental health and overall well-being for transgender people (Cornell, 2017), including youth. A recent study published in *Pediatrics* found that access to pubertal suppression treatment was associated with lower odds of lifetime suicidal ideation among transgender adults (Turban et al., 2020). Similarly, a study by the Williams Institute concluded that risk of past-year suicide attempts was lower among transgender people who wanted and received gender-affirming medical care (Herman, 2019). More generally, research indicates that efforts to support transgender youth in living according to their internal sense of gender is associated with better mental health and feelings of safety at school, while efforts to change the gender identity of transgender people (i.e., conversion therapy) are associated with suicidality (Clark et al., 2014; McGuire et al., 2010; Russell et al., 2018; Simons et al., 2013; Turban et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2016).

Table 1. Estimated number of transgender youth ages 13 and up at risk of being denied access to gender-affirming medical care through proposed state bans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ESTIMATE</th>
<th>LOWER BOUND</th>
<th>UPPER BOUND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ages 13-20b</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>7,450</td>
<td>4,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 13-18c</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 13-17</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>9,050</td>
<td>6,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>1,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>2,150</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>3,150</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>8,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>45,100</td>
<td>27,870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Children under the age of 13 would be impacted by the proposed bills but are not counted here due to the lack of reliable estimates of the number of transgender children of this age. b North Carolina’s bill applies to people under the age of 21. c Alabama’s bill applies to people under the age of 19.

More specifically, care is recommended for those with a diagnosis of gender dysphoria – defined by the American Psychiatric Association as “a marked incongruence between one’s experienced/expressed gender and assigned gender, of at least 6 months duration (Rafferty, 2018; Hembree et al., 2017; APA, 2013).”
TECHNICAL NOTES

Estimates of the number of transgender youth ages 13-17 in each state were first published in the report *Age of Individuals Who Identify as Transgender in the United States* (Herman et al., 2017). The estimate of the number of transgender people ages 13-18 in Alabama was created by adding the published estimated number of youth ages 13-17 with an estimate of the number of transgender people age 18 in the state. This was created by multiplying the estimated percentage of people age 18-24 who identify as transgender in Alabama, as published in Herman et al., by the number of 18-year-olds in the state as per the U.S. Census Bureau's 2013 American Community Survey estimates. A similar method was used to create the estimate of transgender people in North Carolina under the age of 21. Estimates were rounded to the nearest 50th.
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ENDNOTES


Per publicly available materials, Montana H.B. 113 and the bills in Arizona, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Mississippi, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Utah, and West Virginia no longer appear under consideration for this legislative session. Id. Arkansas S.B. 347 remains under consideration and, if passed, would make it a crime for medical providers to deliver gender-affirming care to minors and would add a prohibition on the use of public funds for referrals to non-public providers for such care to the state funding prohibitions enacted through H.B 1570. To Create the Vulnerable Child Protection Act, S.B. 347, 93rd General Assemb., 2021 Reg. Sess. (Ark. 2021), https://www.arkleg.state.ar.us/Bills/Detail?tbType=&id=sb347&ddBienniumSession=2021%2F2021R.


