

....

• • •

.

.

....

....

.

.....

.

.

RESEARCH THAT MATTERS

6

.

6

6

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES The Lived Experiences of LGBTQ Adults in Los Angeles

June 2024

Brad Sears Kerith J. Conron Christy Mallory Miguel Fuentes Carreño Yan Cui Megha Shah

CONTENTS

DEMOGRAPHICS	2
OVERALL CLIMATE	6
FAMILY AND SOCIAL NETWORKS	
COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY	59
RELIGION & SPIRITUALITY	64
EMPLOYMENT	68
MISGENDERING	75
PUBLIC SPACES	76
INTERACTIONS WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT 8	83
INCOME & FOOD INSECURITY	88
HOUSING	91
HEALTH	98
EDUCATION	117

NOTES

The following tables are a supplement to the report *Communities of Resilience: The Lived Experiences of LGBTQ Adults in Los Angeles County.* The following notes apply to tables throughout this document:

- Abbreviated terms:
 - CI = Confidence Interval
 - Cis = Cisgendered
 - LACHS = The Los Angeles County Health Survey
 - LELAC = The Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County Survey
 - POC = People of color
 - TNB = Trans and nonbinary
- Regarding Rao-Scott-Chi-Square: χ2 test for test of difference in proportions

DEMOGRAPHICS

Table A1. Demographic characteristics of adult participants in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS) 2023 and the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

							LACI	HS							L	ELAC	
		ALL (I	N=8,261)			LGBTC	Q (n=1,006)			NON-LGE	3TQ (n=7,255)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		ALL	(N=504)	
	n	%	9	5% CI	n	%	9	5% CI	n	%	9	5% CI	P-VALUE	n	%	9	5% CI
Age																	
18-24	618	11.5	10.4	12.7	116	18.5	14.2	22.9	502	10.8	9.6	11.9	<.0001	36	13.5	8.3	18.6
25-34	1,573	18.8	17.6	19.9	282	23.8	20.6	27.1	1,291	18.2	17.0	19.4		140	27.1	21.9	32.4
35-49	1,927	25.1	23.8	26.3	281	27.5	23.7	31.3	1,646	24.8	23.4	26.2		143	23.8	19.0	28.5
50-64	2,084	25.8	24.5	27.1	221	20.5	17.2	23.8	1,863	26.4	25.0	27.7		125	25.9	20.4	31.4
65+	2,055	18.9	17.8	19.9	106	9.6	6.9	12.3	1,949	19.9	18.8	21.0		60	9.7	6.6	12.9
Sex assigned at birth																	
Male	3,684	51.0	49.6	52.5	530	53.5	49.1	57.9	3,154	50.8	49.2	52.3		270	53.7	47.6	59.8
Female	4,565	48.8	47.3	50.3	464	45.1	40.7	49.4	4,101	49.2	47.7	50.8		231	45.8	39.7	51.9
Prefer not to state	12	0.1	0.0	0.2	12	1.4	0.4	2.5	0					3	0.5	0.0	1.3
Gender identity																	
Male	3,677	51.1	49.7	52.6	523	54.5	50.2	58.9	3,154	50.8	49.2	52.3		270	53.3	47.2	59.3
Female	4,500	48.0	46.5	49.4	399	36.4	32.3	40.5	4,101	49.2	47.7	50.8		196	37.6	31.7	43.5
Nonbinary/gender non-conforming	71	0.8	0.5	1.0	71	7.8	5.4	10.1	0					33	8.3	4.4	12.1
Prefer not to state	13	0.1	0.0	0.2	13	1.4	0.4	2.3	0					5	0.9	0.0	1.8
Gender																	
Transgender	114	1.3	1.0	1.7	114	13.5	10.3	16.7						56	13.5	8.9	18.0
Cisgender	8,134	98.5	98.2	98.9	879	85.1	81.8	88.4						443	85.7	81.1	90.3
Missing	13	0.1	0.0	0.2	13	1.4	0.4	2.3						5	0.9	0.0	1.8
Sexual orientation																	
Gay/lesbian	563	5.1	4.5	5.7	563	52.2	47.8	56.7						288	52.8	46.7	59.0
Bisexual	401	4.2	3.7	4.8	401	43.5	39.1	47.9						196	43.3	37.2	49.4
Heterosexual	7,278	90.5	89.7	91.3	23	2.3	1.0	3.7						10	1.4	0.4	2.4
Other	8	0.1	0.0	0.2	8	1.0	0.2	1.8						10	2.4	0.6	4.2
Missing	11	0.1	0.0	0.2	11	1.0	0.2	1.7									

							LACI	IS							L	ELAC	
		ALL (N=8,261)			LGBTC	Q (n=1,006)			NON-LGE	3TQ (n=7,255)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		ALL	(N=504)	
	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	9	5% CI	n	%	9	5% CI	P-VALUE	n	%	9	5% CI
SOGI																	
Cisgender gay men					400	37.8	33.6	42.1						205	37.4	31.7	43.2
Cisgender lesbians					129	10.8	8.5	13.2						66	11.5	7.7	15.2
Cisgender bisexual men					97	12.4	9.1	15.7						49	12.2	8.0	16.5
Cisgender bisexual women					253	24.0	20.4	27.7						122	24.3	19.0	29.5
Transgender					114	13.5	10.3	16.7						56	13.5	8.9	18.0
Other					13	1.4	0.4	2.3						6	1.2	0.1	2.2
Race/ethnicity																	
Latinx	2,922	43.5	42.0	45.0	309	38.7	34.3	43.2	2,613	44.0	42.4	45.6	0.003	158	39.4	33.3	45.6
White, non-Hispanic	2,893	29.7	28.4	30.9	451	37.3	33.2	41.3	2,442	28.8	27.5	30.2		225	34.3	28.9	39.6
Black, non-Hispanic	862	8.3	7.6	9.1	74	7.9	5.5	10.3	788	8.4	7.6	9.2		36	6.4	3.3	9.6
Asian, non-Hispanic	1,243	14.9	13.9	15.9	126	12.6	9.6	15.6	1,117	15.2	14.1	16.3		50	11.5	7.5	15.6
Multiracial, "other," AIAN or NHPI, non- Hispanic	319	3.6	3.0	4.1	42	3.5	2.1	5.0	277	3.6	3.0	4.1		35	8.3	4.9	11.7
Citizenship status																	
U.Sborn	5,915	68.9	67.5	70.3	842	81.6	78.0	85.2	5,073	67.5	66.0	69.0	<.0001	431	82.4	77.4	87.3
Naturalized	1,572	20.4	19.2	21.6	101	11.0	8.0	14.0	1,471	21.4	20.1	22.7		44	10.6	6.4	14.7
Non-citizen	766	10.7	9.7	11.7	63	7.4	5.0	9.7	703	11.1	10.0	12.2		29	7.1	3.9	10.3
Disability (ACS)¥													<.0001				
Yes	2332	26.9	25.6	28.2	371	40.6	36.2	45.1	1961	25.4	24.1	26.8		195	44.4	38.3	50.5
No	5918	73.1	71.8	74.4	635	59.4	54.9	63.8	5283	74.6	73.2	75.9		309	55.6	49.5	61.7
Marital status																	
Married/domestic partnership	3,265	45.9	44.4	47.3	250	27.8	23.9	31.7	3,015	47.8	46.2	49.4	<.0001	124	27.9	22.3	33.5
Unmarried, cohabitating	518	7.5	6.6	8.3	96	10.8	8.0	13.7	422	7.1	6.2	8.0		38	7.5	4.6	10.4

							LACI	IS							L	ELAC	
		ALL (N=8,261)			LGBTQ	(n=1,006)			NON-LGB	TQ (n=7,255))	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		ALL	(N=504)	
	n	%	9	5% CI	n	%	9	5% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE	n	%	9	5% CI
Widowed, divorced, or separated	1,748	12.7	11.8	13.5	107	6.4	4.8	8.1	1641	13.3	12.4	14.2		57	5.9	3.9	7.8
Never married	2721	34.0	32.6	35.4	553	54.9	50.6	59.3	2,168	31.7	30.2	33.2		285	58.8	52.9	64.7
Live alone																	
Yes	2,635	17.6	16.7	18.5	436	29.1	25.6	32.5	2,199	16.4	15.5	17.3	<.0001	226	30.4	25.5	35.3
No	5,625	82.4	81.5	83.3	570	70.9	67.5	74.4	5,055	83.6	82.7	84.5		278	69.6	64.7	74.5
Education (among those ages 25 and up)																	
≤ HS/GED	1,644	36.4	34.8	38.0	102	24.1	19.3	28.9	1,542	37.6	35.9	39.3	<.0001	47	24.4	17.7	31.2
Some college or associates	2,168	26.7	25.5	28.0	220	25.7	22.0	29.3	1,948	26.8	25.5	28.1		125	27.6	22.3	32.9
Four-year college	2,216	21.6	20.5	22.6	313	28.1	24.5	31.6	1,903	20.9	19.8	22.0		163	27.4	22.5	32.3
Graduate degree	1,608	15.3	14.5	16.2	255	22.1	19.1	25.2	1,353	14.7	13.8	15.6		133	20.5	16.3	24.7
Employment status																	
Employed (for pay)	4,950	62.9	61.5	64.4	702	67.0	62.8	71.3	4,248	62.5	61.0	64.0	<.0001	369	70.5	64.7	76.3
Unemployed (looking for work)	647	8.3	7.5	9.2	113	13.0	10.0	16.0	534	7.8	6.9	8.7		49	12.0	7.7	16.2
Not in workforce	2,656	28.7	27.4	30.0	191	20.0	16.2	23.8	2,465	29.7	28.3	31.1		86	17.5	12.7	22.3
Economic status																	
< 200% Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	2,802	33.1	31.7	34.5	302	34.6	30.2	39.0	2500	32.9	31.4	34.4	0.484	145	34.5	28.5	40.5
≥ 200% FPL	5,459	66.9	65.5	68.3	704	65.4	61.0	69.8	4755	67.1	65.6	68.6		359	65.5	59.5	71.5
County district																	
1st	1,494	19.0	17.8	20.1	159	18.1	14.8	21.3	1,335	19.1	17.9	20.3	<.0001	81	20.4	15.3	25.5
2nd	1,553	17.7	16.5	18.8	165	14.8	11.8	17.8	1,388	18.0	16.8	19.2		77	14.3	9.9	18.7
3rd	1,922	22.3	21.0	23.6	373	31.7	27.6	35.9	1,549	21.3	19.9	22.7		193	30.3	25.1	35.6
4th	1,742	22.2	20.9	23.4	172	19.0	15.4	22.6	1,570	22.5	21.2	23.8		78	15.4	11.1	19.7
5th	1,550	18.9	17.8	20.0	137	16.4	13.1	19.7	1,413	19.2	18.0	20.3		75	19.5	14.4	24.7

						LE	LAC								
		ALL (N	=8,261)			LGBTQ (n=1,006)		NON-LGBT	Q (n=7,255)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		ALL (N=504)	
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95% CI	n	%	95% CI	P-VALUE	n	%	95	% CI
Living in Los Angeles Cou	nty at LELAC	Survey comp	letion												
Yes												493	97.1	94.5	99.7
No												11	2.9	0.3	5.5
Living in same city at LEL/	AC survey cor	mpletion													
Yes												476	93.5	90.1	96.9
No												28	6.5	3.1	9.9
Time in Los Angeles Cour	nty														
Less than a year												1	0.0	0.0	0.1
One to 5 years												77	10.0	7.3	12.7
More than 5 years												265	46.2	40.2	52.2
Lifetime												161	43.7	37.5	49.9

Note: ¥ Please refer to Tables 43 for information about disability among LGBTQ adults.

Table A2. Select demographic characteristics of LGBTQ adult participants in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS) 2023, by gender identity

		TNB (I	n=114)			CIS LGB	Q (n=879)	
	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI	
Gender identity								
Male	26	31.6	18.5	44.7	497	59.0	54.5	63.6
Female	17	11.1	4.8	17.3	382	41.0	36.4	45.5
Nonbinary/GNC	71	57.3	44.3	70.3				
Sexual orientation								
Gay/lesbian	26	21.3	11.9	30.6	529	57.1	52.4	61.9
Bisexual	46	47.0	34.0	60.1	350	42.9	38.1	47.6
Heterosexual	23	17.2	7.9	26.5				
Other	8	7.3	1.3	13.3				
Missing	11	7.2	1.6	12.8				

OVERALL CLIMATE

Table A3a. Support for statement that Los Angeles County is a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=485) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	GBTQ			т	NB			CIS I	_GBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	485	100.0			52	100.0			429	100.0			0.264
Strongly disagree	15	3.8	1.9	7.4	2	3.4	0.7	14.3	13	3.8	1.8	8.1	
Somewhat disagree	17	4.9	2.5	9.5	1	0.7	0.1	5.2	16	5.6	2.8	10.9	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	32	8.7	5.4	13.7	3	4.1	1.1	14.2	29	9.5	5.8	15.2	
Neither agree nor disagree	44	9.7	6.7	13.7	9	19.1	8.3	38.1	35	8.4	5.6	12.3	
Somewhat agree	179	38.5	32.6	44.7	17	36.8	20.3	57.2	160	38.4	32.2	45.0	
Strongly agree	230	43.0	37.0	49.1	23	40.0	22.8	60.0	205	43.6	37.3	50.1	
Somewhat or strongly agree	409	81.4	75.9	86.0	40	76.8	58.1	88.8	365	82.0	76.0	86.8	

Table A3b. Support for statement that Los Angeles County is a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=485) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS G/	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	JAL WOMEI	N		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	62	100.0			202	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0.403
Strongly disagree	1	2.3	0.3	15.2	8	4.7	1.9	11.2	3	4.5	0.8	21.6	1	1.2	0.2	8.8	
Somewhat disagree	2	1.4	0.3	6.2	9	6.5	2.4	16.1	3	3.4	0.8	13.2	2	11.5	2.7	37.6	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	3	3.7	0.9	13.6	17	11.2	5.7	20.6	6	8.0	2.6	22.2	3	12.7	3.4	37.4	
Neither agree nor disagree	4	7.7	2.1	24.6	13	6.8	3.6	12.7	12	8.4	4.3	15.6	6	14.2	5.2	33.3	
Somewhat agree	29	60.2	43.2	75.0	65	32.6	24.6	41.7	47	35.6	24.7	48.3	18	40.6	22.9	61.0	
Strongly agree	26	28.5	17.1	43.5	107	49.1	39.8	58.4	52	48.0	35.5	60.9	20	32.5	18.5	50.4	
Somewhat or strongly agree	55	88.6	72.9	95.8	172	81.6	72.3	88.3	99	83.7	71.4	91.3	38	73.1	51.3	87.5	

Table A3c. Support for statement that Los Angeles County is a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=485) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	268	100.0			217	100.0			0.011
Strongly disagree	11	4.9	2.2	10.4	4	1.7	0.5	5.3	
Somewhat disagree	14			13.9	3	1.0	0.3	3.0	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	25	11.9	7.1	19.3	7	2.6	1.1	6.1	
Neither agree nor disagree	34	11.2	7.4	16.6	10	6.8	3.2	13.9	
Somewhat agree	103	38.7	31.1	47.0	76	38.1	29.5	47.5	
Strongly agree	106	38.1	30.4	46.5	124	52.1	43.1	61.0	
Somewhat or strongly agree	209	76.8	69.1	83.1	200	90.2	83.2	94.5	

Table A3d. Support for statement that Los Angeles County is a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=485) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC)W 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	140	100.0			344	100.0			0.004
Strongly disagree	7	5.2	5.2 1.8 14.6		8	3.0	1.2	7.0	
Somewhat disagree	10	9.3			7	2.6	0.7	9.3	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	17	14.5	7.9	25.3	15	5.6	2.6	11.6	
Neither agree nor disagree	27	17.4	10.9	26.5	17	5.5	3.0	9.8	
Somewhat agree	50	37.6	27.2	49.3	129	39.0	32.1	46.4	
Strongly agree	46	30.5	21.1	41.9	183	49.6	42.3	57.0	
Somewhat or strongly agree	96	68.1	56.9	77.6	312	88.7	82.4	92.9	

Table A4a. Considering moving out of Los Angeles County because it is not a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=486) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS I	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	486	100.0			52	100.0			429	100.0			0.26
Strongly disagree	311	59.3	52.9	65.4	33	52.8	33.3	71.4	275	60.4	53.7	66.7	
Somewhat disagree	59	14.5	10.4	19.8	5	17.5	5.8	42.4	52	13.7	9.7	19.0	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	370	73.8	67.7	79.1	38	70.3	48.5	85.5	327	74.0	67.7	79.6	
Neither agree nor disagree	73	15.5	11.5	20.4	12	27.4	12.6	49.8	61	13.8	10.2	18.5	
Somewhat agree	27	7.1	4.1	12.1	2	2.3	0.5	9.4	25	7.9	4.5	13.6	
Strongly agree	16	3.5	1.7	7.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16	4.1	2.0	8.2	
Somewhat or strongly agree	43	10.6	6.9	16.0	2	2.3	0.5	9.4	41	12.0	7.7	18.0	

Table A4b. Considering moving out of Los Angeles County because it is not a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=486) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU		N		CIS BISE	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	62	100.0			202	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0.54
Strongly disagree	43	70.6	52.8	83.8	133	57.0	47.2	66.3	69	62.4	49.9	73.5	29	56.4	36.4	74.4	
Somewhat disagree	2	1.5	0.4	6.5	24	13.4	8.2	21.0	18	14.6	8.0	25.0	8	24.8	10.5	48.1	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	45	72.2	54.2	85.0	157	70.4	60.0	79.0	87	77.0	65.4	85.6	37	81.1	62.2	91.8	
Neither agree nor disagree	9	13.9	6.5	27.3	27	14.4	9.2	21.9	18	13.4	7.5	22.8	7	13.0	4.6	31.6	
Somewhat agree	4	8.0	1.5	32.3	9	8.4	3.3	19.5	11	9.1	3.9	20.0	1	4.1	0.5	24.8	
Strongly agree	4	5.9	1.9	17.3	9	6.4	2.5	15.7	1	0.5	0.1	3.3	2	1.8	0.4	8.1	
Somewhat or strongly agree	8	13.9	4.9	33.5	18	14.8	7.9	26.2	12	9.6	4.2	20.3	3	5.9	1.3	22.3	

Table A4c. Considering moving out of Los Angeles County because it is not a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=486) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	269	100.0			217	100.0			0.132
Strongly disagree	151	55.1	46.8	63.1	160	67.3	57.6	75.7	
Somewhat disagree	35	14.1	9.3 20.9		24	15.1	8.8	24.8	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	186	69.2	61.0	76.4	184	82.5	74.2	88.5	
Neither agree nor disagree	55	17.8	12.6	24.5	18	11.0	6.2	18.8	
Somewhat agree	16	8.3	4.2	15.7	11	4.8	2.1	10.7	
Strongly agree	12	4.7	2.0	10.2	4	1.3	0.5	3.7	
Somewhat or strongly agree	28	13.0	7.8	20.8	15	6.1	3.1	11.8	

Table A4d. Considering moving out of Los Angeles County because it is not a good place for LGBTQ people to live among participants (N=486) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Total	140	100.0			345	100.0			0.007
Strongly disagree	69	48.4	37.2	59.7	241	65.2	57.4	72.2	
Somewhat disagree	23	20.4	12.7 31.1		36	11.3	7.1	17.6	
Somewhat or strongly disagree	92	68.8	57.6	78.1	277	76.5	69.0	82.6	
Neither agree nor disagree	25	14.2	8.8	22.2	48	16.1	11.2	22.8	
Somewhat agree	17	14.1	7.3	25.6	10	3.3	1.2	8.6	
Strongly agree	6	2.9	1.2 6.9		10	3.8	1.5	9.6	
Somewhat or strongly agree	23	17.0	9.7 28.1		20	7.2	3.6	13.6	

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS I	_GBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.917
A lot	119	25.6	20.5	31.6	12	28.8	14.2	49.7	107	25.4	20.0	31.7	
Some	312	59.0	52.7	64.9	37	57.3	38.4	74.3	271	59.3	52.6	65.6	
Only a little	73	15.4	11.3	20.6	7	13.9	5.8	29.9	65	15.3	10.9	21.1	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.848
A lot	326	62.8	56.6	68.5	36	67.2	48.9	81.4	288	62.2	55.6	68.4	
Some	167	33.5	28.0	39.5	18	28.9	15.7	47.1	146	34.1	28.2	40.5	
Only a little	11	3.7	1.6	8.2	2	3.9	0.7	18.9	9	3.7	1.5	9.0	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.907
A lot	274	46.2	40.3	52.2	31	49.3	31.6	67.2	241	45.9	39.7	52.3	
Some	173	40.6	34.7	46.8	19	39.9	23.5	58.9	152	40.4	34.1	47.1	
Only a little	54	11.9	8.4	16.6	6	10.8	4.0	26.1	47	12.1	8.3	17.3	
None at all	3	1.3	0.3	5.4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	1.6	0.4	6.3	

Table A5a. Perceptions of social acceptance of LGBTQ people among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

Table A5b. Perceptions of social acceptance of LGBTQ people among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.883
A lot	12	26.7	13.0	47.0	56	25.3	18.0	34.3	26	26.1	16.1	39.4	13	23.7	11.1	43.7	
Some	41	53.6	35.9	70.5	120	57.7	48.0	66.8	79	64.2	51.4	75.3	30	58.5	38.9	75.7	
Only a little	13	19.7	8.1	40.6	29	17.0	10.4	26.7	17	9.7	5.2	17.3	6	17.7	6.7	39.1	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	JAL WOME	N		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.245
A lot	43	63.9	45.5	79.0	137	61.7	51.9	70.6	76	65.0	52.4	75.8	31	55.4	36.2	73.1	
Some	21	26.7	15.5	42.0	66	37.9	29.0	47.7	42	28.7	19.3	40.4	17	40.8	23.6	60.6	
Only a little	2	9.3	1.5	40.7	2	0.4	0.1	1.6	4	6.3	1.7	20.7	1	3.8	0.5	23.4	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.341
A lot	27	40.7	25.0	58.6	134	54.8	45.1	64.2	58	39.7	28.6	51.9	22	37.1	21.2	56.5	
Some	28	36.7	22.9	53.1	53	36.1	26.8	46.5	49	45.1	33.1	57.8	21	46.4	28.7	65.1	
Only a little	11	22.6	9.9	43.8	16	8.0	4.3	14.2	14	11.5	5.9	21.2	6	16.5	5.7	39.1	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	1.2	0.2	6.1	1	3.7	0.5	22.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Table A5c. Perceptions of social acceptance of LGBTQ people among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			WHITE	LGBTQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	279	100.0			225	100.0			0.019
A lot	74	29.9	22.8	38.3	45	17.4	12.4	23.9	
Some	159	53.6	45.4 61.5		153	69.3	61.2	76.4	
Only a little	46	16.5	11.1 23.8		27	13.2	8.3	20.5	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	279	100.0			225	100.0			0.018
A lot	169	60.3	52.1	67.9	157	67.5	58.6	75.3	
Some	100	34.2	27.0 42.1		67	32.2	24.4	41.2	
Only a little	10	5.6	2.4	12.2	1	0.2	0.0	1.5	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

		LGBT	Q POC			WHITE	LGBTQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	% CI	P-VALUE
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	279	100.0			225	100.0			0.058
A lot	130	41.9	34.3	49.9	144	54.3	45.3	63.1	
Some	105	41.9	34.1	50.1	68	38.2	29.6	47.6	
Only a little	42	14.3	9.6	20.8	12	7.3	3.4	14.8	
None at all	2	1.9	0.4	8.3	1	0.2	0.0	1.6	

Table A5d. Perceptions of social acceptance of LGBTQ people among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	.GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.404
A lot	35	24.4	16.0	35.4	84	26.3	20.1	33.7	
Some	85	55.8	44.5	66.5	227	60.7	53.2	67.7	
Only a little	25	19.7	12.2	30.3	47	13.0	8.6	19.2	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.003
A lot	85	52.8	41.6	63.8	241	68.1	61.0	74.4	
Some	54	38.6	28.5	49.8	113	30.9	24.6	37.9	
Only a little	6	8.6	3.3	20.7	4	1.1	0.3	4.2	
None at all	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.002
A lot	52	29.4	20.8	39.8	222	55.1	47.8	62.2	
Some	66	50.1	39.1	61.1	106	35.5	28.7	43.0	
Only a little	24	16.6	9.6	27.1	30	9.4	6.0	14.5	
None at all	3	3.9	0.9	14.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Table A6a. Perceptions of changes in social acceptance of LGBTQ people over past decade among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL I	LGBTQ			т	NB			CIS	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	9	5% CI	n	%	9	5% CI	P-VALUE
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.801
A lot more accepting	234	47.8	41.8	53.9	25	52.8	34.9	70.0	204	46.5	40.1	53.0	
A little more accepting	191	35.7	30.2	41.7	22	34.5	20.1	52.5	169	36.3	30.4	42.7	
A lot or a little more accepting	425	83.6	78.4	87.7	47	87.3	73.0	94.6	373	82.8	77.1	87.3	
No different	21	4.3	2.3	8.0	2	1.8	0.4	7.7	19	4.8	2.5	9.0	
A little less accepting	35	6.8	4.4	10.3	3	4.4	0.9	18.8	32	7.2	4.6	11.2	
A lot less accepting	20	5.0	2.8	8.9	3	5.7	1.5	19.4	17	5.0	2.6	9.3	
A little or a lot less accepting	55	11.8	8.4	16.4	6	10.1	3.7	25.1	49	12.2	8.5	17.3	
Not applicable	3	0.3	0.1	0.9	1	0.7	0.1	5.5	2	0.2	0.1	1.0	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.318
A lot more accepting	232	46.4	40.4	52.5	30	57.3	39.2	73.7	198	44.4	38.1	50.9	
A little more accepting	166	35.5	29.8	41.5	12	22.4	10.6	41.1	153	37.6	31.5	44.1	
A lot or a little more accepting	398	81.9	76.9	85.9	42	79.7	63.9	89.7	351	82.0	76.6	86.4	
No different	48	7.3	4.9	10.7	6	5.5	2.1	13.5	42	7.6	5.0	11.6	
A little less accepting	16	4.9	2.6	9.2	1	4.1	0.6	24.7	15	5.1	2.6	9.9	
A lot less accepting	3	1.1	0.3	3.5	1	3.2	0.4	20.5	2	0.8	0.2	3.2	
A little or a lot less accepting	19	6.0	3.4	10.4	2	7.3	1.8	25.8	17	5.9	3.2	10.6	
Not applicable	39	4.8	3.3	7.1	6	7.5	2.9	18.2	33	4.5	2.9	6.8	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.336
A lot more accepting	123	25.6	20.5	31.3	20	37.2	22.0	55.4	101	23.4	18.2	29.6	
A little more accepting	155	34.9	29.2	41.1	14	32.1	16.3	53.3	140	35.5	29.4	42.0	
A lot or a little more accepting	278	60.5	54.6	66.1	34	69.3	51.3	82.8	241	58.9	52.6	64.9	
No different	107	18.4	14.5	23.1	8	8.9	3.7	19.8	99	20.1	15.7	25.4	
A little less accepting	8	1.9	0.8	4.4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8	2.2	1.0	5.2	
A lot less accepting	6	1.5	0.4	4.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	1.7	0.5	5.5	
A little or a lot less accepting	14	3.4	1.7	6.7	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14	4.0	2.0	7.8	
Not applicable	105	17.7	13.8	22.4	14	21.8	10.4	40.3	89	17.1	13.1	21.9	

Table A6b. Perceptions of changes in social acceptance of LGBTQ people over past decade among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

	CIS LESBIANS					CIS GA	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISE	KUAL MEN		RAO-SCOT CHI-SQUAF
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.047
A lot more accepting	30	47.8	31.1	64.9	90	41.7	33.0	51.0	63	58.4	46.0	69.8	20	35.1	19.8	54.3	
A little more accepting	27	41.3	25.3	59.3	79	37.8	29.2	47.2	44	28.6	19.4	40.1	19	43.1	25.9	62.3	
A lot or a little more accepting	57	89.0	77.1	95.1	169	79.5	70.2	86.5	107	87.0	75.4	93.6	39	78.3	57.4	90.6	
No different	1	1.0	0.1	6.9	11	6.9	2.9	15.6	3	1.2	0.4	4.0	4	8.9	2.4	28.2	
A little less accepting	5	5.6	1.9	14.9	17	11.0	6.1	19.0	9	5.7	2.4	12.7	1	0.5	0.1	4.0	
A lot less accepting	2	3.5	0.7	17.0	8	2.6	1.2	5.4	3	6.1	1.6	20.2	4	11.5	3.2	33.8	
A little or a lot less accepting	7	9.1	3.6	21.0	25	13.6	8.3	21.4	12	11.8	5.4	23.7	5	12.0	3.5	33.8	
Not applicable	1	0.9	0.1	6.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	0.8	0.1	5.7	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.032
A lot more accepting	37	55.5	37.9	71.8	84	38.1	29.7	47.3	58	54.3	41.9	66.2	19	34.7	19.3	54.2	
A little more accepting	21	34.5	19.5	53.5	76	40.2	31.2	49.8	34	27.8	18.2	40.1	21	50.6	32.2	68.8	
A lot or a little more accepting	58	90.0	77.9	95.9	160	78.3	69.4	85.1	92	82.2	71.3	89.5	40	85.3	65.6	94.6	
No different	3	1.7	0.5	5.6	29	14.6	8.9	22.9	7	2.3	1.0	5.1	3	2.7	0.7	9.6	
A little less accepting	2	3.3	0.5	17.3	5	3.6	1.2	10.1	6	6.9	2.2	19.8	2	8.1	1.4	34.5	
A lot less accepting	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	1.1	0.2	7.7	1	0.9	0.1	6.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
A little or a lot less accepting	2	3.3	0.5	17.3	6	4.8	1.9	11.6	7	7.8	2.7	20.2	2	8.1	1.4	34.5	
Not applicable	3	5.0	1.5	15.5	10	2.4	1.1	5.2	16	7.8	4.1	14.1	4	4.0	1.3	11.7	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.017
A lot more accepting	18	33.2	18.5	52.3	46	21.7	14.9	30.4	26	24.0	14.3	37.4	11	18.9	7.7	39.4	
A little more accepting	25	35.1	20.3	53.3	63	36.7	27.9	46.5	33	26.5	16.9	38.8	19	50.8	32.4	69.0	
A lot or a little more accepting	43	68.3	51.9	81.1	109	58.3	49.2	67.0	59	50.5	38.2	62.8	30	69.7	50.5	83.9	
No different	10	15.1	6.6	30.9	64	27.5	20.3	36.0	18	17.9	10.1	29.8	7	7.1	3.0	15.8	
A little less accepting	1	2.2	0.3	14.5	2	2.6	0.6	9.8	4	2.3	0.6	8.5	1	1.1	0.1	8.3	
A lot less accepting	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	0.9	0.3	3.1	1	0.9	0.1	6.2	2	7.5	1.2	35.2	
A little or a lot less accepting	1	2.2	0.3	14.5	5	3.5	1.2	9.8	5	3.2	1.0	9.4	3	8.7	1.7	33.7	
Not applicable	12	14.4	7.2	26.8	27	10.7	6.6	16.8	40	28.4	19.0	40.1	9	14.5	5.9	31.5	

Table A6c. Perceptions of changes in social acceptance of LGBTQ people over past decade among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			WHITE	LGBTQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	279	100.0			225	100.0			0.344
A lot more accepting	134	48.2	40.3	56.3	100	47.0	38.5	55.8	
A little more accepting	101	36.1	28.9	44.0	90	35.1	27.4	43.6	
A lot or a little more accepting	235	84.3	77.4	89.4	190	82.1	74.0	88.1	
No different	13	4.0	1.7	9.0	8	5.0	2.0	12.2	
A little less accepting	14	5.0	2.6	9.7	21	10.2	5.9	16.9	
A lot less accepting	14	6.2	3.1	12.0	6	2.7	1.0	6.9	
A little or a lot less accepting	28	11.3	7.0	17.6	27	12.9	8.1	19.9	
Not applicable	3	0.5	0.1	1.4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	279	100.0			225	100.0			0.174
A lot more accepting	144	49.4	41.4	57.4	88	40.6	32.3	49.5	
A little more accepting	82	33.5	26.2	41.6	84	39.3	31.1	48.2	
A lot or a little more accepting	226	82.9	76.0	88.1	172	79.9	72.8	85.5	
No different	26	7.0	4.0	12.0	22	7.8	4.8	12.5	
A little less accepting	9	5.4	2.4	11.7	7	4.1	1.5	10.4	
A lot less accepting	3	1.6	0.5	5.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
A little or a lot less accepting	12	7.0	3.6	13.3	7	4.1	1.5	10.4	
Not applicable	15	3.1	1.7	5.6	24	8.2	5.0	13.1	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	279	100.0			225	100.0			0.009
A lot more accepting	81	30.3	23.3	38.3	42	16.4	11.5	23.0	
A little more accepting	87	35.7	28.2	43.9	68	33.5	25.5	42.7	
A lot or a little more accepting	168	66.0	58.4	72.8	110	50.0	41.3	58.6	
No different	49	15.8	10.9	22.2	58	23.5	17.6	30.7	
A little less accepting	5	2.0	0.7	5.8	3	1.7	0.4	6.3	
A lot less accepting	5	2.1	0.6	7.2	1	0.3	0.0	2.2	
A little or a lot less accepting	10	4.1	1.8	9.2	4	2.0	0.6	6.3	
Not applicable	52	14.2	10.0	19.6	53	24.5	17.3	33.4	

Table A6d. Perceptions of changes in social acceptance of LGBTQ people over past decade among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	1	LGBTQ BELC	DW 200% I	FPL	LGE	TQ AT OR A	ABOVE 200	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people at the national level	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.414
A lot more accepting	62	43.6	32.9	54.9	172	50.1	42.9	57.2	
A little more accepting	55	34.7	25.3	45.6	136	36.3	29.7	43.4	
A lot or a little more accepting	117	78.3	66.9	86.6	308	86.4	81.1	90.4	
No different	10	6.7	2.6	16.1	10	3.0	1.3	6.9	
A little less accepting	10	6.9	3.2	14.5	25	6.7	4.0	11.1	
A lot less accepting	7	7.8	3.0	18.8	13	3.6	1.9	6.6	
A little or a lot less accepting	17	14.7	8.0	25.4	38	10.3	6.9	15.1	
Not applicable	1	0.3	0.0	2.1	2	0.3	0.1	1.3	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in California	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.008
A lot more accepting	67	47.9	37.0	59.0	165	45.6	38.6	52.9	
A little more accepting	41	25.6	17.3	36.2	125	40.7	33.7	48.1	
A lot or a little more accepting	108	73.5	62.1	82.4	290	86.3	81.9	89.8	
No different	15	9.1	4.2	18.5	32	6.2	4.1	9.3	
A little less accepting	9	10.7	4.8	22.0	7	1.9	0.8	4.6	
A lot less accepting	2	1.9	0.4	7.9	1	0.7	0.1	4.5	
A little or a lot less accepting	11	12.6	6.2	23.7	8	2.6	1.1	5.8	
Not applicable	11	4.8	2.4	9.5	28	4.9	3.1	7.6	
Change in acceptance of LGBTQ people in your neighborhood	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.033
A lot more accepting	40	29.5	20.3	40.8	83	23.5	17.8	30.3	
A little more accepting	41	29.2	20.3	40.1	114	38.0	30.9	45.5	
A lot or a little more accepting	81	58.8	47.5	69.2	197	61.5	54.7	67.8	
No different	32	19.8	12.5	29.9	75	17.7	13.5	23.0	
A little less accepting	5	4.1	1.5	11.0	2	0.7	0.1	3.4	
A lot less accepting	4	3.6	0.9	13.4	2	0.4	0.1	1.7	
A little or a lot less accepting	9	7.7	3.3	16.9	4	1.0	0.3	3.4	
Not applicable	23	13.8	7.6	23.6	82	19.8	15.2	25.4	

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS I	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Supervisor	490	100.0			53	100.0			432	100.0			0.38
Yes	206	37.7	32.0	43.7	24	33.7	19.2	52.0	181	38.6	32.5	45.1	
No	154	35.5	29.6	41.8	17	46.2	27.9	65.6	135	33.7	27.6	40.2	
Does not apply	130	26.8	21.8	32.6	12	20.1	9.6	37.5	116	27.7	22.2	34.0	
Coworkers	490	100.0			53	100.0			432	100.0			0.43
None	76	21.2	16.1	27.4	9	28.9	13.0	52.5	66	20.1	15.0	26.5	
Some	110	23.3	18.5	29.0	9	15.9	6.7	33.3	99	24.2	18.9	30.3	
Most	59	10.6	7.6	14.6	7	14.5	5.1	35.0	51	10.0	7.0	14.0	
All	181	33.7	28.3	39.7	19	24.5	13.4	40.6	162	35.5	29.5	41.9	
Does not apply	56	10.3	7.4	14.3	8	15.5	6.6	32.3	47	9.4	6.4	13.5	
Don't know	8	0.8	0.4	1.8	1	0.6	0.1	4.5	7	0.9	0.4	2.0	
Immediate family	490	100.0			53	100.0			432	100.0			0.245
None	47	13.1	9.3	18.1	2	4.5	0.8	21.8	44	14.4	10.2	20.1	
Some	82	17.8	13.4	23.2	8	17.1	5.6	41.9	72	17.5	13.0	23.1	
Most	66	12.5	9.2	16.8	5	9.8	3.0	27.3	61	13.1	9.5	17.7	
All	254	47.8	41.7	54.0	29	49.6	31.1	68.1	223	47.7	41.2	54.3	
Does not apply	34	8.0	5.2	12.1	7	17.9	7.9	35.8	27	6.6	4.0	10.8	
Don't know	7	0.8	0.3	1.7	2	1.1	0.3	4.8	5	0.7	0.3	1.8	
Extended family	489	100.0			52	100.0			432	100.0			0.218
None	95	22.5	17.4	28.4	5	15.4	4.2	42.9	87	23.1	17.8	29.3	
Some	115	23.8	19.1	29.3	12	19.4	9.4	35.9	102	24.7	19.6	30.7	
Most	60	11.7	8.5	15.9	7	11.3	4.4	26.0	52	11.6	8.2	16.2	
All	169	32.2	26.7	38.4	16	32.4	17.0	52.9	153	32.6	26.7	39.0	
Does not apply	39	8.6	5.8	12.5	10	19.9	9.3	37.5	29	6.9	4.3	10.9	
Don't know	11	1.1	0.6	2.1	2	1.1	0.3	4.8	9	1.1	0.5	2.3	
LGBTQ friends	490	100.0			53	100.0			432	100.0			0.128
None	8	3.2	1.3	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8	3.7	1.5	8.8	
Some	42	12.4	8.3	18.0	6	17.5	5.6	43.4	36	11.7	7.7	17.4	

Table A7a. Level of outness among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	.GBTQ			Т	NB			CIS	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Most	39	7.8	5.3	11.3	3	8.0	2.2	25.4	35	7.7	5.2	11.4	
All	362	68.8	62.6	74.4	36	57.3	37.5	75.0	323	70.5	64.0	76.3	
Does not apply	31	5.9	3.9	9.0	7	16.3	6.9	34.1	23	4.3	2.7	6.9	
Don't know	8	1.9	0.7	4.9	1	0.9	0.1	6.3	7	2.0	0.7	5.6	
Non-LGBTQ friends	490	100.0			53	100.0			432	100.0			0.029
None	25	7.0	4.1	11.6	2	2.6	0.6	10.8	23	7.7	4.4	13.1	
Some	98	21.8	17.0	27.5	9	20.5	7.6	44.4	88	22.2	17.2	28.1	
Most	96	17.8	13.8	22.7	11	28.6	14.3	49.0	83	15.9	12.1	20.6	
All	240	47.2	41.1	53.4	23	31.4	17.4	50.0	215	49.6	43.0	56.1	
Does not apply	25	5.1	3.2	8.1	6	14.1	5.4	32.0	19	3.8	2.3	6.3	
Don't know	6	1.1	0.4	2.8	2	2.8	0.5	13.5	4	0.8	0.3	2.6	
Health care providers	490	100.0			53	100.0			432	100.0			0.001
None	68	21.1	15.9	27.5	6	23.4	9.2	47.8	62	21.0	15.6	27.6	
Some	85	16.1	12.3	21.0	5	6.0	1.7	19.4	79	17.4	13.1	22.8	
Most	43	7.1	4.8	10.3	5	8.3	2.6	23.7	37	6.8	4.5	10.2	
All	245	45.7	39.6	51.9	26	34.6	20.0	52.9	217	47.5	41.0	54.1	
Does not apply to me	32	7.1	4.6	10.9	8	25.3	11.8	46.0	23	4.3	2.7	6.9	
Don't know	17	2.8	1.6	5.0	3	2.4	0.7	8.6	14	2.9	1.6	5.4	
People in your religious or spiritual community	490	100.0			53	100.0			432	100.0			0.145
None	88	23.2	18.1	29.2	9	20.1	8.3	41.1	79	23.9	18.4	30.4	
Some	38	9.2	6.0	13.8	2	3.1	0.7	12.5	36	10.2	6.6	15.5	
Most	9	1.2	0.6	2.4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8	1.1	0.5	2.2	
All	73	14.8	10.7	20.2	9	10.7	4.4	23.8	64	15.6	11.0	21.6	
Does not apply to me	274	50.5	44.3	56.7	30	62.2	43.1	78.1	240	48.5	42.0	55.0	
Don't know	8	1.2	0.5	2.5	3	4.0	1.1	13.5	5	0.8	0.3	2.0	

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	JAL WOME	N		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARI
	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Supervisor	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	32	55.0	37.4	71.5	109	52.7	43.3	61.9	29	17.0	10.8	25.6	10	18.4	7.7	37.7	
No	14	16.6	8.3	30.4	39	22.5	15.1	32.0	58	47.0	34.6	59.8	24	61.0	41.7	77.3	
Does not apply	17	28.3	15.0	47.0	56	24.9	18.3	32.8	30	36.0	23.9	50.1	13	20.6	10.0	38.0	
Coworkers	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	6	9.9	3.7	23.8	11	5.1	2.6	9.9	32	33.8	22.2	47.7	17	52.4	33.6	70.7	
Some	14	12.3	6.3	22.6	33	23.9	16.1	34.0	40	33.9	22.8	47.0	12	17.8	8.8	32.7	
Most	5	13.1	4.0	35.3	35	14.1	9.5	20.4	10	6.5	3.2	13.0	1	0.6	0.1	4.7	
All	31	55.5	37.9	71.9	106	49.5	40.2	58.8	16	11.4	6.0	20.8	8	16.5	6.4	36.1	
Does not apply	7	9.2	3.4	22.6	15	6.3	3.3	11.6	17	13.3	6.9	24.0	8	12.1	4.6	28.1	
Don't know	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	1.1	0.4	3.3	2	1.0	0.2	4.7	1	0.6	0.1	4.4	
Immediate family	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	4	6.8	2.0	20.9	11	6.6	3.4	12.3	16	14.9	8.3	25.4	13	46.8	28.2	66.4	
Some	6	5.6	2.2	13.4	18	10.7	5.9	18.6	33	32.8	21.6	46.3	15	21.4	11.4	36.5	
Most	6	9.5	3.8	21.8	29	11.9	7.7	18.2	20	15.1	8.6	25.3	6	16.6	5.8	38.9	
All	43	63.4	45.1	78.5	141	68.9	60.0	76.6	27	21.6	12.2	35.4	11	13.7	6.5	26.6	
Does not apply	4	14.7	4.8	37.0	5	1.9	0.7	4.8	17	13.3	7.0	24.1	1	0.8	0.1	6.1	
Don't know	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	2.3	0.8	6.4	1	0.6	0.1	4.8	
Extended family	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	10	13.8	6.4	27.5	19	10.3	6.3	16.6	36	30.3	19.6	43.6	22	60.0	41.3	76.2	
Some	9	18.5	7.7	38.2	37	18.4	12.2	26.8	43	37.6	26.3	50.4	13	26.3	13.6	44.7	
Most	6	5.8	1.9	16.4	33	17.2	11.1	25.6	8	6.9	2.9	15.5	5	8.8	3.0	23.0	
All	35	54.4	36.8	71.0	105	50.7	41.3	59.9	8	6.2	1.7	20.2	4	2.8	0.9	8.3	
Does not apply	3	7.4	2.2	22.1	6	2.3	0.9	5.3	19	17.5	9.7	29.5	1	0.8	0.1	6.1	
Don't know	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	1.2	0.4	3.4	3	1.5	0.5	5.1	2	1.2	0.3	5.2	
LGBTQ friends	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	2.8	0.5	14.6	3	2.3	0.5	10.0	3	13.3	3.7	37.7	
Some	4	9.2	1.9	34.5	7	3.0	1.4	6.4	16	20.1	11.1	33.8	9	26.3	11.8	48.6	

Table A7b. Level of outness among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	JAL WOME	N		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Most	7	9.1	3.9	19.8	7	4.3	1.9	9.4	16	8.8	5.0	15.0	5	15.8	5.9	35.8	
All	50	75.9	56.8	88.3	184	88.8	80.9	93.7	61	51.8	39.1	64.4	27	41.8	25.0	60.8	
Does not apply	2	5.8	1.4	21.5	4	1.1	0.4	3.4	15	9.9	5.4	17.3	2	2.2	0.5	9.3	
Don't know	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	7.1	2.4	19.0	1	0.6	0.1	4.8	
Non-LGBTQ friends	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	3	2.0	0.6	6.7	5	4.2	1.2	13.4	8	8.7	3.4	20.8	7	22.9	9.5	45.5	
Some	14	21.8	10.1	40.9	30	16.7	11.2	24.3	26	21.9	13.1	34.4	18	41.3	23.9	61.2	
Most	8	12.2	5.3	25.5	36	15.3	10.3	22.3	32	22.4	13.9	34.1	7	8.9	3.6	20.5	
All	36	58.2	40.3	74.1	130	62.8	53.5	71.1	36	35.8	24.2	49.5	12	24.0	11.3	44.1	
Does not apply	2	5.8	1.4	21.5	3	1.0	0.3	3.3	12	8.3	4.3	15.6	2	2.2	0.5	9.3	
Don't know	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	2.8	0.8	9.4	1	0.6	0.1	4.8	
Health care providers	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	6	5.5	2.0	14.3	11	5.5	2.8	10.5	31	46.4	33.6	59.7	14	36.5	19.9	57.2	
Some	16	25.2	12.6	43.9	17	11.4	6.2	19.8	42	26.8	18.0	38.0	4	11.4	4.0	28.5	
Most	3	2.3	0.6	7.7	20	10.1	5.8	17.1	7	3.3	1.4	7.4	7	7.7	3.2	17.4	
All	34	58.5	40.7	74.3	145	68.8	59.5	76.7	18	9.6	5.3	16.7	19	41.7	24.0	61.8	
Does not apply to me	3	7.4	2.2	22.2	5	1.4	0.5	3.8	14	9.4	5.1	16.8	1	0.8	0.1	6.1	
Don't know	1	1.1	0.2	8.1	6	2.8	1.0	7.7	5	4.5	1.7	11.1	2	1.8	0.4	8.1	
People in your religious or spiritual community	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	6	6.6	2.0	19.8	13	6.9	3.8	12.4	42	44.9	32.5	58.1	18	54.8	35.8	72.4	
Some	6	14.7	5.1	36.0	13	7.6	3.5	15.8	10	11.7	5.2	24.3	7	11.8	4.4	28.0	
Most	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7	2.1	1.0	4.7	1	0.3	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
All	18	33.1	17.6	53.4	39	20.3	13.1	30.1	4	3.0	0.8	10.3	3	8.7	1.9	31.8	
Does not apply to me	32	44.6	28.6	61.9	131	62.4	52.6	71.3	58	39.1	27.9	51.7	18	24.1	13.0	40.3	
Don't know	1	0.9	0.1	6.6	1	0.6	0.1	4.3	2	1.0	0.2	4.6	1	0.6	0.1	4.4	

Table A7c. Level of outness among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUAR
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Supervisor	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.155
Yes	109	36.0	28.6	44.0	97	41.0	32.7	49.9	
No	103	39.4	31.7	47.7	51	27.9	19.8	37.8	
Does not apply	60	24.6	18.1	32.6	70	31.1	23.9	39.2	
Coworkers	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.053
None	52	24.2	17.4	32.5	24	15.5	9.1	25.1	
Some	69	26.0	19.6	33.7	41	18.1	12.1	26.2	
Most	33	10.5	6.9	15.6	26	10.9	6.1	18.6	
All	80	27.9	21.1	35.9	101	45.0	36.5	53.8	
Does not apply	33	10.6	6.8	16.2	23	9.9	6.1	15.6	
Don't know	5	0.9	0.4	2.4	3	0.6	0.2	2.0	
Immediate family	272	100.0			218	100.0			0
None	31	14.7	9.7	21.6	16	10.0	5.3	18.1	
Some	56	20.7	14.8	28.2	26	12.2	7.2	19.9	
Most	49	15.5	10.9	21.6	17	6.8	3.6	12.4	
All	105	38.4	30.6	46.8	149	65.9	56.8	74.0	
Does not apply	27	10.1	6.2	16.0	7	4.0	1.7	9.0	
Don't know	4	0.6	0.2	1.8	3	1.1	0.3	3.5	
Extended family	272	100.0			217	100.0			0
None	59	24.4	17.8	32.4	36	18.8	12.2	27.9	
Some	80	29.0	22.4	36.6	35	13.9	9.4	20.2	
Most	30	10.5	6.6	16.2	30	14.1	9.2	21.0	
All	68	24.6	17.9	32.8	101	47.0	38.2	56.0	
Does not apply	30	10.7	6.8	16.4	9	4.5	2.1	9.5	
Don't know	5	0.9	0.3	2.4	6	1.5	0.6	3.4	
LGBTQ friends	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.007
None	6	4.5	1.7	11.2	2	0.8	0.2	3.8	
Some	29	15.5	9.8	23.5	13	6.4	3.0	13.3	
Most	24	7.2	4.5	11.4	15	9.0	4.8	16.1	
All	181	63.1	54.8	70.8	181	79.7	71.3	86.2	
Does not apply	25	7.1	4.3	11.4	6	3.7	1.5	8.8	
Don't know	7	2.7	0.9	7.3	1	0.4	0.0	2.5	
Non-LGBTQ friends	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.122
None	18	8.5	4.6	15.1	7	4.0	1.3	11.4	
Some	59	24.3	17.8	32.2	39	17.1	11.6	24.5	
Most	50	14.8	10.5	20.4	46	23.6	16.3	32.9	
All	121	45.1	37.1	53.4	119	51.2	42.2	60.0	
Does not apply	20	6.3	3.7	10.6	5	2.9	1.1	7.6	
Don't know	4	1.0	0.3	3.4	2	1.2	0.3	5.3	

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Health care providers	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.063
None	50	25.4	18.5	34.0	18	12.8	6.9	22.4	
Some	50	17.6	12.5	24.3	35	13.3	8.5	20.1	
Most	25	7.0	4.2	11.5	18	7.2	4.1	12.3	
All	116	40.2	32.5	48.5	129	56.2	47.1	65.0	
Does not apply to me	21	6.5	32.5 48.5 3.9 10.9		11	8.3	3.9	16.9	
Don't know	10	3.1	1.5	6.3	7	2.2	1.0	5.1	
People in your religious or spiritual community	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.012
None	65	27.4	20.6	35.4	23	15.0	8.7	24.7	
Some	27	10.8	6.7	17.2	11	6.0	2.4	14.2	
Most	2	0.2	0.1	1.0	7	2.9	1.3	6.4	
All	33	13.4	8.2	21.2	40	17.6	12.1	24.7	
Does not apply to me	138	46.4	38.5	54.6	136	58.3	49.1	67.1	
Don't know	7	1.7	0.7	3.8	1	0.2	0.0	1.4	

Table A7d. Level of outness among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Supervisor	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.072
Yes	40	32.3	22.3	44.1	166	40.7	34.0	47.8	
No	47	32.0	22.8	42.9	107	37.4	30.2	45.2	
Does not apply	54	35.7	25.7	47.2	75	21.9	16.7	28.2	
Coworkers	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.308
None	25	23.7	14.8	35.5	51	19.9	14.0	27.5	
Some	35	22.4	14.8	32.3	75	23.8	17.9	31.0	
Most	9	6.7	2.8	15.3	50	12.7	8.9	17.8	
All	42	31.5	21.8	43.2	139	35.0	28.7	41.9	
Does not apply	28	15.0	9.0	23.8	27	7.7	4.9	12.0	
Don't know	2	0.8	0.2	3.5	6	0.9	0.4	2.0	
Immediate family	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.157
None	12	10.8	5.5	20.1	35	14.4	9.7	20.9	
Some	28	19.4	12.2	29.4	54	16.9	11.7	23.8	
Most	26	17.5	10.8	27.0	40	9.9	6.6	14.4	
All	55	40.0	29.2	51.8	199	52.1	44.7	59.4	
Does not apply	16	11.4	6.1	20.3	17	6.1	3.3	10.8	
Don't know	4	1.0	0.3	2.7	3	0.6	0.2	2.1	
Extended family	141	100.0			347	100.0			0.238
None	24	19.4	11.6	30.6	71	24.2	18.0	31.6	

		_GBTQ BELO	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 200	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Some	39	28.5	19.8	39.2	76	21.3	16.1	27.8	
Most	18	9.9	5.6	17.1	42	12.7	8.6	18.3	
All	35	27.9	18.2	40.2	134	34.7	28.2	41.8	
Does not apply	19	12.5	7.0	21.4	19	6.3	3.7	10.7	
Don't know	6	1.8	0.7	4.3	5	0.7	0.3	1.9	
LGBTQ friends	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.759
None	4	4.4	1.2	14.5	4	2.5	0.7	8.7	
Some	16	11.9	6.1	21.8	26	12.6	7.6	20.2	
Most	13	8.9	4.8	15.8	26	7.2	4.4	11.6	
All	91	64.2	52.9	74.1	271	71.4	63.7	78.0	
Does not apply	14	7.8	3.9	14.8	16	4.8	2.8	8.3	
Don't know	3	2.8	0.6	13.0	5	1.3	0.5	3.6	
Non-LGBTQ friends	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.831
None	9	7.8	3.1	18.2	16	6.5	3.4	12.2	
Some	30	21.0	13.5	31.1	68	22.3	16.4	29.6	
Most	24	16.4	9.9	26.0	72	18.6	13.9	24.5	
All	62	46.7	35.6	58.1	177	47.4	40.2	54.7	
Does not apply	13	7.4	3.6	14.5	12	3.9	2.1	7.2	
Don't know	3	0.8	0.2	2.5	3	1.3	0.4	4.1	
Health care providers	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.036
None	32	31.7	21.5	43.9	36	15.4	10.1	22.7	
Some	20	12.9	7.6	20.9	65	17.9	13.0	24.3	
Most	9	4.4	1.8	10.1	34	8.6	5.6	13.0	
All	60	39.9	29.4	51.4	184	48.8	41.5	56.1	
Does not apply to me	14	8.4	4.3	15.6	18	6.5	3.6	11.4	
Don't know	6	2.8	1.1	6.8	11	2.8	1.4	5.8	
People in your religious or spiritual community	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.256
None	35	30.5	20.8	42.4	53	19.2	13.8	26.1	
Some	16	8.8	4.8	15.5	22	9.4	5.3	16.1	
Most	1	0.2	0.0	1.8	8	1.6	0.8	3.5	
All	19	14.6	7.8	25.6	54	15.0	10.2	21.4	
Does not apply to me	66	44.3	33.6	55.6	207	53.8	46.4	61.1	
Don't know	4	1.6	0.5	4.7	4	1.0	0.3	2.8	

Table A8a. Unfair treatment and discrimination in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL I	_GBTQ			т	NB			CISI	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
At least one experience of unfair treatment or discrimination	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.701
Yes	186	36.3	30.7	42.3	23	38.9	23.2	57.3	159	35.4	29.4	41.8	
No	318	63.7	57.7	69.3	33	61.1	42.7	76.8	284	64.6	58.2	70.6	
Most recent experience	186	100.0			23	100.0			159	100.0			0.058
Less than one year ago	87	46.9	37.0	57.0	13	69.3	44.2	86.6	72	42.6	32.1	53.8	
One to five years ago	59	30.8	22.8	40.1	6	22.3	8.4	47.1	52	32.8	23.8	43.4	
More than five years ago	40	22.4	14.9	32.1	4	8.4	2.5	24.6	35	24.6	16.0	35.8	
Ever fired/not promoted because of SOGI	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.761
Yes	72	12.0	8.8	16.1	9	10.4	4.8	20.9	60	11.7	8.3	16.4	
No	432	88.0	83.9	91.2	47	89.6	79.1	95.2	383	88.3	83.6	91.7	
Most recent experience	72	100.0			9	100.0			60	100.0			0.362
Less than one year ago	19	20.1	11.1	33.7	3	30.2	7.2	70.6	15	16.1	7.8	30.2	
One to five years ago	25	38.8	23.9	56.1	5	52.7	17.5	85.4	20	38.9	22.2	58.7	
More than five years ago	28	41.1	26.9	57.0	1	17.1	1.7	70.9	25	45.0	28.4	62.8	
Ever not hired because of SOGI	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.022
Yes	59	11.3	8.0	15.7	11	23.6	11.2	43.2	46	9.3	6.2	13.6	
No	445	88.7	84.3	92.0	45	76.4	56.8	88.8	397	90.7	86.4	93.8	
Most recent experience	59	100.0			11	100.0			46	100.0			0.059
Less than one year ago	16	33.6	18.2	53.6	4	63.1	25.5	89.5	12	22.6	10.4	42.3	
One to five years ago	24	39.3	23.4	57.9	4	17.5	4.0	51.9	20	48.8	29.2	68.8	
More than five years ago	19	27.0	15.0	43.8	3	19.4	3.8	59.5	14	28.6	14.4	48.8	
Ever experienced unfair treatment by law enforcement	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.475
Yes	28	4.8	2.8	8.1	6	6.7	2.7	15.9	21	4.5	2.4	8.3	
No	476	95.2	91.9	97.2	50	93.3	84.1	97.3	422	95.5	91.7	97.6	
Most recent experience	28	100.0			6	100.0			21	100.0			0.016

		ALL L	.GBTQ			T	NB			CIS	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
Less than one year ago	9	34.0	11.8	66.6	1	10.0	0.6	65.8	8	40.1	13.0	74.9	
One to five years ago	8	20.0	8.1	41.6	4	65.4	14.5	95.5	4	9.7	2.8	28.7	
More than five years ago	11	45.9	21.7	72.2	1	24.6	1.8	85.1	9	50.3	20.5	79.8	
Ever discouraged by a teacher or adviser from continuing education	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.228
Yes	23	4.1	2.4	6.7	5	7.6	2.3	22.3	18	3.5	2.0	6.2	
No	481	95.9	93.3	97.6	51	92.4	77.7	97.7	425	96.5	93.8	98.0	
Most recent experience	23	100.0			5	100.0			18	100.0			0.045
Less than one year ago	6	30.3	10.9	60.8	2	71.0	13.6	97.4	4	16.6	4.3	46.6	
One to five years ago	7	17.8	7.1	38.0	1	9.8	0.4	74.7	6	20.5	7.4	45.4	
More than five years ago	10	51.9	26.5	76.3	2	19.2	1.4	79.9	8	62.9	34.7	84.4	
Ever experienced unfair treatment in renting or buying housing	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.761
Yes	51	11.9	8.3	16.7	6	10.2	3.7	25.1	43	11.9	8.1	17.3	
No	453	88.1	83.3	91.7	50	89.8	74.9	96.3	400	88.1	82.7	91.9	
Most recent experience	51	100.0			6	100.0			43	100.0			0.434
Less than one year ago	8	19.7	7.4	42.9	1	40.2	3.6	92.3	7	17.5	5.4	43.7	
One to five years ago	12	22.3	11.2	39.5	2	30.0	3.4	84.0	10	21.9	10.1	41.2	
More than five years ago	31	58.1	38.7	75.2	3	29.8	3.6	83.0	26	60.7	39.1	78.8	
Ever experienced unfair treatment by neighbors	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.813
Yes	13	2.7	1.2	6.0	3	3.1	0.9	10.2	10	2.6	1.0	6.7	
No	491	97.3	94.0	98.8	53	96.9	89.8	99.1	433	97.4	93.3	99.0	
Most recent experience	13	100.0			3	100.0			10	100.0			0.035
Less than one year ago	1	6.7	0.7	44.2	1	42.4	0.4	99.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	8	78.4	43.6	94.4	1	36.3	0.3	99.2	7	86.3	49.6	97.6	
More than five years ago	4	14.9	3.6	45.3	1	21.4	0.1	98.2	3	13.7	2.4	50.4	
Ever unfairly denied a bank loan in Los Angeles County	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.833
Yes	44	9.4	6.2	14.0	6	10.5	3.8	25.4	38	9.3	5.9	14.5	

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
No	460	90.6	86.0	93.8	50	89.5	74.6	96.2	405	90.7	85.5	94.1	
Most recent experience	44	100.0			6	100.0			38	100.0			0.093
Less than one year ago	16	35.1	17.3	58.2	4	80.6	27.7	97.8	12	27.1	10.4	54.3	
One to five years ago	15	32.0	15.2	55.4	2	19.4	2.2	72.3	13	34.3	15.3	60.2	
More than five years ago	13	32.9	16.7	54.4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13	38.6	19.3	62.3	
Ever experienced unfair treatment in public accommodations in Los Angeles County	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.391
Yes	6	0.8	0.3	2.2	2	1.6	0.4	6.5	4	0.7	0.2	2.5	
No	498	99.2	97.8	99.7	54	98.4	93.5	99.6	439	99.3	97.5	99.8	
Most recent experience	6	100.0			2	100.0			4	100.0			0.28
Less than one year ago	2	17.6	1.8	71.1	1	42.8	0.0		1	8.6	0.2	82.2	
One to five years ago	4	82.4	28.9	98.2	1	57.2	0.0		3	91.4	17.8	99.8	
More than five years ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever denied or provided inferior medical care because of SOGI	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.231
Yes	65	11.7	8.5	16.0	12	17.5	8.4	33.1	52	10.9	7.5	15.4	
No	439	88.3	84.0	91.5	44	82.5	66.9	91.6	391	89.1	84.6	92.5	
Most recent experience	65	100.0			12	100.0			52	100.0			0.944
Less than one year ago	26	37.8	22.9	55.4	5	36.7	10.0	75.2	21	38.3	21.5	58.4	
One to five years ago	25	37.4	23.5	53.7	5	34.3	10.1	70.6	20	38.3	22.5	57.1	
More than five years ago	14	24.8	12.3	43.7	2	29.0	5.2	75.4	11	23.4	10.2	45.0	
Ever discouraged from pursuing religion or spirituality	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.058
Yes	39	6.9	4.3	11.0	10	15.2	5.9	33.7	28	5.6	3.2	9.7	
No	465	93.1	89.0	95.7	46	84.8	66.3	94.1	415	94.4	90.3	96.8	
Most recent experience	39	100.0			10	100.0			28	100.0			0.28
Less than one year ago	14	46.0	23.1	70.7	5	64.7	21.1	92.6	9	38.6	14.1	70.6	
One to five years ago	11	25.9	10.7	50.4	1	5.9	0.5	44.2	9	33.6	12.9	63.4	
More than five years ago	14	28.1	13.8	48.8	4	29.4	5.7	74.0	10	27.8	11.8	52.6	

Table A8b. Unfair treatment and discrimination in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISE	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUAR
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
At least one experience of unfair treatment or discrimination	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.001
Yes	32	51.6	34.6	68.3	83	43.6	34.4	53.3	29	20.3	12.6	31.0	14	23.3	11.6	41.1	
No	34	48.4	31.7	65.4	122	56.4	46.7	65.6	93	79.7	69.0	87.4	35	76.7	58.9	88.4	
Most recent experience	32	100.0			83	100.0			29	100.0			14	100.0			0.018
Less than one year ago	12	38.0	16.5	65.5	39	48.7	33.4	64.1	15	40.2	19.9	64.4	6	25.7	8.3	57.1	
One to five years ago	12	43.0	21.6	67.3	19	17.1	9.5	28.9	14	59.8	35.6	80.1	6	48.6	17.2	81.1	
More than five years ago	8	19.0	7.6	40.2	25	34.2	20.7	50.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	25.7	4.8	70.1	
Ever fired/not promoted because of SOGI	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.161
Yes	14	22.3	9.9	42.8	30	11.9	7.6	18.0	9	6.7	2.6	16.5	6	9.4	3.1	25.0	
No	52	77.7	57.2	90.1	175	88.1	82.0	92.4	113	93.3	83.5	97.4	43	90.6	75.0	96.9	
Most recent experience	14	100.0			30	100.0			9	100.0			6	100.0			0.054
Less than one year ago	5	22.5	5.3	60.0	5	16.2	4.6	43.4	3	12.1	2.1	47.2	2	11.5	1.2	58.8	
One to five years ago	4	52.1	14.4	87.5	9	21.5	9.7	41.0	5	82.6	44.1	96.6	2	24.8	2.5	80.7	
More than five years ago	5	25.4	5.6	66.3	16	62.4	39.6	80.7	1	5.3	0.4	43.0	2	63.7	12.9	95.4	
Ever not hired because of SOGI	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.075
Yes	9	19.8	7.9	41.5	24	10.0	6.0	16.2	8	5.3	2.1	13.1	5	5.4	2.0	13.8	
No	57	80.2	58.5	92.1	181	90.0	83.8	94.0	114	94.7	86.9	97.9	44	94.6	86.2	98.0	
Most recent experience	9	100.0			24	100.0			8	100.0			5	100.0			0.287
Less than one year ago	4	21.9	3.9	66.1	5	26.7	8.3	59.4	3	23.7	4.0	69.6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	4	74.8	30.9	95.2	8	33.3	13.8	60.9	4	34.9	6.4	80.9	4	74.1	11.0	98.5	
More than five years ago	1	3.3	0.3	31.3	11	40.0	18.6	66.1	1	41.4	5.2	90.1	1	25.9	1.5	89.0	
Ever experienced unfair treatment by law enforcement	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.122
Yes	5	7.2	2.0	23.1	12	6.7	2.9	14.9	3	1.6	0.5	5.2	1	1.1	0.1	7.7	
No	61	92.8	76.9	98.0	193	93.3	85.1	97.1	119	98.4	94.8	99.5	48	98.9	92.3	99.9	
Most recent experience	5	100.0			12	100.0			3	100.0			1	100.0			0.605

	CIS LESBIANS			CIS GAY MEN				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN				CIS BISEXUAL MEN				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE	
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Less than one year ago	1	6.6	0.2	67.4	4	45.2	9.6	86.5	2	57.6	0.7	99.6	1	100.0			
One to five years ago	2	22.4	1.3	86.4	2	7.5	1.2	35.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
More than five years ago	2	71.0	10.8	98.0	6	47.3	11.8	85.7	1	42.4	0.4	99.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever discouraged by a teacher or adviser from continuing education	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.926
Yes	4	2.9	1.0	8.5	8	4.0	1.8	8.6	4	3.8	1.1	12.4	2	2.5	0.6	10.1	
No	62	97.1	91.5	99.0	197	96.0	91.4	98.2	118	96.2	87.6	98.9	47	97.5	89.9	99.4	
Most recent experience	4	100.0			8	100.0			4	100.0			2	100.0			0.738
Less than one year ago	1	16.0	0.5	88.7	2	25.2	3.6	75.2	1	8.3	0.2	81.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	2	44.7	2.8	95.7	3	22.5	4.4	65.0	1	15.1	0.3	90.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
More than five years ago	1	39.3	1.6	96.3	3	52.3	13.9	88.2	2	76.5	9.3	99.0	2	100.0	0.0		
Ever experienced unfair treatment in renting or buying housing	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0
Yes	17	36.1	20.6	55.2	22	13.4	7.6	22.5	2	1.4	0.3	5.5	2	6.0	1.2	25.0	
No	49	63.9	44.8	79.4	183	86.6	77.5	92.4	120	98.6	94.5	99.7	47	94.0	75.0	98.8	
Most recent experience	17	100.0			22	100.0			2	100.0			2	100.0			0.495
Less than one year ago	3	27.0	4.7	73.5	4	13.2	3.4	39.6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	4	23.0	6.4	56.8	4	18.9	4.9	51.4	2	100.0	0.0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
More than five years ago	10	50.0	19.5	80.4	14	67.8	37.7	88.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	100.0	0.0		
Ever experienced unfair treatment by neighbors	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0
Yes	5	14.8	4.5	39.4	3	0.7	0.2	2.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	2.3	0.5	9.4	
No	61	85.2	60.6	95.5	202	99.3	97.9	99.8	122	100.0	100.0	100.0	47	97.7	90.6	99.5	
Most recent experience	5	100.0			3	100.0			0	0.0			2	100.0			0.105
Less than one year ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	30.0	0.2	98.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	53.9	0.0		
More than five years ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	70.0	1.2	99.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	46.1	0.0		
Ever unfairly denied a bank loan in Los Angeles County	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.037
Yes	6	12.5	3.2	38.1	27	15.2	9.1	24.1	3	2.8	0.6	12.6	2	1.8	0.4	7.8	

		CIS LE	SBIANS		CIS GAY MEN				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN					RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
No	60	87.5	61.9	96.8	178	84.8	75.9	90.9	119	97.2	87.4	99.4	47	98.2	92.2	99.6	
Most recent experience	6	100.0			27	100.0			3	100.0			2	100.0			0.03
Less than one year ago	2	13.7	1.0	72.4	7	29.8	9.3	63.8	2	20.8	0.1	98.1	1	63.0	0.0		
One to five years ago	3	81.0	22.4	98.4	8	17.0	6.8	36.5	1	79.2	1.9	99.9	1	37.0	0.0		
More than five years ago	1	5.2	0.2	57.8	12	53.1	26.7	77.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever experienced unfair treatment in public accommodations in Los Angeles County	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.613
Yes	1	0.9	0.1	6.4	2	1.1	0.2	6.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	0.7	0.1	5.0	
No	65	99.1	93.6	99.9	203	98.9	94.0	99.8	122	100.0	100.0	100.0	48	99.3	95.0	99.9	
Most recent experience	1	100.0			2	100.0			0	0.0			1	100.0			0.889
Less than one year ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	12.4	0.0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	1	100.0			1	87.6	0.0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	100.0			
More than five years ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever denied or provided inferior medical care because of SOGI	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.022
Yes	9	18.3	6.9	40.2	33	15.3	9.8	22.9	6	3.6	1.3	9.8	4	5.0	1.7	13.6	
No	57	81.7	59.8	93.1	172	84.7	77.1	90.2	116	96.4	90.2	98.7	45	95.0	86.4	98.3	
Most recent experience	9	100.0			33	100.0			6	100.0			4	100.0			0.124
Less than one year ago	5	66.9	19.5	94.4	12	28.2	13.9	48.9	1	9.8	0.6	67.6	3	75.2	7.0	99.2	
One to five years ago	3	29.4	4.7	78.0	13	43.5	23.0	66.5	3	35.7	4.6	86.4	1	24.8	0.8	93.0	
More than five years ago	1	3.7	0.3	34.7	8	28.3	10.6	56.7	2	54.5	8.5	93.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever discouraged from pursuing religion or spirituality	66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0.063
Yes	3	6.1	1.4	23.5	17	9.1	4.5	17.7	5	1.6	0.6	4.0	3	2.7	0.8	9.1	
No	63	93.9	76.5	98.6	188	90.9	82.3	95.5	117	98.4	96.0	99.4	46	97.3	90.9	99.2	
Most recent experience	3	100.0			17	100.0			5	100.0			3	100.0			0.113
Less than one year ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5	45.2	13.5	81.3	3	67.4	12.7	96.7	1	19.3	0.1	97.9	
One to five years ago	2	89.4	3.0	100.0	6	27.8	7.3	65.4	1	12.0	0.6	75.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
More than five years ago	1	10.6	0.0	97.0	6	27.0	8.4	59.9	1	20.7	1.1	86.0	2	80.7	2.1	99.9	

Table A8c. Unfair treatment and discrimination in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

		BELOW	200% FPL			PL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
At least one experience of unfair treatment or discrimination	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.252
Yes	63	41.2	30.8	52.4	123	33.8	27.3	40.9	
No	82	58.8	47.6	69.2	235	66.2	59.1	72.7	
Most recent experience	63	100.0			123	100.0			0
Less than one year ago	38	71.0	56.1	82.4	49	31.3	21.4	43.3	
One to five years ago	16	20.4	11.1	34.4	43	37.4	26.5	49.8	
More than five years ago	9	8.6	3.9	17.9	31	31.2	20.3	44.7	
Ever fired/not promoted because of SOGI	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.247
Yes	29	15.0	9.0	24.0	43	10.4	7.0	15.1	
No	116	85.0	76.0	91.0	315	89.6	84.9	93.0	
Most recent experience	29	100.0			43	100.0			0.748
Less than one year ago	9	22.7	9.0	46.6	10	18.1	7.8	36.8	
One to five years ago	11	42.7	19.0	70.4	14	35.8	18.8	57.3	
More than five years ago	9	34.6	15.4	60.4	19	46.1	27.4	66.0	
Ever not hired because of SOGI	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.001
Yes	27	19.7	12.1	30.4	32	6.9	4.4	10.7	
No	118	80.3	69.6	87.9	326	93.1	89.3	95.6	
Most recent experience	27	100.0			32	100.0			0.069
Less than one year ago	9	46.4	22.4	72.3	7	14.3	6.1	30.1	
One to five years ago	10	35.2	14.6	63.4	14	45.5	24.5	68.2	
More than five years ago	8	18.3	7.3	39.1	11	40.2	19.8	64.7	
Ever experienced unfair treatment by law enforcement	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.641
Yes	14	5.6	3.0	10.0	14	4.4	2.0	9.5	
No	131	94.4	90.0	97.0	344	95.6	90.5	98.0	
Most recent experience	14	100.0			14	100.0			0.242

		BELOW	200% FPL			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Less than one year ago	4	19.3	5.6	49.1	5	43.9	10.6	83.8	
One to five years ago	5	35.4	12.6	67.5	3	9.7	2.1	35.3	
More than five years ago	5	45.3	18.2	75.6	6	46.3	12.9	83.4	
Ever discouraged by a teacher or adviser from continuing education	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.176
Yes	12	6.1	2.9	12.1	11	3.0	1.4	6.3	
No	133	93.9	87.9	97.1	347	97.0	93.7	98.6	
Most recent experience	12	100.0			11	100.0			0.739
Less than one year ago	3	33.2	6.5	78.0	3	27.3	6.1	68.5	
One to five years ago	4	22.6	6.0	56.9	3	12.8	2.8	42.3	
More than five years ago	5	44.2	13.8	79.7	5	60.0	22.9	88.3	
Ever experienced unfair treatment in renting or buying housing	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.455
Yes	19	14.1	7.8	24.2	32	10.7	6.8	16.5	
No	126	85.9	75.8	92.2	326	89.3	83.5	93.2	
Most recent experience	19	100.0			32	100.0			0.006
Less than one year ago	5	42.0	14.9	74.9	3	4.1	1.1	13.9	
One to five years ago	5	23.7	7.1	55.6	7	21.3	8.7	43.7	
More than five years ago	9	34.4	13.1	64.6	22	74.5	52.5	88.6	
Ever experienced unfair treatment by neighbors	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.112
Yes	6	4.8	1.4	15.3	7	1.5	0.6	3.6	
No	139	95.2	84.7	98.6	351	98.5	96.4	99.4	
Most recent experience	6	100.0			7	100.0			0.223
Less than one year ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	17.9	1.4	76.9	
One to five years ago	5	92.2	34.4	99.6	3	55.3	13.4	90.8	
More than five years ago	1	7.8	0.4	65.6	3	26.8	4.8	72.5	
Ever unfairly denied a bank loan in Los Angeles County	145	100.0			358	100.0			0
Yes	24	19.4	11.5	30.7	20	4.2	2.3	7.3	

		BELOW	200% FPL			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
No	121	80.6	69.3	88.5	338	95.8	92.7	97.7	
Most recent experience	24	100.0			20	100.0			0.451
Less than one year ago	11	41.6	17.6	70.3	5	19.2	6.5	45.0	
One to five years ago	7	27.2	8.6	59.8	8	43.9	18.1	73.5	
More than five years ago	6	31.2	12.0	60.1	7	36.9	14.4	67.0	
Ever experienced unfair treatment in public accommodations in Los Angeles County	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.032
Yes	4	1.8	0.5	6.1	2	0.3	0.1	1.2	
No	141	98.2	93.9	99.5	356	99.7	98.8	99.9	
Most recent experience	4	100.0			2	100.0			0.232
Less than one year ago	1	8.3	0.2	81.3	1	47.1	0.0		
One to five years ago	3	91.7	18.7	99.8	1	52.9	0.0		
More than five years ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever denied or provided inferior medical care because of SOGI	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.611
Yes	18	13.1	7.2	22.8	47	11.0	7.6	15.7	
No	127	86.9	77.2	92.8	311	89.0	84.3	92.4	
Most recent experience	18	100.0			47	100.0			0.613
Less than one year ago	8	48.2	19.5	78.2	18	31.2	17.3	49.6	
One to five years ago	6	32.7	11.5	64.6	19	40.3	23.9	59.2	
More than five years ago	4	19.0	4.5	54.2	10	28.5	12.6	52.5	
Ever discouraged from pursuing religion or spirituality	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.047
Yes	15	11.2	5.3	22.1	24	4.7	2.8	7.7	
No	130	88.8	77.9	94.7	334	95.3	92.3	97.2	
Most recent experience	15	100.0			24	100.0			0.13
Less than one year ago	6	63.4	27.3	88.9	8	23.9	9.6	48.2	
One to five years ago	3	19.6	3.7	60.4	8	33.9	12.7	64.3	
More than five years ago	6	17.0	5.0	44.3	8	42.2	19.7	68.5	

Table A9a. Verbal harassment in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
At least one experience of verbal harassment	502	100.0			56	100.0			441	100.0			0.82
Yes	274	50.5	44.5	56.6	32	52.5	34.3	70.1	239	50.3	43.8	56.7	
No	228	49.5	43.4	55.5	24	47.5	29.9	65.7	202	49.7	43.3	56.2	
Most recent experience	274	100.0			32	100.0			239	100.0			0.804
Less than one year ago	123	39.9	32.3	48.0	15	40.7	20.3	64.9	106	39.4	31.3	48.1	
One to five years ago	98	37.8	30.3	46.0	12	42.6	21.4	66.9	86	37.3	29.4	46.0	
More than five years ago	53	22.4	16.2	30.0	5	16.6	5.4	40.9	47	23.2	16.5	31.7	
Ever verbally harassed by co- workers	502	100.0			56	100.0			441	100.0			0.992
Yes	90	19.4	14.9	24.9	15	19.5	10.0	34.5	74	19.4	14.5	25.5	
No	412	80.6	75.1	85.1	41	80.5	65.5	90.0	367	80.6	74.5	85.5	
Most recent experience	90	100.0			15	100.0			74	100.0			0.564
Less than one year ago	28	24.4	14.3	38.6	3	13.6	3.2	43.0	25	26.3	14.8	42.4	
One to five years ago	38	38.9	26.1	53.6	9	52.6	21.7	81.7	29	37.0	23.1	53.5	
More than five years ago	24	36.6	23.6	52.0	3	33.7	9.0	72.3	20	36.7	22.4	53.7	
Ever verbally harassed by customers while at work	501	100.0			56	100.0			440	100.0			0.072
Yes	94	18.7	14.3	24.2	18	30.6	16.8	49.2	76	17.0	12.5	22.8	
No	407	81.3	75.8	85.7	38	69.4	50.8	83.2	364	83.0	77.2	87.5	
Most recent experience	94	100.0			18	100.0			76	100.0			0.228
Less than one year ago	30	26.5	15.9	40.7	4	11.8	3.3	34.5	26	30.7	17.8	47.6	
One to five years ago	38	41.8	28.1	56.9	8	60.7	29.8	84.9	30	36.5	22.2	53.5	
More than five years ago	26	31.7	19.4	47.2	6	27.5	8.5	60.8	20	32.8	18.7	50.9	
Ever verbally harassed by landlord, other tenants, or neighbors	502	100.0			56	100.0			441	100.0			0.615
Yes	55	11.2	7.8	15.7	11	13.6	6.1	27.6	44	10.9	7.3	16.0	
No	447	88.8	84.3	92.2	45	86.4	72.4	93.9	397	89.1	84.0	92.7	

		ALL I	GBTQ			т	NB			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
Most recent experience	55	100.0			11	100.0			44	100.0			0.977
Less than one year ago	14	23.9	10.7	45.1	4	21.3	5.0	58.3	10	24.5	9.7	49.4	
One to five years ago	25	45.4	27.8	64.2	5	45.7	13.3	82.2	20	45.3	25.7	66.6	
More than five years ago	16	30.7	16.8	49.2	2	32.9	5.1	81.8	14	30.2	15.6	50.3	
Ever verbally harassed by strangers on the street	502	100.0			56	100.0			441	100.0			0.726
Yes	167	31.8	26.4	37.7	21	34.6	19.9	52.9	144	31.5	25.8	37.8	
No	335	68.2	62.3	73.6	35	65.4	47.1	80.1	297	68.5	62.2	74.2	
Most recent experience	167	100.0			21	100.0			144	100.0			0.826
Less than one year ago	53	26.1	18.2	35.9	8	27.6	11.0	54.0	45	26.0	17.3	36.9	
One to five years ago	71	45.6	35.2	56.4	9	51.0	23.9	77.6	61	44.8	33.7	56.5	
More than five years ago	43	28.3	19.6	39.0	4	21.4	5.8	54.3	38	29.3	19.7	41.0	
Ever verbally harassed at an LGBTQ place or event	502	100.0			56	100.0			441	100.0			0.638
Yes	132	22.6	18.1	27.8	16	25.7	13.2	44.1	114	22.0	17.3	27.5	
No	370	77.4	72.2	81.9	40	74.3	55.9	86.8	327	78.0	72.5	82.7	
Most recent experience	132	100.0			16	100.0			114	100.0			0.654
Less than one year ago	39	23.1	15.3	33.3	3	12.0	2.6	40.9	34	24.0	15.4	35.4	
One to five years ago	54	46.6	34.8	58.7	7	54.0	21.4	83.5	47	45.9	33.4	59.0	
More than five years ago	39	30.3	20.9	41.7	6	34.0	10.3	69.8	33	30.1	20.1	42.4	
Ever verbally harassed at a place of public accommodation	502	100.0			56	100.0			441	100.0			0.132
Yes	58	11.8	8.3	16.3	14	19.0	9.7	34.0	44	10.7	7.1	15.8	
No	444	88.2	83.7	91.7	42	81.0	66.0	90.3	397	89.3	84.2	92.9	
Most recent experience	58	100.0			14	100.0			44	100.0			0.794
Less than one year ago	17	27.5	13.9	47.2	4	19.8	5.3	52.0	13	29.7	13.5	53.4	
One to five years ago	26	45.6	28.6	63.7	7	46.7	17.9	77.9	19	45.3	25.5	66.6	
More than five years ago	15	26.9	14.6	44.1	3	33.5	8.4	73.4	12	25.0	12.4	44.0	

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed while accessing health care	502	100.0			56	100.0			441	100.0			0.843
Yes	47	11.1	7.4	16.2	6	10.1	3.4	26.4	41	11.3	7.3	17.1	
No	455	88.9	83.8	92.6	50	89.9	73.6	96.6	400	88.7	82.9	92.7	
Most recent experience	47	100.0			6	100.0			41	100.0			0.71
Less than one year ago	13	29.5	12.8	54.3	2	14.0	1.2	68.9	11	31.7	13.2	58.6	
One to five years ago	15	30.9	15.7	51.7	2	41.9	4.2	92.3	13	29.3	13.8	51.9	
More than five years ago	19	39.6	21.5	61.2	2	44.1	4.2	93.4	17	39.0	19.8	62.3	

Table A9b. Verbal harassment in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LESBIANS				CIS GAY MEN				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN				CIS BISEXUAL MEN			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
At least one experience of verbal harassment		100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0
Yes	38	65.6	48.1	79.7	138	65.2	55.9	73.5	39	23.6	15.7	33.9	23	41.4	24.7	60.3	
No	27	34.4	20.3	51.9	67	34.8	26.5	44.1	83	76.4	66.1	84.3	25	58.6	39.7	75.3	
Most recent experience	38	100.0			138	100.0			39	100.0			23	100.0			0.2
Less than one year ago	19	49.4	28.0	71.1	49	29.9	20.5	41.2	25	58.3	37.2	76.8	12	46.2	22.2	72.1	
One to five years ago	12	31.7	15.0	54.9	59	44.3	33.3	56.0	9	30.8	14.4	54.1	6	20.7	6.7	48.7	
More than five years ago	7	18.9	7.1	41.6	30	25.8	16.6	37.9	5	10.9	3.6	28.7	5	33.1	12.9	62.2	
Ever verbally harassed by co- workers	65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0.1
Yes	10	15.6	6.8	31.8	40	26.9	18.4	37.5	13	10.0	4.9	19.5	11	19.3	8.8	37.3	
No	55	84.4	68.2	93.2	165	73.1	62.5	81.6	109	90.0	80.5	95.1	37	80.7	62.7	91.2	
Most recent experience	10	100.0			40	100.0			13	100.0			11	100.0			0.1
Less than one year ago	5	48.3	12.3	86.1	12	27.3	11.8	51.3	3	8.2	1.8	29.6	5	24.1	6.2	60.5	
One to five years ago	3	16.9	3.4	54.3	17	40.9	21.5	63.7	8	66.2	26.6	91.4	1	3.7	0.3	29.8	
More than five years ago	2	34.8	5.7	82.5	11	31.7	14.5	56.0	2	25.7	4.6	71.1	5	72.2	35.9	92.4	

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	IAL WOME	N		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by customers while at work	64	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0.1
Yes	11	19.2	7.4	41.3	44	22.9	15.4	32.7	12	8.5	3.9	17.2	9	14.1	6.1	29.3	
No	53	80.8	58.7	92.6	161	77.1	67.3	84.6	110	91.5	82.8	96.1	39	85.9	70.7	93.9	
Most recent experience	11	100.0			44	100.0			12	100.0			9	100.0			0
Less than one year ago	3	10.5	1.9	42.0	14	32.4	14.9	56.8	5	43.8	12.8	80.5	4	31.2	6.8	73.6	
One to five years ago	7	85.9	52.0	97.2	13	15.2	7.4	28.7	5	48.8	14.6	84.2	5	68.8	26.4	93.2	
More than five years ago	1	3.5	0.3	31.3	17	52.4	30.7	73.2	2	7.4	1.3	32.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever verbally harassed by landlord, other tenants, or neighbors	65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0
Yes	8	16.0	5.3	39.2	29	18.0	11.5	27.1	3	1.4	0.4	4.4	4	3.2	1.0	9.2	
No	57	84.0	60.8	94.7	176	82.0	72.9	88.5	119	98.6	95.6	99.6	44	96.8	90.8	99.0	
Most recent experience	8	100.0			29	100.0			3	100.0			4	100.0			0.4
Less than one year ago	1	4.2	0.3	41.1	6	27.0	8.9	58.5	2	67.8	1.0	99.8	1	38.3	1.5	96.1	
One to five years ago	4	70.3	18.9	96.0	13	39.2	19.3	63.6	1	32.2	0.2	99.0	2	45.5	2.9	95.8	
More than five years ago	3	25.5	3.1	78.2	10	33.7	15.8	58.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	16.3	0.5	88.8	
Ever verbally harassed by strangers on the street	65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0
Yes	24	41.9	25.8	59.9	89	44.5	35.3	54.1	22	14.6	8.6	23.8	8	12.9	5.1	29.1	
No	41	58.1	40.1	74.2	116	55.5	45.9	64.7	100	85.4	76.2	91.4	40	87.1	70.9	94.9	
Most recent experience	24	100.0			89	100.0			22	100.0			8	100.0			0.6
Less than one year ago	7	26.4	9.9	54.1	27	26.5	15.2	42.1	9	32.2	14.1	57.9	2	8.3	1.2	41.2	
One to five years ago	12	57.8	30.5	81.1	37	40.9	27.2	56.1	8	50.3	25.0	75.4	4	42.8	8.5	85.8	
More than five years ago	5	15.7	4.6	41.8	25	32.6	19.9	48.6	5	17.5	5.5	43.9	2	48.9	9.6	89.6	
Ever verbally harassed at an LGBTQ place or event	65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0
Yes	22	34.5	19.6	53.2	65	25.4	18.5	33.9	14	8.4	4.6	15.0	12	25.0	12.2	44.3	
No	43	65.5	46.8	80.4	140	74.6	66.1	81.5	108	91.6	85.0	95.4	36	75.0	55.7	87.8	
Most recent experience	22	100.0			65	100.0			14	100.0			12	100.0			0.4
Less than one year ago	8	26.2	9.5	54.7	19	20.5	11.7	33.4	3	20.0	4.6	56.4	3	27.9	4.7	75.1	

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISE	KUAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARI
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
One to five years ago	8	52.5	23.0	80.4	27	49.2	32.6	66.0	8	65.0	33.4	87.3	4	17.2	4.4	48.7	
More than five years ago	6	21.2	6.6	50.6	19	30.3	17.6	47.0	3	15.0	3.7	44.5	5	54.9	19.1	86.2	
Ever verbally harassed at a place of public accommodation	65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0
Yes	9	19.6	7.7	41.5	30	16.8	10.5	25.6	2	1.3	0.2	6.6	3	2.5	0.7	8.8	
No	56	80.4	58.5	92.3	175	83.2	74.4	89.5	120	98.7	93.4	99.8	45	97.5	91.2	99.3	
Most recent experience	9	100.0			30	100.0			2	100.0			3	100.0			0.1
Less than one year ago	3	21.6	3.5	67.6	7	28.0	9.1	60.2	2	100.0	0.0		1	51.6	0.5	99.5	
One to five years ago	5	76.1	30.8	95.8	12	36.5	17.0	61.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	48.4	0.5	99.5	
More than five years ago	1	2.3	0.2	23.6	11	35.5	17.0	59.6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever verbally harassed while accessing health care	65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0
Yes	7	20.3	7.4	44.6	27	16.5	9.8	26.6	3	2.2	0.6	7.4	4	5.2	1.6	15.7	
No	58	79.7	55.4	92.6	178	83.5	73.4	90.2	119	97.8	92.6	99.4	44	94.8	84.3	98.4	
Most recent experience	7	100.0			27	100.0			3	100.0			4	100.0			0.5
Less than one year ago	2	45.5	4.9	93.1	5	21.3	4.6	60.2	2	63.4	0.7	99.8	2	57.4	3.7	98.0	
One to five years ago	2	38.7	4.1	90.4	9	26.7	10.3	53.6	1	36.6	0.2	99.3	1	13.8	0.4	87.7	
More than five years ago	3	15.8	2.0	63.2	13	52.0	24.7	78.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	28.8	0.8	95.2	

Table A9c. Verbal harassment in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTC	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
At least one experience of verbal harassment	277	100.0			225	100.0			0
Yes	138	45.5	37.6	53.5	136	60.1	51.4	68.3	
No	139	54.5	46.5	62.4	89	39.9	31.7	48.6	
Most recent experience	138	100.0			136	100.0			0.5
Less than one year ago	65	40.7	30.3	52.0	58	38.7	28.3	50.2	
One to five years ago	48	34.6	24.7	45.9	50	42.4	31.5	54.0	
More than five years ago	25	24.7	15.8	36.4	28	19.0	12.3	28.1	
Ever verbally harassed by co- workers	277	100.0			225	100.0			0
Yes	55	22.7	16.4	30.5	35	13.2	8.8	19.2	
No	222	77.3	69.5	83.6	190	86.8	80.8	91.2	
Most recent experience	55	100.0			35	100.0			0.5
Less than one year ago	19	27.1	14.4	45.1	9	15.7	7.0	31.6	
One to five years ago	22	35.7	20.7	54.1	16	49.7	29.9	69.6	
More than five years ago	14	37.3	21.4	56.4	10	34.6	17.7	56.6	
Ever verbally harassed by customers while at work	276	100.0			225	100.0			0.3
Yes	49	16.9	11.4	24.2	45	22.2	15.4	30.8	
No	227	83.1	75.8	88.6	180	77.8	69.2	84.6	
Most recent experience	49	100.0			45	100.0			0.5
Less than one year ago	19	32.7	16.8	53.9	11	17.6	8.3	33.5	
One to five years ago	18	38.3	20.6	59.8	20	46.9	27.7	67.0	
More than five years ago	12	29.0	13.2	52.3	14	35.5	18.9	56.5	
Ever verbally harassed by landlord, other tenants, or neighbors	277	100.0			225	100.0			0.4
Yes	29	10.0	5.9	16.4	26	13.3	8.4	20.6	
No	248	90.0	83.6	94.1	199	86.7	79.4	91.6	

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTC	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Most recent experience	29	100.0			26	100.0			0.3
Less than one year ago	8	24.9	7.1	58.8	6	22.7	9.0	46.3	
One to five years ago	16	54.8	27.9	79.1	9	32.0	13.6	58.6	
More than five years ago	5	20.4	6.8	47.1	11	45.3	22.9	69.7	
Ever verbally harassed by strangers on the street	277	100.0			225	100.0			0.3
Yes	86	29.9	23.0	37.8	81	35.5	27.6	44.2	
No	191	70.1	62.2	77.0	144	64.5	55.8	72.4	
Most recent experience	86	100.0			81	100.0			0.7
Less than one year ago	29	29.0	17.8	43.6	24	21.3	13.1	32.7	
One to five years ago	40	44.7	30.7	59.6	31	47.1	32.9	61.8	
More than five years ago	17	26.3	14.8	42.2	26	31.6	20.3	45.6	
Ever verbally harassed at an LGBTQ place or event	277	100.0			225	100.0			0
Yes	61	18.2	13.0	24.8	71	30.9	23.4	39.6	
No	216	81.8	75.2	87.0	154	69.1	60.4	76.6	
Most recent experience	61	100.0			71	100.0			0.9
Less than one year ago	23	24.0	14.0	38.0	16	22.2	11.3	39.0	
One to five years ago	23	44.6	27.6	62.9	31	48.8	33.3	64.6	
More than five years ago	15	31.4	17.8	49.2	24	29.0	17.5	44.2	
Ever verbally harassed at a place of public accommodation	277	100.0			225	100.0			0.6
Yes	34	12.5	8.0	19.0	24	10.3	6.2	16.6	
No	243	87.5	81.0	92.0	201	89.7	83.4	93.8	
Most recent experience	34	100.0			24	100.0			0.6
Less than one year ago	13	32.1	14.1	57.6	4	17.0	5.1	43.9	
One to five years ago	14	43.8	22.7	67.3	12	49.8	25.0	74.6	
More than five years ago	7	24.2	10.2	47.1	8	33.2	13.8	60.6	

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed while accessing health care	277	100.0			225	100.0			0.1
Yes	28	12.9	7.9	20.5	19	7.6	4.3	12.8	
No	249	87.1	79.5	92.1	206	92.4	87.2	95.7	
Most recent experience	28	100.0			19	100.0			0.1
Less than one year ago	10	35.8	14.4	65.0	3	8.9	2.1	30.2	
One to five years ago	9	24.9	9.5	51.1	6	50.2	23.4	76.9	
More than five years ago	9	39.2	17.4	66.5	10	41.0	18.3	68.2	

Table A9d. Verbal harassment in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	.GBTQ BELC	DW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 200	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
At least one experience of verbal harassment	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.497
Yes	71	47.5	36.7	58.7	203	52.2	44.9	59.3	
No	73	52.5	41.3	63.3	154	47.8	40.7	55.1	
Most recent experience	71	100.0			203	100.0			0.151
Less than one year ago	33	45.6	30.5	61.4	90	37.1	28.8	46.2	
One to five years ago	29	42.0	27.6	57.9	69	35.8	27.3	45.2	
More than five years ago	9	12.5	5.5	25.7	44	27.1	19.2	36.9	
Ever verbally harassed by co- workers	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.932
Yes	28	19.1	11.9	29.1	62	19.6	14.1	26.5	
No	116	80.9	70.9	88.1	295	80.4	73.5	85.9	
Most recent experience	28	100.0			62	100.0			0.111
Less than one year ago	8	37.4	16.0	65.3	20	17.7	9.6	30.2	
One to five years ago	16	44.1	22.6	68.1	22	36.3	20.8	55.2	
More than five years ago	4	18.4	5.9	44.9	20	46.1	28.9	64.3	

	I	_GBTQ BELO	OW 200% F	PL	LGE	STQ AT OR A	BOVE 200	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by customers while at work	144	100.0			356	100.0			0.065
Yes	32	25.2	16.3	36.9	62	15.3	10.9	21.0	
No	112	74.8	63.1	83.7	294	84.7	79.0	89.1	
Most recent experience	32	100.0			62	100.0			0.737
Less than one year ago	12	31.9	13.8	57.8	18	21.7	12.1	35.9	
One to five years ago	12	39.0	18.0	65.0	26	44.4	28.0	62.0	
More than five years ago	8	29.1	12.6	54.0	18	33.9	18.0	54.4	
Ever verbally harassed by landlord, other tenants, or neighbors	144	100.0			357	100.0			0
Yes	29	21.5	13.4	32.7	26	5.7	3.6	8.9	
No	115	78.5	67.3	86.6	331	94.3	91.1	96.4	
Most recent experience	29	100.0			26	100.0			0.154
Less than one year ago	8	26.1	8.7	56.6	6	19.7	7.7	41.8	
One to five years ago	16	53.3	28.0	77.0	9	29.6	13.5	53.0	
More than five years ago	5	20.6	7.1	47.1	11	50.7	28.2	72.9	
Ever verbally harassed by strangers on the street	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.889
Yes	41	31.2	21.7	42.8	126	32.1	26.0	39.0	
No	103	68.8	57.2	78.3	231	67.9	61.0	74.0	
Most recent experience	41	100.0			126	100.0			0.375
Less than one year ago	13	34.8	17.7	57.0	40	21.5	14.6	30.5	
One to five years ago	17	43.6	24.3	65.1	54	46.7	34.9	58.8	
More than five years ago	11	21.5	9.8	41.1	32	31.8	21.1	44.9	
Ever verbally harassed at an LGBTQ place or event	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.041
Yes	27	15.3	9.1	24.7	105	26.5	20.8	33.0	
No	117	84.7	75.3	90.9	252	73.5	67.0	79.2	
Most recent experience	27	100.0			105	100.0			0.306
Less than one year ago	5	10.0	2.9	28.9	34	27.2	17.6	39.4	

	L	.GBTQ BELO	DW 200% F	PL	LGE	TQ AT OR A	ABOVE 200	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
One to five years ago	12	54.2	28.1	78.2	42	44.2	31.4	57.9	
More than five years ago	10	35.8	15.3	63.4	29	28.6	18.6	41.2	
Ever verbally harassed at a place of public accommodation	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.003
Yes	24	19.6	11.7	31.0	34	7.6	5.1	11.1	
No	120	80.4	69.0	88.3	323	92.4	88.9	94.9	
Most recent experience	24	100.0			34	100.0			0.592
Less than one year ago	7	30.1	10.3	61.9	10	23.9	11.9	42.4	
One to five years ago	11	49.4	23.3	75.8	15	40.4	23.3	60.2	
More than five years ago	6	20.5	6.8	47.8	9	35.7	18.6	57.4	
Ever verbally harassed while accessing health care	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.061
Yes	18	16.7	9.2	28.6	29	8.1	4.8	13.3	
No	126	83.3	71.4	90.8	328	91.9	86.7	95.2	
Most recent experience	18	100.0			29	100.0			0.086
Less than one year ago	6	42.9	14.9	76.4	7	14.6	5.1	35.2	
One to five years ago	7	35.5	12.4	68.2	8	25.8	10.0	52.1	
More than five years ago	5	21.6	6.1	53.6	14	59.6	33.6	81.1	

Table A10a. Avoided people or places in Los Angeles County to avoid unfair treatment because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS L	GBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores	493	100.0				100.0			434	100.0			0.248
Yes	93	22.0	17.0	27.8	15	30.1	15.9	49.5	77	20.5	15.4	26.7	
No	400	78.0	72.2	83.0	39	69.9	50.5	84.1	357	79.5	73.3	84.6	
Avoided places of entertainment	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.065
Yes	63	18.8	13.9	24.9	12	32.0	16.5	52.9	50	16.5	11.6	22.8	
No	430	81.2	75.1	86.1	42	68.0	47.1	83.5	384	83.5	77.2	88.4	

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided health care provider	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.044
Yes	47	10.9	7.6	15.3	14	20.7	10.4	37.0	33	9.4	6.1	14.3	
No	446	89.1	84.7	92.4	40	79.3	63.0	89.6	401	90.6	85.7	93.9	
Avoided public transportation	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.216
Yes	74	17.1	12.8	22.6	17	24.4	12.6	42.0	56	15.7	11.2	21.7	
No	419	82.9	77.4	87.2	37	75.6	58.0	87.4	378	84.3	78.3	88.8	
Avoided a service you or your family needs	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.141
Yes	35	9.8	6.3	14.9	9	18.0	7.2	38.1	26	8.6	5.2	14.0	
No	458	90.2	85.1	93.7	45	82.0	61.9	92.8	408	91.4	86.0	94.8	
Avoided calling law enforcement	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.119
Yes	29	7.7	4.6	12.4	7	15.6	5.6	36.6	22	6.5	3.6	11.4	
No	464	92.3	87.6	95.4	47	84.4	63.4	94.4	412	93.5	88.6	96.4	
Avoided religious service or spiritual practice	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.789
Yes	77	19.3	14.4	25.2	9	21.0	9.1	41.4	67	18.7	13.7	25.2	
No	416	80.7	74.8	85.6	45	79.0	58.6	90.9	367	81.3	74.8	86.3	

Table A10b. Avoided people or places in Los Angeles County to avoid unfair treatment because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	J		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	17	35.9	20.0	55.7	44	28.2	19.8	38.6	13	8.8	4.5	16.5	3	4.0	1.1	13.8	
No	47	64.1	44.3	80.0	160	71.8	61.4	80.2	105	91.2	83.5	95.5	44	96.0	86.2	98.9	
Avoided places of entertainment	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	10	28.1	13.1	50.3	31	23.4	15.3	34.1	5	5.5	2.0	13.9	4	5.0	1.7	13.5	
No	54	71.9	49.7	86.9	173	76.6	65.9	84.7	113	94.5	86.1	98.0	43	95.0	86.5	98.3	
Avoided health care provider	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.1

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	IAL WOMEI	N		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Yes	6	14.7	5.5	33.9	20	12.9	7.4	21.6	5	4.0	1.2	12.1	2	4.1	0.9	16.7	
No	58	85.3	66.1	94.5	184	87.1	78.4	92.6	113	96.0	87.9	98.8	45	95.9	83.3	99.1	
Avoided public transportation	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.2
Yes	7	14.3	5.3	33.2	29	18.6	11.7	28.5	17	17.2	9.0	30.3	2	2.5	0.6	10.1	
No	57	85.7	66.8	94.7	175	81.4	71.5	88.3	101	82.8	69.7	91.0	45	97.5	89.9	99.4	
Avoided a service you or your family needs	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.1
Yes	7	12.7	4.3	32.3	15	12.9	6.9	23.0	3	3.4	0.9	12.2	1	1.3	0.2	9.5	
No	57	87.3	67.7	95.7	189	87.1	77.0	93.1	115	96.6	87.8	99.1	46	98.7	90.5	99.8	
Avoided calling law enforcement	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	2	2.2	0.5	9.6	15	12.0	6.1	22.1	4	2.4	0.8	7.2	1	1.2	0.2	8.8	
No	62	97.8	90.4	99.5	189	88.0	77.9	93.9	114	97.6	92.8	99.2	46	98.8	91.2	99.8	
Avoided religious service or spiritual practice	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.3
Yes	17	30.5	16.0	50.1	30	18.7	11.2	29.4	16	16.7	9.1	28.7	3	9.4	2.3	31.0	
No	47	69.5	49.9	84.0	174	81.3	70.6	88.8	102	83.3	71.3	90.9	44	90.6	69.0	97.7	

Table A10c. Avoided people or	places in Los Angeles County to av	oid unfair treatment because of s	sexual orienta	ition or gender iden
participants (N=493) in the Live	ed Experiences in Los Angeles Cour	nty (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnic	ity	
	LGBTQ POC	LGBTQ WHITE	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE	

sided people or places in Les Angeles County to avoid unfair treatment because of sexual o ndor . . entity among Т

	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.1
Yes	60	24.6	18.1	32.6	33	16.8	10.8	25.3	
No	214	75.4	67.4	81.9	186	83.2	74.7	89.2	
Avoided places of entertainment	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.1
Yes	46	22.3	15.9	30.5	17	12.0	6.2	21.9	
No	228	77.7	69.5	84.1	202	88.0	78.1	93.8	
Avoided health care provider	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.3
Yes	31	12.2	7.8	18.5	16	8.4	4.7	14.6	
No	243	87.8	81.5	92.2	203	91.6	85.4	95.3	
Avoided public transportation	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.6
Yes	43	17.9	12.2	25.5	31	15.7	10.3	23.3	
No	231	82.1	74.5	87.8	188	84.3	76.7	89.7	
Avoided a service you or your family needs	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.7
Yes	22	10.5	6.1	17.4	13	8.5	4.1	17.1	
No	252	89.5	82.6	93.9	206	91.5	82.9	95.9	
Avoided calling law enforcement	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.9
Yes	18	7.9	4.2	14.4	11	7.3	3.1	15.8	
No	256	92.1	85.6	95.8	208	92.7	84.2	96.9	
Avoided religious service or spiritual practice	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.7
Yes	51	20.1	14.0	27.8	26	17.7	10.6	28.0	
No	223	79.9	72.2	86.0	193	82.3	72.0	89.4	

	L	.GBTQ BELO	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.063
Yes	33	29.1	19.5	40.9	60	18.1	13.0	24.7	
No	109	70.9	59.1	80.5	290	81.9	75.3	87.0	
Avoided places of entertainment	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.013
Yes	30	28.3	18.7	40.3	33	13.7	8.8	20.7	
No	112	71.7	59.7	81.3	317	86.3	79.3	91.2	
Avoided health care provider	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.28
Yes	19	13.8	7.9	22.9	28	9.3	5.8	14.7	
No	123	86.2	77.1	92.1	322	90.7	85.3	94.2	
Avoided public transportation	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.032
Yes	28	24.4	15.6	36.1	46	13.2	9.1	18.8	
No	114	75.6	63.9	84.4	304	86.8	81.2	90.9	
Avoided a service you or your family needs	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.008
Yes	19	17.4	9.9	28.8	16	5.7	2.9	10.9	
No	123	82.6	71.2	90.1	334	94.3	89.1	97.1	
Avoided calling law enforcement	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.029
Yes	15	13.3	6.8	24.3	14	4.6	2.2	9.6	
No	127	86.7	75.7	93.2	336	95.4	90.4	97.8	
Avoided religious service or spiritual practice	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.545
Yes	24	21.6	13.1	33.4	53	18.0	12.6	25.1	
No	118	78.4	66.6	86.9	297	82.0	74.9	87.4	

Table A10d. Avoided people or places in Los Angeles County to avoid unfair treatment because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

RAO-SCOTT ALL LGBTQ TNB **CIS LGBQ** CHI-SQUARE % 95% CI % 95% CI % 95% CI P-VALUE n n n 100.0 0.075 Avoided restaurants or stores 493 100.0 54 100.0 434 Yes 57 14.3 10.3 19.4 10 25.0 11.8 45.3 45 12.2 8.4 17.4 87.8 No 436 85.7 80.6 89.7 44 75.0 54.7 88.2 389 82.6 91.6 Avoided public parks or beaches 100.0 100.0 0.007 493 100.0 54 434 Yes 69 15.7 11.5 21.0 13 33.1 17.4 53.8 54 12.6 8.9 17.7 No 424 84.3 79.0 88.5 41 66.9 46.2 82.6 380 87.4 82.3 91.1 54 0.123 Avoided places of entertainment 493 100.0 100.0 434 100.0 8 45.7 16.9 Yes 49 13.2 9.2 18.5 23.3 9.9 40 11.6 7.8 No 444 46 76.7 88.4 83.1 86.8 81.5 90.8 54.3 90.1 394 92.2 Avoided health care provider 54 100.0 434 100.0 0.238 493 100.0 Yes 26 7.5 4.6 12.2 6 12.9 4.9 30.0 20 6.8 3.8 11.9 467 92.5 87.8 95.4 48 87.1 70.0 95.1 414 93.2 88.1 96.2 No Avoided religious service or 493 100.0 54 100.0 434 100.0 0.5 spiritual practice Yes 60 14.9 10.8 20.3 9 19.5 8.0 40.2 51 14.3 10.1 20.0 433 85.1 79.7 89.2 45 80.5 59.8 92.0 383 85.7 80.0 89.9 No Avoided public transportation 54 100.0 434 0.038 493 100.0 100.0 12.9 16.9 Yes 66 13.7 9.9 18.8 13 26.6 46.8 53 11.9 8.2 No 427 86.3 81.2 90.1 41 73.4 53.2 87.1 381 88.1 83.1 91.8 Avoided LGBTQ bar, nightclub, or 493 100.0 54 100.0 434 100.0 0.037 event Yes 75 14.8 11.1 19.5 12 27.3 13.5 47.4 62 12.5 9.1 17.0 418 85.2 80.5 88.9 42 72.7 52.6 86.5 372 87.5 83.0 90.9 No Avoided LGBTQ business or 493 100.0 54 100.0 434 100.0 0.063 organization 9.3 4 13.8 4.3 4.5 2.8 7.4 Yes 28 5.7 3.5 36.2 24 465 94.3 90.7 96.5 50 86.2 63.8 95.7 410 95.5 92.6 97.2 No

Table A11a. Avoided people or places in Los Angeles County to avoid being threatened or physically attacked because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

Table A11b. Avoided people or places in Los Angeles County to avoid being threatened or physically attacked because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LES	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	10	21.9	9.3	43.2	28	17.1	10.9	25.8	7	5.9	2.4	13.7	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
No	54	78.1	56.8	90.7	176	82.9	74.2	89.1	111	94.1	86.3	97.6	47	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Avoided public parks or beaches	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.1
Yes	9	12.9	6.0	25.9	25	16.2	9.6	26.0	18	11.8	6.6	20.0	2	2.6	0.6	10.5	
No	55	87.1	74.1	94.0	179	83.8	74.0	90.4	100	88.2	80.0	93.4	45	97.4	89.5	99.4	
Avoided places of entertainment	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	8	9.2	4.0	19.8	27	20.3	12.9	30.3	3	3.5	0.9	12.2	2	2.5	0.6	10.1	
No	56	90.8	80.2	96.0	177	79.7	69.7	87.1	115	96.5	87.8	99.1	45	97.5	89.9	99.4	
Avoided health care provider	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.1
Yes	5	7.1	2.5	18.5	12	10.9	5.2	21.4	3	3.3	0.9	12.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
No	59	92.9	81.5	97.5	192	89.1	78.6	94.8	115	96.7	87.8	99.1	47	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Avoided religious service or spiritual practice	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.3
Yes	12	24.7	11.4	45.6	24	14.5	8.5	23.7	10	9.7	4.7	18.8	4	10.7	3.1	31.1	
No	52	75.3	54.4	88.6	180	85.5	76.3	91.5	108	90.3	81.2	95.3	43	89.3	68.9	96.9	
Avoided public transportation	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	8	9.0	3.9	19.6	26	17.3	10.5	27.2	15	7.5	4.2	13.2	3	3.2	0.9	10.5	
No	56	91.0	80.4	96.1	178	82.7	72.8	89.5	103	92.5	86.8	95.8	44	96.8	89.5	99.1	
Avoided LGBTQ bar, nightclub, or event	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.1
Yes	15	20.3	10.7	35.1	26	13.7	8.2	22.0	13	7.5	3.9	14.0	7	8.9	3.6	20.0	
No	49	79.7	64.9	89.3	178	86.3	78.0	91.8	105	92.5	86.0	96.1	40	91.1	80.0	96.4	
Avoided LGBTQ business or organization	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.2
Yes	6	9.4	3.4	23.2	12	4.9	2.3	10.0	3	1.6	0.5	5.5	3	4.7	1.2	16.4	
No	58	90.6	76.8	96.6	192	95.1	90.0	97.7	115	98.4	94.5	99.5	44	95.3	83.6	98.8	

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.3
Yes	40	16.1	10.9	23.0	17	10.8	5.7	19.4	
No	234	83.9	77.0	89.1	202	89.2	80.6	94.3	
Avoided public parks or beaches	274	100.0			219	100.0			1
Yes	40	15.7	10.6	22.5	29	15.8	9.4	25.2	
No	234	84.3	77.5	89.4	190	84.2	74.8	90.6	
Avoided places of entertainment	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.8
Yes	33	13.7	8.9	20.5	16	12.1	6.2	22.4	
No	241	86.3	79.5	91.1	203	87.9	77.6	93.8	
Avoided health care provider	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.4
Yes	18	8.6	4.7	15.3	8	5.5	2.4	11.8	
No	256	91.4	84.7	95.3	211	94.5	88.2	97.6	
Avoided religious service or spiritual practice	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.3
Yes	42	16.5	11.3	23.6	18	11.7	6.1	21.3	
No	232	83.5	76.4	88.7	201	88.3	78.7	93.9	
Avoided public transportation	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.4
Yes	34	12.3	7.8	18.9	32	16.5	10.4	25.3	
No	240	87.7	81.1	92.2	187	83.5	74.7	89.6	
Avoided LGBTQ bar, nightclub, or event	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.7
Yes	45	15.3	10.6	21.5	30	13.8	8.4	21.8	
No	229	84.7	78.5	89.4	189	86.2	78.2	91.6	
Avoided LGBTQ business or organization	274	100.0			219	100.0			0.5
Yes	22	6.6	3.9	11.0	6	4.0	1.1	13.9	
No	252	93.4	89.0	96.1	213	96.0	86.1	98.9	

Table A11c. Avoided people or places in Los Angeles County to avoid being threatened or physically attacked because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

	L	GBTQ BELC	LOW 200% FPL 95% Cl		LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.121
Yes	21	19.2	11.4	30.5	36	11.6	7.7	17.1	
No	121	80.8	69.5	88.6	314	88.4	82.9	92.3	
Avoided public parks or beaches	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.059
Yes	28	21.9	13.8	33.0	41	12.3	8.1	18.3	
No	114	78.1	67.0	86.2	309	87.7	81.7	91.9	
Avoided places of entertainment	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.254
Yes	17	16.9	9.5	28.2	32	11.2	7.1	17.2	
No	125	83.1	71.8	90.5	318	88.8	82.8	92.9	
Avoided health care provider	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.823
Yes	12	8.1	4.2	15.1	14	7.3	3.6	14.1	
No	130	91.9	84.9	95.8	336	92.7	85.9	96.4	
Avoided religious service or spiritual practice	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.181
Yes	22	19.3	11.6	30.5	38	12.5	8.2	18.7	
No	120	80.7	69.5	88.4	312	87.5	81.3	91.8	
Avoided public transportation	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.024
Yes	26	20.6	12.6	31.8	40	10.0	6.5	15.1	
No	116	79.4	68.2	87.4	310	90.0	84.9	93.5	
Avoided LGBTQ bar, nightclub, or event	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.065
Yes	28	20.2	12.9	30.4	47	11.8	8.1	17.0	
No	114	79.8	69.6	87.1	303	88.2	83.0	91.9	
Avoided LGBTQ business or organization	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.018
Yes	15	10.2	5.1	19.3	13	3.4	1.7	6.5	
No	127	89.8	80.7	94.9	337	96.6	93.5	98.3	

Table A11d. Avoided people or places in Los Angeles County to avoid being threatened or physically attacked because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

Table A12a. Victimization among those who have lived entire life in Los Angeles County among participants (N=158) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS I	GBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever mugged, held up, threatened with a weapon, or assaulted (personal crime)	158	100.0			21	100.0			134	100.0			0.498
Yes	52	38.7	28.8	49.6	8	47.0	22.4	73.2	42	37.2	26.4	49.4	
No	106	61.3	50.4	71.2	13	53.0	26.8	77.6	92	62.8	50.6	73.6	
Ever had someone intentionally damage property owned by you or someone in your house (property crime)	158	100.0			21	100.0			134	100.0			0.592
Yes	64	42.1	32.0	52.9	10	36.1	16.1	62.5	52	43.4	32.2	55.4	
No	94	57.9	47.1	68.0	11	63.9	37.5	83.9	82	56.6	44.6	67.8	
If ever experienced both personal and property crimes, targeted because of sexual orientation or gender identity	32	100.0			5	100.0			25	100.0			0.771
Definitely yes	12	30.2	13.3	55.0	2	42.3	4.1	92.7	10	28.3	10.6	57.0	
Probably yes	9	41.3	20.3	66.2	2	48.8	5.6	93.9	6	40.1	16.8	68.9	
Probably no	5	14.9	4.0	42.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	16.7	4.0	49.2	
Definitely no	6	13.6	3.8	38.4	1	8.9	0.4	69.6	5	14.8	3.6	45.0	

Table A12b. Victimization among those who have lived entire life in Los Angeles County among participants (N=158) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISE	KUAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever mugged, held up, threatened with a weapon, or assaulted (personal crime)	20	100.0			53	100.0			45	100.0			16	100.0			0.7
Yes	4	42.0	14.7	75.2	17	36.4	20.3	56.3	14	30.5	15.2	51.8	7	50.3	21.1	79.4	
No	16	58.0	24.8	85.3	36	63.6	43.7	79.7	31	69.5	48.2	84.8	9	49.7	20.6	78.9	
Ever had someone intentionally damage property owned by you or someone in your house (property crime)	20	100.0			53	100.0			45	100.0			16	100.0			0.9
Yes	8	50.5	21.4	79.3	20	44.8	27.2	63.9	17	38.8	21.3	59.8	7	44.2	16.8	75.7	
No	12	49.5	20.7	78.6	33	55.2	36.1	72.8	28	61.2	40.2	78.7	9	55.8	24.3	83.2	
If ever experienced both personal and property crimes, targeted because of sexual orientation or gender identity	4	100.0			10	100.0			8	100.0			0	0.0			0
Definitely yes	2	57.1	2.8	98.4	6	32.3	7.8	72.8	2	9.2	1.1	47.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Probably yes	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	67.7	27.2	92.2	1	21.3	1.7	80.4	0	87.9	0.0	0.0	
Probably no	1	5.8	0.1	72.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	53.9	10.8	91.9	0	5.5	0.0	0.0	
Definitely no	1	37.2	1.1	97.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	15.6	2.4	58.7	0	6.6	0.0	0.0	

Table A12c. Victimization among those who have lived entire life in Los Angeles County among participants (N=158) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95%	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever mugged, held up, threatened with a weapon, or assaulted (personal crime)	127	100.0			31	100.0			0.7
Yes	41	39.4	28.5	51.5	11	34.7	14.8	61.9	
No	86	60.6	48.5	71.5	20	65.3	38.1	85.2	
Ever had someone intentionally damage property owned by you or someone in your house (property crime)	127	100.0			31	100.0			0
Yes	56	47.3	36.0	58.9	8	14.3	5.5	32.1	
No	71	52.7	41.1	64.0	23	85.7	67.9	94.5	
If ever experienced both personal and property crimes, targeted because of sexual orientation or gender identity	27	100.0			5	100.0			0.4
Definitely yes	9	28.6	11.3	55.7	3	50.8	5.2	95.1	
Probably yes	9	44.5	21.5	70.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Probably no	3	12.3	2.4	44.6	2	49.2	4.9	94.8	
Definitely no	6	14.6	4.0	41.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Table A12d. Victimization among those who have lived entire life in Los Angeles County among participants (N=158) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC)W 200% FI	PL	LGB.	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	6 FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Ever mugged, held up, threatened with a weapon, or assaulted (personal crime)	71	100.0			86	100.0			0.303
Yes	28	44.5	29.8	60.1	24	33.3	20.6	49.0	
No	43	55.5	39.9	70.2	62	66.7	51.0	79.4	

	L	.GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever had someone intentionally damage property owned by you or someone in your house (property crime)	71	100.0			86	100.0			0.619
Yes	29	39.4	25.4	55.3	35	44.8	30.8	59.6	
No	42	60.6	44.7	74.6	51	55.2	40.4	69.2	
If ever experienced both personal and property crimes, targeted because of sexual orientation or gender identity	18	100.0			14	100.0			0.023
Definitely yes	10	48.4	19.8	78.0	2	8.1	1.2	39.7	
Probably yes	3	24.0	6.8	57.9	6	62.4	25.3	89.0	
Probably no	2	21.7	4.3	63.3	3	6.6	1.5	25.0	
Definitely no	3	5.9	1.3	23.0	3	23.0	4.1	67.5	

Table A13. Victimization among participants (N=8,259) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023

		ALL L	.GBTQ			NON-	LGBTQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever had something stolen from house or car	1,006				7253				0.047
Yes	272	26.6	22.8	30.4	1578	22.6	21.3	24.0	
No	734	73.4	69.6	77.2	5675	77.4	76.0	78.7	
Ever mugged, hit, or shot in own neighborhood	1,006				7253				0.509
Yes	53	5.3	3.5	7.2	343	4.6	4.0	5.3	
No	953	94.7	92.8	96.5	6910	95.4	94.7	96.0	
Ever sexually assaulted in neighborhood	1,006				7253				0.361
Yes	19	1.5	0.5	2.5	82	1.0	0.7	1.3	
No	987	98.5	97.5	99.5	7171	99.0	98.7	99.3	

FAMILY AND SOCIAL NETWORKS

		ALL	LGBTQ			CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS G	AY MEN			CIS BISEX	JAL WOME	N		CIS BISE	XUAL MEN	I	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Immediate family	490	100.0			63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	47	13.1	9.3	18.1	4	6.8	2.0	20.9	11	6.6	3.4	12.3	16	14.9	8.3	25.4	13	46.8	28.2	66.4	
Some	82	17.8	13.4	23.2	6	5.6	2.2	13.4	18	10.7	5.9	18.6	33	32.8	21.6	46.3	15	21.4	11.4	36.5	
Most	66	12.5	9.2	16.8	6	9.5	3.8	21.8	29	11.9	7.7	18.2	20	15.1	8.6	25.3	6	16.6	5.8	38.9	
All	254	47.8	41.7	54.0	43	63.4	45.1	78.5	141	68.9	60.0	76.6	27	21.6	12.2	35.4	11	13.7	6.5	26.6	
Does not apply	34	8.0	5.2	12.1	4	14.7	4.8	37.0	5	1.9	0.7	4.8	17	13.3	7.0	24.1	1	0.8	0.1	6.1	
Don't know	7	0.8	0.3	1.7	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	2.3	0.8	6.4	1	0.6	0.1	4.8	
Extended family	489	100.0			63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	95	22.5	17.4	28.4	10	13.8	6.4	27.5	19	10.3	6.3	16.6	36	30.3	19.6	43.6	22	60.0	41.3	76.2	
Some	115	23.8	19.1	29.3	9	18.5	7.7	38.2	37	18.4	12.2	26.8	43	37.6	26.3	50.4	13	26.3	13.6	44.7	
Most	60	11.7	8.5	15.9	6	5.8	1.9	16.4	33	17.2	11.1	25.6	8	6.9	2.9	15.5	5	8.8	3.0	23.0	
All	169	32.2	26.7	38.4	35	54.4	36.8	71.0	105	50.7	41.3	59.9	8	6.2	1.7	20.2	4	2.8	0.9	8.3	
Does not apply	39	8.6	5.8	12.5	3	7.4	2.2	22.1	6	2.3	0.9	5.3	19	17.5	9.7	29.5	1	0.8	0.1	6.1	
Don't know	11	1.1	0.6	2.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	1.2	0.4	3.4	3	1.5	0.5	5.1	2	1.2	0.3	5.2	
LGBTQ friends	490	100.0			63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	8	3.2	1.3	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	2.8	0.5	14.6	3	2.3	0.5	10.0	3	13.3	3.7	37.7	
Some	42	12.4	8.3	18.0	4	9.2	1.9	34.5	7	3.0	1.4	6.4	16	20.1	11.1	33.8	9	26.3	11.8	48.6	
Most	39	7.8	5.3	11.3	7	9.1	3.9	19.8	7	4.3	1.9	9.4	16	8.8	5.0	15.0	5	15.8	5.9	35.8	
All	362	68.8	62.6	74.4	50	75.9	56.8	88.3	184	88.8	80.9	93.7	61	51.8	39.1	64.4	27	41.8	25.0	60.8	
Does not apply	31	5.9	3.9	9.0	2	5.8	1.4	21.5	4	1.1	0.4	3.4	15	9.9	5.4	17.3	2	2.2	0.5	9.3	
Don't know	8	1.9	0.7	4.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	7.1	2.4	19.0	1	0.6	0.1	4.8	

Table A14a. Level of outness to family and friends among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

		ALL	LGBTQ			CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS G	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	JAL WOMI	EN		CIS BISE	XUAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	6% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Non-LGBTQ friends	490	100.0			63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	25	7.0	4.1	11.6	3	2.0	0.6	6.7	5	4.2	1.2	13.4	8	8.7	3.4	20.8	7	22.9	9.5	45.5	
Some	98	21.8	17.0	27.5	14	21.8	10.1	40.9	30	16.7	11.2	24.3	26	21.9	13.1	34.4	18	41.3	23.9	61.2	
Most	96	17.8	13.8	22.7	8	12.2	5.3	25.5	36	15.3	10.3	22.3	32	22.4	13.9	34.1	7	8.9	3.6	20.5	
All	240	47.2	41.1	53.4	36	58.2	40.3	74.1	130	62.8	53.5	71.1	36	35.8	24.2	49.5	12	24.0	11.3	44.1	
Does not apply	25	5.1	3.2	8.1	2	5.8	1.4	21.5	3	1.0	0.3	3.3	12	8.3	4.3	15.6	2	2.2	0.5	9.3	
Don't know	6	1.1	0.4	2.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	2.8	0.8	9.4	1	0.6	0.1	4.8	

Note: Authors' calculations based on this table appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Immediate family	272	100.0			218	100.0			0
None	31	14.7	9.7	21.6	16	10.0	5.3	18.1	
Some	56	20.7	14.8	28.2	26	12.2	7.2	19.9	
Most	49	15.5	10.9	21.6	17	6.8	3.6	12.4	
All	105	38.4	30.6	46.8	149	65.9	56.8	74.0	
Does not apply	27	10.1	6.2	16.0	7	4.0	1.7	9.0	
Don't know	4	0.6	0.2	1.8	3	1.1	0.3	3.5	
Extended family	272	100.0			217	100.0			0
None	59	24.4	17.8	32.4	36	18.8	12.2	27.9	
Some	80	29.0	22.4	36.6	35	13.9	9.4	20.2	
Most	30	10.5	6.6	16.2	30	14.1	9.2	21.0	
All	68	24.6	17.9	32.8	101	47.0	38.2	56.0	
Does not apply	30	10.7	6.8	16.4	9	4.5	2.1	9.5	
Don't know	5	0.9	0.3	2.4	6	1.5	0.6	3.4	
LGBTQ friends	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.007
None	6	4.5	1.7	11.2	2	0.8	0.2	3.8	
Some	29	15.5	9.8	23.5	13	6.4	3.0	13.3	
Most	24	7.2	4.5	11.4	15	9.0	4.8	16.1	
All	181	63.1	54.8	70.8	181	79.7	71.3	86.2	
Does not apply	25	7.1	4.3	11.4	6	3.7	1.5	8.8	
Don't know	7	2.7	0.9	7.3	1	0.4	0.0	2.5	
Non-LGBTQ friends	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.122
None	18	8.5	4.6	15.1	7	4.0	1.3	11.4	
Some	59	24.3	17.8	32.2	39	17.1	11.6	24.5	
Most	50	14.8	10.5	20.4	46	23.6	16.3	32.9	
All	121	45.1	37.1	53.4	119	51.2	42.2	60.0	
Does not apply	20	6.3	3.7	10.6	5	2.9	1.1	7.6	
Don't know	4	1.0	0.3	3.4	2	1.2	0.3	5.3	

Table A14b. Level of outness to family and friends among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by race/ethnicity

Note: Authors' calculations based on this table appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A15. Parenting and family formation intentions of LGBTQ adult participants (n=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles (LELAC) Survey

	n	%		95% Cl
Lifetime parent				
Yes	70	18.1	12.8	23.3
No	416	81.9	76.7	87.2
Child < 18 in household (among parents)				
Yes	25	41.3	24.6	57.9
No	45	58.7	42.1	75.4
Child type (any)				
Biological	53	79.8	67.8	91.7
Step	16	24.2	9.9	38.5
Adopted/foster	9	15.0	2.3	27.6
Want children (any or more) (among those under age 50) (n=309)				
Yes	179	61.8	54.4	69.2
No	130	38.2	30.8	45.6
Methods considering to have child (n=195)				
Intercourse	93	52.6	42.8	62.5
ART (incl surrogacy)	106	47.9	38.0	57.8
Adoption	129	60.9	51.0	70.9
Fostering	65	29.4	20.5	38.3

Note: Additional analyses on file with authors.

COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY

Data on social acceptance in neighborhoods is available in the Overall Climate chapter.

		LGI	вто			NON-I	LGBTQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	6 CI	n	%	P-VALUE		
Total	1,006				7,252				0.337
Feel safe	730	73.2	69.3	77.1	5,506	75.2	73.8	76.6	
Do not feel safe	276	26.8	22.9	30.7	1,746	24.8	23.4	26.2	

Table A16a. Perceptions of neighborhood safety among participants (N=8,258) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023

Table A16b. Perceptions of neighborhood safety among participants (N=8,258) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by sexual orientation

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOMEN	١		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	6 CI	P-VALUE
Total	129				400				253				97				0.143
Feel safe	92	70.8	60.4	81.3	314	74.5	67.8	81.2	165	66.5	58.0	74.9	76	81.5	71.8	91.1	
Do not feel safe	37	29.2	18.7	39.6	86	25.5	18.8	32.2	88	33.5	25.1	42.0	21	18.5	8.9	28.2	

Table A16c. Perceptions of neighborhood safety among participants (N=8,258) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC)W 200% F	PL	LGB	IQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	6 FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	P-VALUE		
Total	302				704				0.0006
Feel safe	184	63.4	55.5	71.3	546	78.3	74.1	82.5	
Do not feel safe	118	36.6	28.7	44.5	158	21.7	17.5	25.9	

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS I	.GBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
			n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE		
How often do you feel safe in your neighborhood	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.011
None of the time	14	3.9	1.8	8.3	4	5.6	1.5	18.3	10	3.7	1.5	8.9	
Some of the time	122	25.1	20.1	30.8	13	17.4	8.6	32.0	108	26.1	20.7	32.4	
Most of the time	278	51.5	45.4	57.5	22	37.2	21.7	55.9	254	54.1	47.5	60.5	
All of the time	90	19.5	15.0	25.0	17	39.9	23.0	59.6	71	16.1	12.0	21.4	
Most or all of the time	368	71.0	65.0	76.4	39	77.0	61.3	87.7	325	70.2	63.6	76.1	

Table A17a. Feelings of safety in neighborhood among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

Table A17b. Feelings of safety in neighborhood among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
How often do you feel safe in your neighborhood	279	100.0			225	100.0			0
None of the time	12	5.6	2.5	12.2	2	0.7	0.2	2.9	
Some of the time	84	30.7	23.7	38.6	38	14.3	9.8	20.5	
Most of the time	137	44.5	36.8	52.5	141	64.9	56.4	72.6	
All of the time	46	19.2	13.5	26.7	44	20.0	13.8	28.2	
Most or all of the time	183	63.7	55.5	71.2	185	85.0	78.8	89.6	

Table A17c. Feelings of safety in neighborhood among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	Ŀ	GBTQ BELC)W 200% FI	۶L	LGB	IQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
How often do you feel safe in your neighborhood	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.012
None of the time	9	4.3	2.0	9.3	5	3.7	1.2	11.2	
Some of the time	56	37.9	27.8	49.1	65	18.3	13.3	24.6	
Most of the time	62	44.6	33.9	55.9	216	55.2	47.8	62.3	
All of the time	18	13.2	6.8	23.9	72	22.9	17.2	29.7	
Most or all of the time	80	57.8	46.6	68.2	288	78.0	70.8	83.9	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A17 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A18a. Experienced verbal harassment at an LGBTQ place or event in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS LES	BIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed at and LGBTQ place or event	502	100.0			65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0.008
Yes	132	22.6	18.1	27.8	22	34.5	19.6	53.2	65	25.4	18.5	33.9	14	8.4	4.6	15.0	12	25.0	12.2	44.3	
No	370	77.4	72.2	81.9	43	65.5	46.8	80.4	140	74.6	66.1	81.5	108	91.6	85.0	95.4	36	75.0	55.7	87.8	
Most recent experience	132	100.0			22	100.0			65	100.0			14	100.0			12	100.0			0.375
Less than one year ago	39	23.1	15.3	33.3	8	26.2	9.5	54.7	19	20.5	11.7	33.4	3	20.0	4.6	56.4	3	27.9	4.7	75.1	
One to five years ago	54	46.6	34.8	58.7	8	52.5	23.0	80.4	27	49.2	32.6	66.0	8	65.0	33.4	87.3	4	17.2	4.4	48.7	
More than five years ago	39	30.3	20.9	41.7	6	21.2	6.6	50.6	19	30.3	17.6	47.0	3	15.0	3.7	44.5	5	54.9	19.1	86.2	

Table A18b. Experienced verbal harassment at an LGBTQ place or event in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% Cl n % 95% Cl		% CI	P-VALUE		
Ever verbally harassed at and LGBTQ place or event	277	100.0			225	100.0			0.012
Yes	61	18.2	13.0	24.8	71	30.9	23.4	39.6	
No	216	81.8	75.2	87.0	154	69.1	60.4	76.6	
Most recent experience	61	100.0			71	100.0			0.932
Less than one year ago	23	24.0	14.0	38.0	16	22.2	11.3	39.0	
One to five years ago	23	44.6	27.6	62.9	31	48.8	33.3	64.6	
More than five years ago	15	31.4	17.8	49.2	24	29.0	17.5	44.2	

01 I X	-		•		0		. ,		·			
	L	GBTQ BELC	DW 200% F	PL	LGB	LGBTQ AT OR ABOVE 200% FPL						
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE			
Ever verbally harassed at and LGBTQ place or event	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.041			
Yes	27	15.3	9.1	24.7	105	26.5	20.8	33.0				
No	117	84.7	75.3	90.9	252	73.5	67.0	79.2				
Most recent experience	27	100.0			105	100.0			0.306			
Less than one year ago	5	10.0	2.9	28.9	34	27.2	17.6	39.4				
One to five years ago	12	54.2	28.1	78.2	42	44.2	31.4	57.9				
More than five years ago	10	35.8	15.3	63.4	29	28.6	18.6	41.2				

Table A18c. Experienced verbal harassment at an LGBTQ place or event in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A18 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A19a. Avoided LGBTQ places or events out of safety concerns based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	GBTQ			17	NB			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided LGBTQ bars, nightclubs, or events to avoid threat or attack	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.037
Yes	75	14.8	11.1	19.5	12	27.3	13.5	47.4	62	12.5	9.1	17.0	
No	418	85.2	80.5	88.9	42	72.7	52.6	86.5	372	87.5	83.0	90.9	
Avoided LGBTQ businesses or or attack	493	100.0			54	100.0			434	100.0			0.063
Yes	28	5.7	3.5	9.3	4	13.8	4.3	36.2	24	4.5	2.8	7.4	
No	465	94.3	90.7	96.5	50	86.2	63.8	95.7	410	95.5	92.6	97.2	

Table A19b. Avoided LGBTQ places or events out of safety concerns based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among
participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC)W 200% F	PL	LGB1	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	6 CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided LGBTQ bars, nightclubs, or events to avoid threat or attack	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.065
Yes	28	20.2	12.9	30.4	47	11.8	8.1	17.0	
No	114	79.8	69.6	87.1	303	88.2	83.0	91.9	
Avoided LGBTQ businesses or organizations to avoid threat or attack	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.018
Yes	15 10.2		5.1	19.3	13	3.4	1.7	6.5	
No	127	89.8	80.7	94.9	337	96.6	93.5	98.3	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A19 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

RELIGION & SPIRITUALITY

Table A20a. Religious and spiritual beliefs and practices among participants (N=488) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by race/ethnicity

		ALL L	.GBTQ			LGBT	Q POC			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Are you a religious or spiritual person?	488	100.0			270	100.0			218	100.0			0.21
Yes	64	13.5	9.8	18.4	41	15.0	9.9	22.0	23	10.7	6.7	16.8	
No	230	51.0	44.8	57.1	140	52.8	44.6	60.9	90	47.5	38.7	56.6	
Don't know	194	35.5	30.0	41.4	89	32.2	25.2	40.1	105	41.8	33.5	50.5	
Are you a spiritual person?	488	100.0			270	100.0			218	100.0			0.082
Yes	313	67.7	61.8	73.0	191	70.2	62.3	77.1	122	62.8	54.3	70.6	
No	130	23.4	18.8	28.7	54	19.8	14.2	26.9	76	30.2	23.1	38.4	
Don't know	45	9.0	6.1	13.0	25	10.0	6.1	16.1	20	7.0	4.1	11.5	
Importance of religion	488	100.0			270	100.0			218	100.0			0.039
Very important	77	18.2	13.6	23.9	56	23.0	16.5	31.1	21	9.2	5.7	14.5	
Somewhat important	110	23.2	18.5	28.8	63	21.8	16.0	29.0	47	25.9	18.5	34.9	
Very or somewhat important	187	41.5	35.5	47.7	119	44.8	36.8	53.1	68	35.1	27.0	44.1	
Not too important	90	21.3	16.5	27.0	54	21.0	15.2	28.4	36	21.7	14.4	31.3	
Not at all important	198	35.8	30.2	41.8	91	32.7	25.5	40.9	107	41.6	33.4	50.3	
Not too or not at all important	288	57.1	50.8	63.1	145	53.8	45.5	61.8	143	63.3	54.3	71.4	
Don't know	13	1.5	0.8	2.8	6	1.4	0.5	3.6	7	1.7	0.8	3.6	
Frequency of attending services	488	100.0			270	100.0			218	100.0			0.757
More than once a week	7	1.5	0.6	3.7	4	1.7	0.5	5.4	3	1.0	0.3	3.3	
Once a week	18	3.2	1.7	5.9	9	3.0	1.2	7.3	9	3.4	1.6	7.1	
Once or twice a month	24	5.3	3.2	8.5	18	6.3	3.5	11.0	6	3.4	1.4	8.0	
At least once a month	49	9.5	6.7	13.4	31	10.6	6.8	16.1	18	7.5	4.5	12.5	
A few times a year	67	16.7	12.3	22.3	41	17.7	11.9	25.5	26	14.7	9.1	23.0	
Seldom	134	26.9	21.9	32.6	79	26.9	20.4	34.5	55	26.9	19.7	35.5	
Never	238	46.5	40.3	52.7	119	44.3	36.3	52.6	119	50.6	41.7	59.4	

Table A20b. Religious and spiritual beliefs and practices among participants (N=488) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey,	
by economic status	

		LGBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGE	BTQ AT OR A	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE	
	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Are you a religious or spiritual person?	140	100.0			347	100.0			0.192
Yes	27	18.0	10.7	28.8	37	11.1	7.3	16.5	
No	75	52.7	41.4	63.8	155	50.1	42.8	57.4	
Don't know	38	29.3	20.0	40.7	155	38.8	32.2	45.9	
Are you a spiritual person?	140	100.0			347	100.0			0.693
Yes	100	69.0	57.4	78.7	213	67.0	60.3	73.1	
No	27	20.6	12.7	31.6	103	24.9	19.6	31.0	
Don't know	13	10.3	5.0	20.2	31	8.1	5.2	12.5	
Importance of religion	140	100.0			347	100.0			0.179
Very important	37	25.0	16.4	36.2	40	14.6	9.7	21.4	
Somewhat important	35	23.3	15.3	33.8	75	23.2	17.7	29.9	
Very or somewhat important	72	48.3	37.2	59.6	115	37.8	30.9	45.3	
Not too important	24	22.1	14.0	33.1	65	20.7	15.2	27.7	
Not at all important	38	27.8	18.5	39.4	160	40.1	33.3	47.3	
Not too or not at all important	62	49.9	38.6	61.1	225	60.9	53.4	67.9	
Don't know	6	1.8	0.8	4.3	7	1.3	0.5	3.3	
Frequency of attending services	140	100.0			347	100.0			0.04
More than once a week	2	0.7	0.2	2.9	5	2.0	0.7	5.5	
Once a week	5	3.7	1.1	11.6	13	2.9	1.5	5.6	
Once or twice a month	15	10.4	5.5	18.8	9	2.5	1.2	5.1	
At least once a month	22	14.4	8.4	23.5	27	7.0	4.4	10.8	
A few times a year	17	13.3	6.9	24.1	50	18.6	13.1	25.7	
Seldom	44	31.6	22.3	42.8	90	24.3	18.8	31.0	
Never	57	40.3	29.7	52.0	180	49.7	42.4	57.0	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A20 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

		ALL LGBTQ CIS LESBIANS						CIS GAY MEN				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN				CIS BISEXUAL MEN				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE	
	n	%	95%	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Out to people in your religious or spiritual community	490	100.0			63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	88	23.2	18.1	29.2	6	6.6	2.0	19.8	13	6.9	3.8	12.4	42	44.9	32.5	58.1	18	54.8	35.8	72.4	
Some	38	9.2	6.0	13.8	6	14.7	5.1	36.0	13	7.6	3.5	15.8	10	11.7	5.2	24.3	7	11.8	4.4	28.0	
Most	9	1.2	0.6	2.4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7	2.1	1.0	4.7	1	0.3	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
All	73	14.8	10.7	20.2	18	33.1	17.6	53.4	39	20.3	13.1	30.1	4	3.0	0.8	10.3	3	8.7	1.9	31.8	
Does not apply to me	274	50.5	44.3	56.7	32	44.6	28.6	61.9	131	62.4	52.6	71.3	58	39.1	27.9	51.7	18	24.1	13.0	40.3	
Don't know	8	1.2	0.5	2.5	1	0.9	0.1	6.6	1	0.6	0.1	4.3	2	1.0	0.2	4.6	1	0.6	0.1	4.4	

Table A21a. Level of outness to people with whom attend religious services or spiritual practices among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

Table A21b. Level of outness to people with whom attend religious services or spiritual practices among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	% CI	P-VALUE
Out to people in your religious or spiritual community	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.012
None	65	27.4	20.6	35.4	23	15.0	8.7	24.7	
Some	27	10.8	6.7	17.2	11	6.0	2.4	14.2	
Most	2	0.2	0.1	1.0	7	2.9	1.3	6.4	
All	33	13.4	8.2	21.2	40	17.6	12.1	24.7	
Does not apply to me	138	46.4	38.5	54.6	136	58.3	49.1	67.1	
Don't know	7	1.7	0.7	3.8	1	0.2	0.0	1.4	

		ALL L	.GBTQ		L	.GBTQ BELC	DW 200% FI	PL		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever discouraged from pursuing religion or spirituality	504	100.0			145	100.0			358	100.0			0.047
Yes	39	6.9	4.3	11.0	15	11.2	5.3	22.1	24	4.7	2.8	7.7	
No	465	93.1	89.0	95.7	130	88.8	77.9	94.7	334	95.3	92.3	97.2	
Most recent experience	39	100.0			15	100.0			24	100.0			0.13
Less than one year ago	14	46.0	23.1	70.7	6	63.4	27.3	88.9	8	23.9	9.6	48.2	
One to five years ago	11	25.9	10.7	50.4	3	19.6	3.7	60.4	8	33.9	12.7	64.3	
More than five years ago	14	28.1	13.8	48.8	6	17.0	5.0	44.3	8	42.2	19.7	68.5	

Table A22. Discouraged from pursuing religion or spirituality by a religious or spritual adviser in Los Angeles County because of LGBTQ status among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

Table A23. Did not attend religious services or spiritual practices in past year in order to avoid unfair treatment or assault because of sexual orientaiton or gender identity among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		ALL L	GBTQ	
	n	%	959	6 CI
Avoided religious or spiritual practices to avoid unfair treatment because of SOGI in the past year	493	100.0		
Yes	77	19.3	14.4	25.2
No	416	80.7	74.8	85.6
Avoided religious or spiritual practices to avoid being threated or physically attacked because of SOGI in the past year	493	100.0		
Yes	60	14.9	10.8	20.3
No	433	85.1	79.7	89.2

EMPLOYMENT

		TOTAL (N=8,261)			LGBTQ ((n=1,006)			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	% 95% CI			%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Employment status													
Employed (for pay)	4,950	62.9	61.5	64.4	702	67.0	62.8	71.3	4,248	62.5	61.0	64.0	<.0001
Unemployed (looking for work)	647	8.3	7.5	9.2	113	13.0	10.0	16.0	534	7.8	6.9	8.7	
Not in workforce	2,656	28.7	27.4	30.0	191	20.0	16.2	23.8	2,465	29.7	28.3	31.1	
Unemployed (among those in the workforce) (n=5,597)													
Yes	647	11.7	10.5	12.9	113	16.2	12.6	19.9	534	11.1	9.9	12.4	0.004
No	4,950	88.3	87.1	89.5	702	83.8	80.1	87.4	4,248	88.9	87.6	90.1	

Table A24a. Employment among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023

Table A24b. Employment among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by gender identity

		TNB (n=114)			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Employment status									0.227
Employed (for pay)	73	60.9	47.7	74.0	622	68.2	63.7	72.8	
Unemployed (looking for work)	15	20.3	8.4	32.3	96	11.8	8.9	14.7	
Not in workforce	26	18.8	8.4	29.1	161	20.0	15.9	24.2	
Unemployed (among those in the workforce) (n=5,597)									0.098
Yes	15	25.0	11.0	39.1	96	14.7	11.1	18.2	
No	73	75.0	60.9	89.0	622	85.3	81.8	88.9	

		CIS GAY N	1EN (n=400)	CIS LESBIANS (n=129)				CIS BISEXUAL MEN (n=97)				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN (n=253)				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Employment status																	0.368
Employed (for pay)	292	67.9	60.7	75.1	82	59.6	48.3	70.8	63	64.9	51.6	78.2	185	74.3	67.0	81.6	
Unemployed (looking for work)	40	10.0	5.7	14.4	13	14.2	6.6	21.8	14	14.9	5.8	24.1	29	11.7	6.7	16.8	
Not in workforce	68	22.1	15.2	29.0	34	26.2	15.5	36.9	20	20.2	8.8	31.6	39	13.9	8.0	19.9	
Unemployed (among those in the workforce) (n=5,597)																	0.560
Yes	40	12.9	7.4	18.3	13	19.3	9.4	29.1	14	18.7	7.4	30.0	29	13.6	7.8	19.4	
No	292	87.1	81.7	92.6	82	80.7	70.9	90.6	63	81.3	70.0	92.6	185	86.4	80.6	92.2	

Table A24c. Employment among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by sexual orientation

Table A24d. Employment among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by race/ethnicity

		LGBTQ PC	DC (n=551)			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Employment status									0.562
Employed (for pay)	384	68.6	62.9	74.2	315	64.3	57.8	70.8	
Unemployed (looking for work)	68	12.9	9.0	16.8	45	13.2	8.4	18.0	
Not in workforce	99	18.5	13.6	23.5	91	22.4	16.5	28.4	
Unemployed (among those in the workforce) (n=5,597)									0.750
Yes	68	15.8	11.2	20.5	45	17.0	11.1	23.0	
No	384	84.2	79.5	88.8	315	83.0	77.0	88.9	

	LGBT	Q BELOW 2	:00% FPL (I	n=302)	LGBTQ A	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Employment status									<.0001
Employed (for pay)	139	50.6	42.3	58.8	563	75.7	70.9	80.6	
Unemployed (looking for work)	65	22.7	15.7	29.7	48	7.8	5.3	10.4	
Not in workforce	98	26.7	19.8	33.6	93	16.4	11.8	21.0	
Unemployed (among those in the workforce) (n=5,597)									<.0001
Yes	65	31.0	21.9	40.1	48	9.4	6.4	12.4	
No	139	69.0	59.9	78.1	563	90.6	87.6	93.6	

Table A24e. Employment among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by economic status

Table A25a. Level of outness at work among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

		ALL L	GBTQ		CIS LESBIANS				CIS GAY MEN			CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN			CIS BISEXUAL MEN				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Out to supervisor	490	100.0			63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	206	37.7	32.0	43.7	32	55.0	37.4	71.5	109	52.7	43.3	61.9	29	17.0	10.8	25.6	10	18.4	7.7	37.7	
No	154	35.5	29.6	41.8	14	16.6	8.3	30.4	39	22.5	15.1	32.0	58	47.0	34.6	59.8	24	61.0	41.7	77.3	
Does not apply	130	26.8	21.8	32.6	17	28.3	15.0	47.0	56	24.9	18.3	32.8	30	36.0	23.9	50.1	13	20.6	10.0	38.0	
Out to coworkers	490	100.0			63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	76	21.2	16.1	27.4	6	9.9	3.7	23.8	11	5.1	2.6	9.9	32	33.8	22.2	47.7	17	52.4	33.6	70.7	
Some	110	23.3	18.5	29.0	14	12.3	6.3	22.6	33	23.9	16.1	34.0	40	33.9	22.8	47.0	12	17.8	8.8	32.7	
Most	59	10.6	7.6	14.6	5	13.1	4.0	35.3	35	14.1	9.5	20.4	10	6.5	3.2	13.0	1	0.6	0.1	4.7	
All	181	33.7	28.3	39.7	31	55.5	37.9	71.9	106	49.5	40.2	58.8	16	11.4	6.0	20.8	8	16.5	6.4	36.1	
Does not apply	56	10.3	7.4	14.3	7	9.2	3.4	22.6	15	6.3	3.3	11.6	17	13.3	6.9	24.0	8	12.1	4.6	28.1	
Don't know	8	0.8	0.4	1.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	1.1	0.4	3.3	2	1.0	0.2	4.7	1	0.6	0.1	4.4	

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ WHITE						
	n	%	959	95% CI		%	95% CI		P-VALUE			
Out to supervisor	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.155			
Yes	109	36.0	28.6	44.0	97	41.0	32.7	49.9				
No	103	39.4	31.7	47.7	51	27.9	19.8	37.8				
Does not apply	60	24.6	18.1	32.6	70	31.1	23.9	39.2				
Out to coworkers	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.053			
None	52	24.2	17.4	32.5	24	15.5	9.1	25.1				
Some	69	26.0	19.6	33.7	41	18.1	12.1	26.2	0.31489842			
Most	33	10.5	6.9	15.6	26	10.9	6.1	18.6	0.5027933			
All	80	27.9	21.1	35.9	101	45.0	36.5	53.8				
Does not apply	33	10.6	6.8	16.2	23	9.9	6.1	15.6				
Don't know	5	0.9	0.4	2.4	3	0.6	0.2	2.0				

Table A25b. Level of outness at work among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A25 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A26a. Fired/not promoted or hired in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	GBTQ			IT	NB			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	95% CI		%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Ever fired/not promoted because of SOGI	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.761
Yes	72	12.0	8.8	16.1	9	10.4	4.8	20.9	60	11.7	8.3	16.4	
No	432	88.0	83.9	91.2	47	89.6	79.1	95.2	383	88.3	83.6	91.7	
Most recent experience	72	100.0			9	100.0			60	100.0			0.362
Less than one year ago	19	20.1	11.1	33.7	3	30.2	7.2	70.6	15	16.1	7.8	30.2	
One to five years ago	25	38.8	23.9	56.1	5	52.7	17.5	85.4	20	38.9	22.2	58.7	
More than five years ago	28	41.1	26.9	57.0	1	17.1	1.7	70.9	25	45.0	28.4	62.8	

		ALL L	GBTQ			т	NB			CIS I	_GBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever not hired because of SOGI	504	100.0			56	100.0			443	100.0			0.022
Yes	59	11.3	8.0	15.7	11	23.6	11.2	43.2	46	9.3	6.2	13.6	
No	445	88.7	84.3	92.0	45	76.4	56.8	88.8	397	90.7	86.4	93.8	
Most recent experience	59	100.0			11	100.0			46	100.0			0.059
Less than one year ago	16	33.6	18.2	53.6	4	63.1	25.5	89.5	12	22.6	10.4	42.3	
One to five years ago	24	39.3	23.4	57.9	4	17.5	4.0	51.9	20	48.8	29.2	68.8	
More than five years ago	19	27.0	15.0	43.8	3	19.4	3.8	59.5	14	28.6	14.4	48.8	

Table A26b. Fired/not promoted or hired in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	Ŀ	GBTQ BELC	DW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever fired/not promoted because of SOGI	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.247
Yes	29	15.0	9.0	24.0	43	10.4	7.0	15.1	
No	116	85.0	76.0	91.0	315	89.6	84.9	93.0	
Most recent experience	29	100.0			43	100.0			0.748
Less than one year ago	9	22.7	9.0	46.6	10	18.1	7.8	36.8	
One to five years ago	11	42.7	19.0	70.4	14	35.8	18.8	57.3	
More than five years ago	9	34.6	15.4	60.4	19	46.1	27.4	66.0	
Ever not hired because of SOGI	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.001
Yes	27	19.7	12.1	30.4	32	6.9	4.4	10.7	
No	118	80.3	69.6	87.9	326	93.1	89.3	95.6	
Most recent experience	27	100.0			32	100.0			0.069
Less than one year ago	9	46.4	22.4	72.3	7	14.3	6.1	30.1	
One to five years ago	10	35.2	14.6	63.4	14	45.5	24.5	68.2	
More than five years ago	8	18.3	7.3	39.1	11	40.2	19.8	64.7	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A26 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A27a. Experienced verbal harassment at work in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS LES	BIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by co- workers because of SOGI	502	100.0			65	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0.051
Yes	90	19.4	14.9	24.9	10	15.6	6.8	31.8	40	26.9	18.4	37.5	13	10.0	4.9	19.5	11	19.3	8.8	37.3	
No	412	80.6	75.1	85.1	55	84.4	68.2	93.2	165	73.1	62.5	81.6	109	90.0	80.5	95.1	37	80.7	62.7	91.2	
Most recent experience	90	100.0			10	100.0			40	100.0			13	100.0			11	100.0			0.076
Less than one year ago	28	24.4	14.3	38.6	5	48.3	12.3	86.1	12	27.3	11.8	51.3	3	8.2	1.8	29.6	5	24.1	6.2	60.5	
One to five years ago	38	38.9	26.1	53.6	3	16.9	3.4	54.3	17	40.9	21.5	63.7	8	66.2	26.6	91.4	1	3.7	0.3	29.8	
More than five years ago	24	36.6	23.6	52.0	2	34.8	5.7	82.5	11	31.7	14.5	56.0	2	25.7	4.6	71.1	5	72.2	35.9	92.4	
Ever verbally harassed by customers because of SOGI	501	100.0			64	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0.112
Yes	94	18.7	14.3	24.2	11	19.2	7.4	41.3	44	22.9	15.4	32.7	12	8.5	3.9	17.2	9	14.1	6.1	29.3	
No	407	81.3	75.8	85.7	53	80.8	58.7	92.6	161	77.1	67.3	84.6	110	91.5	82.8	96.1	39	85.9	70.7	93.9	
Most recent experience	94	100.0			11	100.0			44	100.0			12	100.0			9	100.0			0
Less than one year ago	30	26.5	15.9	40.7	3	10.5	1.9	42.0	14	32.4	14.9	56.8	5	43.8	12.8	80.5	4	31.2	6.8	73.6	
One to five years ago	38	41.8	28.1	56.9	7	85.9	52.0	97.2	13	15.2	7.4	28.7	5	48.8	14.6	84.2	5	68.8	26.4	93.2	
More than five years ago	26	31.7	19.4	47.2	1	3.5	0.3	31.3	17	52.4	30.7	73.2	2	7.4	1.3	32.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Table A27b. Experienced verbal harassment at work in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		LGBT	Q POC			LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by co- workers because of SOGI	277	100.0			225	100.0			0.031
Yes	55	22.7	16.4	30.5	35	13.2	8.8	19.2	
No	222	77.3	69.5	83.6	190	86.8	80.8	91.2	
Most recent experience	55	100.0			35	100.0			0.45
Less than one year ago	19	27.1	14.4	45.1	9	15.7	7.0	31.6	
One to five years ago	22	35.7	20.7	54.1	16	49.7	29.9	69.6	
More than five years ago	14	37.3	21.4	56.4	10	34.6	17.7	56.6	
Ever verbally harassed by customers because of SOGI	276	100.0			225	100.0			0.292
Yes	49	16.9	11.4	24.2	45	22.2	15.4	30.8	
No	227	83.1	75.8	88.6	180	77.8	69.2	84.6	
Most recent experience	49	100.0			45	100.0			0.476
Less than one year ago	19	32.7	16.8	53.9	11	17.6	8.3	33.5	
One to five years ago	18	38.3	20.6	59.8	20	46.9	27.7	67.0	
More than five years ago	12	29.0	13.2	52.3	14	35.5	18.9	56.5	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A27 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

MISGENDERING

Table A28. Avoided health care providers in order to avoid poor treatment or for safety concerns based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=492) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS L	.GBQ			17	NB		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95%	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Total	492	100.0			434	100.0			53	100.0			0
Yes	95	23.5	18.3	29.7	61	18.9	13.6	25.5	33	55.1	35.6	73.2	
No	397	76.5	70.3	81.7	373	81.1	74.5	86.4	20	44.9	26.8	64.4	

PUBLIC SPACES

Table A29. Experienced unfair treatment in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		ALL L	.GBTQ		L	GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGE	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 200	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever experienced unfair treatment in public accommodations in Los Angeles County	504	100.0			145	100.0			358	100.0			0.032
Yes	6	0.8	0.3	2.2	4	1.8	0.5	6.1	2	0.3	0.1	1.2	
No	498	99.2	97.8	99.7	141	98.2	93.9	99.5	356	99.7	98.8	99.9	
Most recent experience	6	100.0			4	100.0			2	100.0			0.232
Less than one year ago	2	17.6	1.8	71.1	1	8.3	0.2	81.3	1	47.1	0.0		
One to five years ago	4	82.4	28.9	98.2	3	91.7	18.7	99.8	1	52.9	0.0		
More than five years ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ever unfairly denied a bank loan in Los Angeles County	504	100.0			145	100.0			358	100.0			0
Yes	44	9.4	6.2	14.0	24	19.4	11.5	30.7	20	4.2	2.3	7.3	
No	460	90.6	86.0	93.8	121	80.6	69.3	88.5	338	95.8	92.7	97.7	
Most recent experience	44	100.0			24	100.0			20	100.0			0.451
Less than one year ago	16	35.1	17.3	58.2	11	41.6	17.6	70.3	5	19.2	6.5	45.0	
One to five years ago	15	32.0	15.2	55.4	7	27.2	8.6	59.8	8	43.9	18.1	73.5	
More than five years ago	13	32.9	16.7	54.4	6	31.2	12.0	60.1	7	36.9	14.4	67.0	

RAO-SCOTT ALL LGBTQ **CIS LESBIANS** CIS GAY MEN **CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN CIS BISEXUAL MEN CHI-SQUARE** % % 95% CI % 95% CI 95% CI 95% CI % 95% CI % P-VALUE n n n n n Ever verbally harassed in a place of public 502 100.0 65 100.0 205 100.0 122 100.0 48 100.0 0.001 accommodation 58 8.3 16.3 9 7.7 41.5 30 25.6 2 6.6 3 0.7 8.8 Yes 11.8 19.6 16.8 10.5 1.3 0.2 2.5 No 56 120 45 444 88.2 83.7 91.7 80.4 58.5 92.3 175 83.2 74.4 89.5 98.7 93.4 99.8 97.5 91.2 99.3 2 Most recent experience 58 100.0 9 100.0 30 100.0 100.0 3 100.0 0.124 2 27.5 47.2 3 67.6 7 28.0 60.2 0.0 0.5 99.5 Less than one year ago 17 13.9 21.6 3.5 9.1 100.0 1 51.6 One to five years ago 26 45.6 28.6 63.7 5 76.1 30.8 95.8 12 36.5 17.0 61.8 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 48.4 0.5 99.5 2.3 More than five years ago 15 26.9 14.6 44.1 1 0.2 23.6 11 35.5 17.0 59.6 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Ever verbally harassed by 502 100.0 65 100.0 205 100.0 122 100.0 48 100.0 0 strangers on the street Yes 167 31.8 26.4 37.7 24 41.9 25.8 59.9 89 44.5 35.3 54.1 22 14.6 8.6 23.8 8 12.9 5.1 29.1 No 335 68.2 62.3 73.6 41 58.1 40.1 74.2 116 55.5 45.9 64.7 100 85.4 76.2 91.4 40 87.1 70.9 94.9 Most recent experience 100.0 24 89 100.0 22 8 0.551 167 100.0 100.0 100.0 53 26.1 18.2 35.9 7 26.4 9.9 54.1 27 26.5 15.2 42.1 9 14.1 57.9 2 8.3 1.2 41.2 Less than one year ago 32.2

Table A30a. Experienced verbal harassment at place of public accommodation or on the street in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

Table A30b. Experienced verbal harassment at place of public accommodation or on the street in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

40.9

32.6

27.2

19.9

56.1

48.6

8

5

50.3

17.5

25.0

5.5

75.4

43.9

4

2

42.8

48.9

8.5

9.6

85.8

89.6

	L	GBTQ BELC)W 200% FI	PL	LGB	IQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	6 95% CI			%	95%	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed in a place of public accommodation	144	100.0	95% CI		357	100.0			0.003
Yes	24	19.6	11.7	31.0	34	7.6	5.1	11.1	
No	120	80.4	69.0	88.3	323	92.4	88.9	94.9	

One to five years ago

More than five years ago

71

43

45.6

28.3

35.2

19.6

56.4

39.0

12

5

57.8

15.7

30.5

4.6

81.1

41.8

37

25

	Ŀ	GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Most recent experience	24	100.0			34	100.0			0.592
Less than one year ago	7	30.1	10.3	61.9	10	23.9	11.9	42.4	
One to five years ago	11	49.4	23.3	75.8	15	40.4	23.3	60.2	
More than five years ago	6	20.5	6.8	47.8	9	35.7	18.6	57.4	
Ever verbally harassed by strangers on the street	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.889
Yes	41	31.2	21.7	42.8	126	32.1	26.0	39.0	
No	103	68.8	57.2	78.3	231	67.9	61.0	74.0	
Most recent experience	41	100.0			126	100.0			0.375
Less than one year ago	13	34.8	17.7	57.0	40	21.5	14.6	30.5	
One to five years ago	17	43.6	24.3	65.1	54	46.7	34.9	58.8	
More than five years ago	11	21.5	9.8	41.1	32	31.8	21.1	44.9	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A30 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A31a. Avoided public spaces in order to avoid poor treatment based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS LES	BIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN		(CIS BISEXU	AL WOMEI	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95%	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores to avoid poor treatment	493	100.0			64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	93	22.0	17.0	27.8	17	35.9	20.0	55.7	44	28.2	19.8	38.6	13	8.8	4.5	16.5	3	4.0	1.1	13.8	
No	400	78.0	72.2	83.0	47	64.1	44.3	80.0	160	71.8	61.4	80.2	105	91.2	83.5	95.5	44	96.0	86.2	98.9	
Avoided places of entertainment to avoid poor treatment	493	100.0			64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.002
Yes	63	18.8	13.9	24.9	10	28.1	13.1	50.3	31	23.4	15.3	34.1	5	5.5	2.0	13.9	4	5.0	1.7	13.5	
No	430	81.2	75.1	86.1	54	71.9	49.7	86.9	173	76.6	65.9	84.7	113	94.5	86.1	98.0	43	95.0	86.5	98.3	

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS LES	BIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN		C	SIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	6 CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% Cl	P-VALUE
Avoided public transportation to avoid poor treatment	493	100.0			64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.157
Yes	74	17.1	12.8	22.6	7	14.3	5.3	33.2	29	18.6	11.7	28.5	17	17.2	9.0	30.3	2	2.5	0.6	10.1	
No	419	82.9	77.4	87.2	57	85.7	66.8	94.7	175	81.4	71.5	88.3	101	82.8	69.7	91.0	45	97.5	89.9	99.4	
Avoided a service you or your family needed to avoid poor treatment	493	100.0			64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.055
Yes	35	9.8	6.3	14.9	7	12.7	4.3	32.3	15	12.9	6.9	23.0	3	3.4	0.9	12.2	1	1.3	0.2	9.5	
No	458	90.2	85.1	93.7	57	87.3	67.7	95.7	189	87.1	77.0	93.1	115	96.6	87.8	99.1	46	98.7	90.5	99.8	

Table A31b. Avoided public spaces in order to avoid poor treatment based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores to avoid poor treatment	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.063
Yes	33	29.1	19.5	40.9	60	18.1	13.0	24.7	
No	109	70.9	59.1	80.5	290	81.9	75.3	87.0	
Avoided places of entertainment to avoid poor treatment	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.013
Yes	30	28.3	18.7	40.3	33	13.7	8.8	20.7	
No	112	71.7	59.7	81.3	317	86.3	79.3	91.2	
Avoided public transportation to avoid poor treatment	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.032
Yes	28	24.4	15.6	36.1	46	13.2	9.1	18.8	
No	114	75.6	63.9	84.4	304	86.8	81.2	90.9	
Avoided a service you or your family needed to avoid poor treatment	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.008
Yes	19	17.4	9.9	28.8	16	5.7	2.9	10.9	
No	123	82.6	71.2	90.1	334	94.3	89.1	97.1	

Table A32a. Avoided public spaces out of safety concerns based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS L	.GBQ		TRAN	SGENDER	AND NONE	BINARY	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores to avoid threat or attack	493	100.0			434	100.0			54	100.0			0.075
Yes	57	14.3	10.3	19.4	45	12.2	8.4	17.4	10	25.0	11.8	45.3	
No	436	85.7	80.6	89.7	389	87.8	82.6	91.6	44	75.0	54.7	88.2	
Avoided places of entertainment to avoid threat or attack	493	100.0			434	100.0			54	100.0			0.007
Yes	69	15.7	11.5	21.0	54	12.6	8.9	17.7	13	33.1	17.4	53.8	
No	424	84.3	79.0	88.5	380	87.4	82.3	91.1	41	66.9	46.2	82.6	
Avoided public transportation to avoid threat or attack	493	100.0			434	100.0			54	100.0			0.123
Yes	49	13.2	9.2	18.5	40	11.6	7.8	16.9	8	23.3	9.9	45.7	
No	444	86.8	81.5	90.8	394	88.4	83.1	92.2	46	76.7	54.3	90.1	
Avoided a service you or your family needed to avoid threat or attack	493	100.0			434	100.0			54	100.0			0.038
Yes	66	13.7	9.9	18.8	53	11.9	8.2	16.9	13	26.6	12.9	46.8	
No	427	86.3	81.2	90.1	381	88.1	83.1	91.8	41	73.4	53.2	87.1	

Table A32b. Avoided public spaces out of safety concerns based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

		CIS LESBIANS				CIS GAY MEN				CIS BISEXU	AL WOMEN	I		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores to avoid threat or attack	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.011
Yes	10	21.9	9.3	43.2	28	17.1	10.9	25.8	7	5.9	2.4	13.7	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
No	54	78.1	56.8	90.7	176	82.9	74.2	89.1	111	94.1	86.3	97.6	47	100.0	100.0	100.0	

		CIS LESBIANS			CIS GAY MEN				CIS BISEXU	AL WOMEN	J		CIS BISE>	(UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE	
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided places of entertainment to avoid threat or attack	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.112
Yes	9	12.9	6.0	25.9	25	16.2	9.6	26.0	18	11.8	6.6	20.0	2	2.6	0.6	10.5	
No	55	87.1	74.1	94.0	179	83.8	74.0	90.4	100	88.2	80.0	93.4	45	97.4	89.5	99.4	
Avoided public transportation to avoid threat or attack	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0
Yes	8	9.2	4.0	19.8	27	20.3	12.9	30.3	3	3.5	0.9	12.2	2	2.5	0.6	10.1	
No	56	90.8	80.2	96.0	177	79.7	69.7	87.1	115	96.5	87.8	99.1	45	97.5	89.9	99.4	
Avoided a service you or your family needed to avoid threat or attack	64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.008
Yes	8	9.0	3.9	19.6	26	17.3	10.5	27.2	15	7.5	4.2	13.2	3	3.2	0.9	10.5	
No	56	91.0	80.4	96.1	178	82.7	72.8	89.5	103	92.5	86.8	95.8	44	96.8	89.5	99.1	

Table A32c. Avoided public spaces out of safety concerns based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC	DW 200% F	PL	LGB	IQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	6 FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Avoided restaurants or stores to avoid threat or attack	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.121
Yes	21	19.2	11.4	30.5	36	11.6	7.7	17.1	
No	121	80.8	69.5	88.6	314	88.4	82.9	92.3	
Avoided places of entertainment to avoid threat or attack	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.059
Yes	28	21.9	13.8	33.0	41	12.3	8.1	18.3	
No	114	78.1	67.0	86.2	309	87.7	81.7	91.9	
Avoided public transportation to avoid threat or attack	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.254
Yes	17	16.9	9.5	28.2	32	11.2	7.1	17.2	
No	125	83.1	71.8	90.5	318	88.8	82.8	92.9	

	L	GBTQ BELC	OW 200% F	PL	LGB ⁻	IQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95% CI		95% CI		P-VALUE
Avoided a service you or your family needed to avoid threat or attack	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.024		
Yes	26	20.6	12.6	31.8	40	10.0	6.5	15.1			
No	116	79.4	68.2	87.4	310	90.0	84.9	93.5			

Table A33. Victimization among those who have lived entire life in Los Angeles County among participants (N=158) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		ALL L	GBTQ			LGBT	Q POC			LGBTC	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever mugged, held up, threatened with a weapon or assaulted (personal crime)	158	100.0			127	100.0			31	100.0			0.737
Yes	52	38.7	28.8	49.6	41	39.4	28.5	51.5	11	34.7	14.8	61.9	
No	106	61.3	50.4	71.2	86	60.6	48.5	71.5	20	65.3	38.1	85.2	
Ever had someone intentionally damage property owned by you or someone in your house (property crime)	158	100.0			127	100.0			31	100.0			0.001
Yes	64	42.1	32.0	52.9	56	47.3	36.0	58.9	8	14.3	5.5	32.1	
No	94	57.9	47.1	68.0	71	52.7	41.1	64.0	23	85.7	67.9	94.5	
If ever experienced both personal and property crimes, targeted because of sexual orientation or gender identity	32	100.0			27	100.0			5	100.0			0.403
Definitely yes	12	30.2	13.3	55.0	9	28.6	11.3	55.7	3	50.8	5.2	95.1	
Probably yes	9	41.3	20.3	66.2	9	44.5	21.5	70.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Probably no	5	14.9	4.0	42.1	3	12.3	2.4	44.6	2	49.2	4.9	94.8	
Definitely no	6	13.6	3.8	38.4	6	14.6	4.0	41.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

INTERACTIONS WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT

Data on perceptions of how welcoming programs are of LGBTQ people available in companion report, *Hear Us. Support Us. Join Us! Civic Engagement of LGBTQ Angelenos and Recommendations for Local Elected Officials.*

Table A34. Support for statement that Los Angeles County law enforcement treats all LGBTQ people fairly among participants (N=485) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		ALL L	GBTQ	
	n	%	95%	6 CI
Total	485	100.0		
Strongly disagree	85	18.8	14.3	24.4
Somewhat disagree	102	22.3	17.4	28.0
Somewhat or strongly disagree	187	41.1	35.1	47.4
Neither agree nor disagree	146	28.6	23.4	34.3
Somewhat agree	109	21.6	16.9	27.1
Strongly agree	43	8.6	5.7	12.7
Somewhat or strongly agree	152	30.2	24.8	36.1

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A34 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A35a. Avoided calling law enforcement in order to avoid poor treatment based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

	ALL LG	BTQ			CIS LESBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BIS	EXUAL WO	MEN		CIS BIS	EXUAL ME	N		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE	
	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95%	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided calling law enforcement	493	100.0			64	100.0			204	100.0			118	100.0			47	100.0			0.001
Yes	29	7.7	4.6	12.4	2	2.2	0.5	9.6	15	12.0	6.1	22.1	4	2.4	0.8	7.2	1	1.2	0.2	8.8	
No	464	92.3	87.6	95.4	62	97.8	90.4	99.5	189	88.0	77.9	93.9	114	97.6	92.8	99.2	46	98.8	91.2	99.8	

Table A35b. Avoided calling law enforcement in order to avoid poor treatment based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC	W 200% F	PL	LGB	IQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	6 FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Avoided calling law enforcement	142	100.0			350	100.0			0.029
Yes	15	13.3	6.8	24.3	14	4.6	2.2	9.6	
No	127	86.7	75.7	93.2	336	95.4	90.4	97.8	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A35 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A36a. Experiences of harassment by Los Angeles County law enforcement among participants (N=495) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL LGBTQ				CIS I	_GBQ			т	NB		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by law enforcement	495	100.0			435	100.0			55	100.0			0.011
Yes	67	16.7	12.2	22.5	51	13.9	9.7	19.5	14	34.3	18.1	55.4	
No	428	83.3	77.5	87.8	384	86.1	80.5	90.3	41	65.7	44.6	81.9	
Ever physically harassed by law enforcement	495	100.0			435	100.0			55	100.0			0.72
Yes	26	5.8	3.4	9.7	21	5.5	3.0	9.7	3	7.0	1.9	23.1	
No	469	94.2	90.3	96.6	414	94.5	90.3	97.0	52	93.0	76.9	98.1	
Ever sexually harassed/assaulted by law enforcement	495	100.0			435	100.0			55	100.0			0.302
Yes	13	3.0	1.3	6.8	10	2.6	0.9	6.8	3	6.1	1.5	22.3	
No	482	97.0	93.2	98.7	425	97.4	93.2	99.1	52	93.9	77.7	98.5	
Ever solicited for sex by law enforcement	495	100.0			435	100.0			55	100.0			0.223
Yes	8	2.6	1.0	6.6	5	2.1	0.6	7.0	3	6.2	1.5	22.4	
No	487	97.4	93.4	99.0	430	97.9	93.0	99.4	52	93.8	77.6	98.5	

		LGBT	Q POC				RAO-SCOTT CHI- SQUARE#		
	n	%	95% CI		n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by law enforcement	274	100.0			221	100.0			0.044
Yes	47	20.1	14.0	28.1	20	10.2	5.6	18.0	
No	227	79.9	71.9	86.0	201	89.8	82.0	94.4	
Ever physically harassed by law enforcement	274	100.0			221	100.0			0.256
Yes	15	6.8	3.5	12.7	11	4.0	2.0	7.7	
No	259	93.2	87.3	96.5	210	96.0	92.3	98.0	
Ever sexually harassed/ assaulted by law enforcement	274	100.0			221	100.0			0.194
Yes	8	3.8	1.5	9.7	5	1.5	0.5	4.4	
No	266	96.2	90.3	98.5	216	98.5	95.6	99.5	
Ever solicited for sex by law enforcement	274	100.0			221	100.0			0.095
Yes	7	3.6	1.3	9.8	1	0.7	0.1	4.8	
No	267	96.4	90.2	98.7	220	99.3	95.2	99.9	

Table A36b. Experiences of harassment by Los Angeles County law enforcement among participants (N=495) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

Table A36c. Experiences of harassment by Los Angeles County law enforcement among participants (N=495) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC)W 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	n % 95% Cl		% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by law enforcement	142	100.0			352	100.0			0.044
Yes	29	23.9	15.5	35.0	38	12.9	8.1	19.9	
No	113	76.1	65.0	84.5	314	87.1	80.1	91.9	
Ever physically harassed by law enforcement	142	100.0			352	100.0			0.191
Yes	15	8.6	4.6	15.3	11	4.3	1.8	10.1	
No	127	91.4	84.7	95.4	341	95.7	89.9	98.2	
Ever sexually harassed/ assaulted by law enforcement	142	100.0			352	100.0			0.042
Yes	6	5.9	1.9	17.0	7	1.5	0.7	3.5	
No	136	94.1	83.0	98.1	345	98.5	96.5	99.3	
Ever solicited for sex by law enforcement	142	100.0			352	100.0			0
Yes	7	6.8	2.5	17.6	1	0.4	0.1	2.6	
No	135	93.2	82.4	97.5	351	99.6	97.4	99.9	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A36 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A37. Interacted with law enforcement in the past year among participants (N=497) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		AL	L LGBTQ	
	n	%		95% CI
Any interaction with law enforcement (either through contacting law enforcement or interactions initiated by law enforcement)				
Yes	497	100.0		
No	183	37.0	31.4	43.1
Law enforcement entity for most recent interaction	79	100.0		
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	29	38.3	25.2	53.3
Other local police department in California	28	32.2	20.5	46.6
California Highway Patrol	12	17.4	8.2	33.1
Out of state	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	8	9.7	4.1	21.3
Don't know	2	2.5	0.6	9.6
Type of interaction: contacted law enforcement	314	63.0	56.9	68.6
Yes	155	32.6	27.1	38.6
No	342	67.4	61.4	72.9
INTERACTIONS INITIATED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT			!	
Any interaction initiated by law enforcement	497	100.0		
Yes	80	17.6	13.4	22.7
No	417	82.4	77.3	86.6
Type of interaction: Traffic accident	497	100.0		
Yes	32	6.3	3.9	9.8
No	465	93.7	90.2	96.1
Type of interaction: Pulled over as driver	497	100.0		
Yes	40	8.8	5.8	13.0
No	457	91.2	87.0	94.2
Type of interaction: Pulled over as passenger	497	100.0		
Yes	10	2.1	1.1	4.3
No	487	97.9	95.7	98.9
Type of interaction: Stopped on the street	497	100.0		
Yes	22	4.4	2.6	7.3
No	475	95.6	92.7	97.4
Arrested after interaction initiated by law enforcement	80	100.0		
Yes	5	6.9	1.8	23.2
No	75	93.1	76.8	98.2

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A37 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

(LELAC) Survey	enforcer	nent inte	eractions in the p	ast year	among p	articipan	115 (11-75) in the	Liveu Exp	benefices in Los	Angeles Couri
		ALL L	GBTQ	L	.GBTQ BELC	DW 200% FP	۲L	LGB	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		
	n	%	95% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95% CI	P-VALUE
Law enforcement behaved properly	79	100.0		23	100.0			56	100.0		0.01

34.1

46.1

19.8

100.0

43.7

46.3

10.0

100.0

20.3

15.0

21.5

5.2

19.8

21.8

3.0

8.0

60.1

72.8

52.6

70.9

72.6

28.6

42.6

40

13

3

56

42

12

2

56

37

74.1

22.9

3.0

100.0

75.8

22.0

2.3

100.0

70.0

57.7

12.0

0.8

59.2

11.2

0.4

53.3

85.7

39.5

10.7

87.0

38.6

10.9

82.7

0.058

0

0

9

10

4

23

9

10

4

23

7

Table A28 Experiences with law enforcement interactions in the past year among participants (N=70) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County

No	35	47.7	33.5	62.3	16	79.7	57.4	92.0	19	30.0	17.3	46.7
Likely to contact law enforcement in future based on most recent contact	78	100.0			23	100.0			55	100.0		
Most likely to contact	13	19.2	9.5	35.1	2	2.8	0.6	12.0	11	28.8	14.5	49.2
Less likely to contact	23	30.7	18.5	46.4	10	46.2	21.6	72.9	13	21.7	11.2	37.7
Just as likely to contact	34	36.2	24.3	49.9	6	21.0	8.1	44.5	28	45.0	29.5	61.6
Don't know	8	13.9	5.9	29.4	5	29.9	10.6	60.6	3	4.5	1.3	14.0

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A38 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

49

23

7

79

51

22

6

79

44

59.8

31.2

9.0

100.0

64.3

30.6

5.0

100.0

52.3

44.6

18.9

3.1

49.5

18.7

2.0

37.7

73.4

46.8

23.5

76.9

45.8

12.2

66.5

in most recent contact

most recent contact

Law enforcement was respectful in

Satisfied with response in most

Yes

No

Yes

No

Yes

Don't know

Don't know

recent contact

INCOME & FOOD INSECURITY

Table A39a. Household income and food insecurity among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, totals, and by L	GBTQ status
---	-------------

	ALL (N=8,261) n % 95% 1 1 1 1 2,802 33.1 31.7 1 5,459 66.9 65.5 1 2,806 33.2 31.9 1 2,896 33.2 31.9 1 2,896 36.1 34.7 1 1,926 24.3 23.0 1 570 6.3 5.6 1 6,315 76.7 75.4 1 1,924 23.3 22.1 1					LGBTQ	(n=1,006)			NON-LGB	ՐQ (n=7,25։	5)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	% 95% CI		n	%	95	95% CI		%	95% CI		P-VALUE	
Household economic status													0.484
< 200% Federal Povertyy Level (FPL)	2,802	33.1	31.7	34.5	302	34.6	30.2	39.0	2,500	32.9	31.4	34.4	
≥ 200% FPL	5,459	66.9	65.5	68.3	704	65.4	61.0	69.8	4,755	67.1	65.6	68.6	
Household financial situation													0.158
Live comfortably	2,896	33.2	31.9	34.6	342	32.1	28.1	36.1	2,554	33.4	31.9	34.8	
Meet basic expenses with a little left for extras	2,857	36.1	34.7	37.5	363	35.5	31.3	39.7	2,494	36.2	34.6	37.7	
Just meet basic expenses	1,926	24.3	23.0	25.7	218	23.7	19.8	27.5	1,708	24.4	23.0	25.8	
Do not have enough to meet basic expenses	570	6.3	5.6	7.1	82	8.7	6.3	11.2	488	6.1	5.3	6.8	
Household food security													<.0001
Food secure	6,315	76.7	75.4	77.9	710	68.2	63.8	72.5	5,605	77.5	76.2	78.8	
Food insecure	1,924	23.3	22.1	24.6	294	31.8	27.5	36.2	1,630	22.5	21.2	23.8	
CalFresh (among those at < 185% FPL) (n=2,501)													0.428
Yes	1,042	33.8	31.2	36.3	119	36.8	28.9	44.6	923	33.4	30.7	36.1	
No	1,459	66.2	63.7	68.8	159	63.2	55.4	71.1	1,300	66.6	63.9	69.3	

Table A39b. Household income and food insecurity among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by gender identity

		TNB (n=114)				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Household economic status									0.040
< 200% Federal Povertyy Level (FPL)	51	46.6	33.7	59.5	247	32.8	28.1	37.5	
≥ 200% FPL	63	53.4	40.5	66.3	632	67.2	62.5	71.9	
Household financial situation									0.182
Live comfortably	27	21.9	11.7	32.1	310	33.6	29.2	38.0	
Meet basic expenses with a little left for extras	45	42.1	29.0	55.2	314	34.4	30.0	38.8	
Just meet basic expenses	27	23.0	12.7	33.3	189	23.9	19.7	28.1	
Do not have enough to meet basic expenses	15	13.0	5.5	20.4	66	8.1	5.5	10.7	

		TNB (n=114)			CIS LGBC	Q (n=879)		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	6 CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Household food security									0.106
Food secure	67	59.9	48.1	71.7	637	70.0	65.3	74.7	
Food insecure	47	40.1	28.3	51.9	240	30.0	25.3	34.7	
CalFresh (among those at < 185% FPL) (n=2,501)									0.262
Yes	18	27.6	10.3	44.8	101	39.5	30.5	48.4	
No	29	72.4	55.2	89.7	126	60.5	51.6	69.5	

Table A39c. Household income and food insecurity among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by sexual orientation

	CIS GA	Y MEN (n=	=400)		CIS LE	SBIANS (n	=129)		CIS BISEXUAL MEN (n=97)				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN (n=253)				RAO-SCOTT CHI- SQUARE
	n	%	959	95% Cl I		n % 95% Cl		n	% 95% CI		n %		95% CI		P-VALUE		
Household economic status																	0.269
< 200% Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	90	28.9	21.8	36.0	37	30.6	19.9	41.2	34	32.4	19.1	45.7	86	39.9	31.0	48.9	
≥ 200% FPL	310	71.1	64.0	78.2	92	69.4	58.8	80.1	63	67.6	54.3	80.9	167	60.1	51.1	69.0	
Household financial situation																	0.077
Live comfortably	160	40.1	33.1	47.2	52	37.4	27.0	47.8	25	21.2	11.3	31.1	73	28.0	20.2	35.7	
Meet basic expenses with a little left for extras	148	34.3	28.0	40.6	44	35.7	24.6	46.7	33	35.0	20.3	49.7	89	33.8	25.9	41.6	
Just meet basic expenses	68	18.5	13.0	24.1	22	18.2	8.9	27.5	28	32.1	19.0	45.3	71	30.5	21.9	39.2	
Do not have enough to meet basic expenses	24	7.0	3.0	11.0	11	8.7	3.3	14.2	11	11.7	2.2	21.1	20	7.7	3.6	11.8	
Household food security																	0.044
Food secure	322	77.8	70.2	85.3	89	70.4	58.7	82.2	64	62.7	48.7	76.6	162	62.9	54.8	70.9	
Food insecure	76	22.2	14.7	29.8	40	29.6	17.8	41.3	33	37.3	23.4	51.3	91	37.1	29.1	45.2	
CalFresh (among those at < 185% FPL) (n=2,501)																	0.924
Yes	39	42.2	26.7	57.6	15	41.6	20.2	62.9	15	40.1	15.4	64.8	32	35.3	20.7	50.0	
No	46	57.8	42.4	73.3	18	58.4	37.1	79.8	16	59.9	35.2	84.6	46	64.7	50.0	79.3	

Table A39d. Household income and food insecurity among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by race/ethnicity

		LGBTQ PC	DC (n=551)	L	.GBTQ WH	IITE (n=45	1)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Household economic status									<.0001
< 200% Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	212	42.4	36.4	48.4	88	21.5	15.7	27.3	
≥ 200% FPL	339	57.6	51.6	63.6	363	78.5	72.7	84.3	
Household financial situation									0.001
Live comfortably	161	27.4	22.1	32.8	181	40.2	34.1	46.3	
Meet basic expenses with a little left for extras	193	34.6	29.0	40.2	168	37.0	30.8	43.2	
Just meet basic expenses	144	28.2	22.8	33.6	72	15.8	10.9	20.6	
Do not have enough to meet basic expenses	52	9.8	6.2	13.3	30	7.0	4.2	9.8	
Household food security									<.0001
Food secure	338	58.2	52.0	64.4	369	80.8	75.6	86.0	
Food insecure	212	41.8	35.6	48.0	81	19.2	14.0	24.4	
CalFresh (among those at < 185% FPL) (n=2,501)									0.834
Yes	88	37.2	28.1	46.4	30	35.3	19.6	50.9	
No	108	62.8	53.6	71.9	50	64.7	49.1	80.4	

Table A39e. Household income and food insecurity among adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by economic status

	LGBTQ	BELOW 2	00% FPL	(n=302)	LGBT	Q AT OR A (n=	9% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE	
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Household financial situation									<.0001
Live comfortably	28	11.5	5.3	17.7	314	42.9	37.9	48.0	
Meet basic expenses with a little left for extras	80	23.2	16.5	29.9	283	42.0	36.9	47.1	
Just meet basic expenses	126	44.7	36.5	53.0	92	12.6	9.4	15.8	
Do not have enough to meet basic expenses	67	20.5	14.3	26.8	15	2.5	1.0	4.0	
Household food security									<.0001
Food secure	138	43.9	35.6	52.2	572	80.9	76.8	85.0	
Food insecure	164	56.1	47.8	64.4	130	19.1	15.0	23.2	
CalFresh (among those at < 185% FPL) (n=2,501)								N/A	
Yes	119	36.8	28.9	44.6	linke is the				
No	159	63.2	55.4	71.1	Intentio				

HOUSING

Table A40a. Housing characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, totals, and by LGBTQ status

		ALL (N	=8,261)			LGBTQ	(n=1,006)			NON-LGBT	Q (n=7,25	5)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Home ownership													<.0001
Rent	4,283	47.2	45.7	48.7	676	60.6	56.2	65.1	3607	45.7	44.2	47.3	
Own	3,810	50.6	49.1	52.1	310	36.1	31.8	40.5	3500	52.1	50.6	53.7	
Other arrangement or unhoused	145	2.2	1.8	2.7	18	3.3	1.2	5.3	127	2.1	1.6	2.6	
Household cost-burdened (≥ 30% household income on housing costs)													0.000
Yes	4,336	53.5	52.0	54.9	582	61.2	57.0	65.4	3,754	52.7	51.2	54.2	
No	3,872	46.5	45.1	48.0	420	38.8	34.6	43.0	3,452	47.3	45.8	48.8	
Household severely cost burdened (≥ 50% household income on housing costs)													0.019
Yes	1,701	21.5	20.2	22.7	219	26.1	21.8	30.4	1,482	21.0	19.7	22.3	
No	6,504	78.5	77.3	79.8	783	73.9	69.6	78.2	5,721	79.0	77.7	80.3	
Difficulty paying housing expenses (self or family), past 2 years													0.042
Yes	1,184	15.4	14.3	16.4	172	18.7	15.1	22.3	1,012	15.0	13.9	16.2	
No	7,068	84.6	83.6	85.7	834	81.3	77.7	84.9	6,234	85.0	83.8	86.1	
Unhoused, past 5 years													<.0001
Yes	535	6.6	5.8	7.3	93	11.1	8.1	14.1	442	6.1	5.3	6.9	
No	7,724	93.4	92.7	94.2	913	88.9	85.9	91.9	6,811	93.9	93.1	94.7	

		TNB (n=114)			CIS LGB	Q (n=879)		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Home ownership									n/a
Rent	91	67.6	54.2	81.0	577	59.5	54.7	64.2	
Own	23	32.4	19.0	45.8	283	37.0	32.4	41.7	
Other arrangement or unhoused									
Household cost-burdened (≥ 30% household income on housing costs)									0.156
Yes	79	69.5	58.0	81.1	495	60.1	55.5	64.6	
No	34	30.5	18.9	42.0	382	39.9	35.4	44.5	
Household severely cost burdened (≥ 50% household income on housing costs)									0.792
Yes	33	27.4	17.0	37.9	183	25.9	21.1	30.7	
No	80	72.6	62.1	83.0	694	74.1	69.3	78.9	
Difficulty paying housing expenses (self or family), past 2 years									0.696
Yes	28	20.5	11.3	29.6	141	18.5	14.6	22.5	
No	86	79.5	70.4	88.7	738	81.5	77.5	85.4	
Unhoused, past 5 years									0.269
Yes	17	14.6	6.6	22.6	73	10.2	6.9	13.4	
No	97	85.4	77.4	93.4	806	89.8	86.6	93.1	

Table A40b. Housing characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by gender identity

		CIS GAY M	1EN (n=400))		CIS LESBIA	NS (n=129)	С	IS BISEXUA	AL MEN (n=	97)	CIS	BISEXUAL	WOMEN (n	=253)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Home ownership																	0.001
Rent	246	54.6	47.5	61.8	71	50.0	38.8	61.2	67	66.1	52.8	79.5	193	67.8	59.1	76.5	
Own	150	44.6	37.4	51.7	54	47.8	36.6	59.0	25	25.9	13.9	37.9	54	26.1	18.6	33.6	
Other arrangement or unhoused																	
Household cost-burdened (≥ 30% household income on housing costs)																	0.224
Yes	204	54.5	47.0	62.0	79	67.0	56.7	77.3	55	61.1	47.9	74.3	157	63.7	55.9	71.5	
No	196	45.5	38.0	53.0	50	33.0	22.7	43.3	42	38.9	25.7	52.1	94	36.3	28.5	44.1	
Household severely cost burdened (≥ 50% household income on housing costs)																	0.346
Yes	65	21.7	13.7	29.8	31	33.5	19.6	47.4	24	23.9	13.3	34.5	63	28.8	21.1	36.6	
No	335	78.3	70.2	86.3	98	66.5	52.6	80.4	73	76.1	65.5	86.7	188	71.2	63.4	78.9	
Difficulty paying housing expenses (self or family), past 2 years																	0.155
Yes	48	14.8	9.1	20.5	23	23.0	10.2	35.7	26	28.1	15.1	41.1	44	17.0	11.1	22.9	
No	352	85.2	79.5	90.9	106	77.0	64.3	89.8	71	71.9	58.9	84.9	209	83.0	77.1	88.9	
Unhoused, past 5 years																	0.002
Yes	21	5.0	2.4	7.5	13	11.4	4.2	18.6	10	21.9	6.4	37.3	29	11.7	6.7	16.7	
No	379	95.0	92.5	97.6	116	88.6	81.4	95.8	87	78.1	62.7	93.6	224	88.3	83.3	93.3	

Table A40c. Housing characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by sexual orientation

		LGBTQ PC	DC (N=551)			LGBTQ WH	IITE (N=451)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Home ownership									<.0001
Rent	383	62.0	56.0	68.1	289	57.9	51.5	64.3	
Own	152	32.8	27.0	38.6	158	42.0	35.6	48.4	
Other arrangement or unhoused									
Household cost-burdened (≥ 30% household income on housing costs)									0.052
Yes	336	65.0	59.5	70.5	245	56.7	50.3	63.1	
No	212	35.0	29.5	40.5	205	43.3	36.9	49.7	
Household severely cost burdened (≥ 50% household income on housing costs)									0.069
Yes	139	29.7	23.6	35.8	80	21.7	15.7	27.7	
No	409	70.3	64.2	76.4	370	78.3	72.3	84.3	
Difficulty paying housing expenses (self or family), past 2 years									0.043
Yes	119	22.2	17.2	27.2	52	14.3	9.0	19.6	
No	432	77.8	72.8	82.8	399	85.7	80.4	91.0	
Unhoused, past 5 years									<.0001
Yes	70	14.8	10.3	19.3	22	4.8	2.5	7.1	
No	481	85.2	80.7	89.7	429	95.2	92.9	97.5	

Table A40d. Housing characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by race/ethnicity

	LGBT	Q BELOW 2	:00% FPL (I	n=302)	LGBTQ /	AT OR ABO	VE 200% FP	L (n=704)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Home ownership									<.0001
Rent	242	73.7	65.4	82.0	434	53.7	48.6	58.9	
Own	46	19.5	12.1	27.0	264	44.9	39.7	50.0	
Other arrangement or unhoused									
Household cost-burdened (≥ 30% household income on housing costs)									<.0001
Yes	223	78.7	72.3	85.2	359	52.1	47.0	57.2	
No	77	21.3	14.8	27.7	343	47.9	42.8	53.0	
Household severely cost burdened (≥ 50% household income on housing costs)									<.0001
Yes	124	48.6	40.1	57.2	95	14.3	10.5	18.0	
No	176	51.4	42.8	59.9	607	85.7	82.0	89.5	
Difficulty paying housing expenses (self or family), past 2 years									<.0001
Yes	103	32.7	25.2	40.3	69	11.4	7.5	15.3	
No	199	67.3	59.7	74.8	635	88.6	84.7	92.5	
Unhoused, past 5 years									0.000
Yes	59	19.3	13.5	25.2	34	6.7	3.3	10.2	
No	243	80.7	74.8	86.5	670	93.3	89.8	96.7	

Table A40e. Housing characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by economic status

Table A41a. Experiences of unfair treatment and verbal harassment because of sexual orientation or gender identity from landlord, other tenants, and neighbors in Los Angeles County among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS BISEXU	JAL WOME	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever experienced unfair treatment in renting or buying	504	100.0			66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0
Yes	51	11.9	8.3	16.7	17	36.1	20.6	55.2	22	13.4	7.6	22.5	2	1.4	0.3	5.5	2	6.0	1.2	25.0	
No	453	88.1	83.3	91.7	49	63.9	44.8	79.4	183	86.6	77.5	92.4	120	98.6	94.5	99.7	47	94.0	75.0	98.8	
Most recent experience	51	100.0			17	100.0			22	100.0			2	100.0			2	100.0			0.495
Less than one year ago	8	19.7	7.4	42.9	3	27.0	4.7	73.5	4	13.2	3.4	39.6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	12	22.3	11.2	39.5	4	23.0	6.4	56.8	4	18.9	4.9	51.4	2	100.0	0.0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
More than five years ago	31	58.1	38.7	75.2	10	50.0	19.5	80.4	14	67.8	37.7	88.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	100.0	0.0		
Ever experienced unfair treatment from neighbors	504	100.0			66	100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			49	100.0			0
Yes	13	2.7	1.2	6.0	5	14.8	4.5	39.4	3	0.7	0.2	2.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	2.3	0.5	9.4	
No	491	97.3	94.0	98.8	61	85.2	60.6	95.5	202	99.3	97.9	99.8	122	100.0	100.0	100.0	47	97.7	90.6	99.5	
Most recent experience	13	100.0			5	100.0			3	100.0			0	0.0			2	100.0			0.105
Less than one year ago	1	6.7	0.7	44.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
One to five years ago	8	78.4	43.6	94.4	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	30.0	0.2	98.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	53.9	0.0		
More than five years ago	4	14.9	3.6	45.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	70.0	1.2	99.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	46.1	0.0		
Ever verbally harassed by a landlord, other tenants, or neighbors	502	100.0				100.0			205	100.0			122	100.0			48	100.0			0.002
Yes	55	11.2	7.8	15.7		16.0	5.3	39.2	29	18.0	11.5	27.1	3	1.4	0.4	4.4	4	3.2	1.0	9.2	
No	447	88.8	84.3	92.2		84.0	60.8	94.7	176	82.0	72.9	88.5	119	98.6	95.6	99.6	44	96.8	90.8	99.0	
Most recent experience	55	100.0				100.0			29	100.0			3	100.0			4	100.0			0.369
Less than one year ago	14	23.9	10.7	45.1		4.2	0.3	41.1	6	27.0	8.9	58.5	2	67.8	1.0	99.8	1	38.3	1.5	96.1	
One to five years ago	25	45.4	27.8	64.2		70.3	18.9	96.0	13	39.2	19.3	63.6	1	32.2	0.2	99.0	2	45.5	2.9	95.8	
More than five years ago	16	30.7	16.8	49.2		25.5	3.1	78.2	10	33.7	15.8	58.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	16.3	0.5	88.8	

Table A41b. Experiences of unfair treatment and verbal harassment because of sexual orientation or gender identity from landlord, other tenants, and neighbors in Los Angeles County among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC	DW 200% F	PL	LGB	TQ AT OR A	BOVE 2009	% FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever experienced unfair treatment in renting or buying	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.455
Yes	19	14.1	7.8	24.2	32	10.7	6.8	16.5	
No	126	85.9	75.8	92.2	326	89.3	83.5	93.2	
Most recent experience	19	100.0			32	100.0			0.006
Less than one year ago	5	42.0	14.9	74.9	3	4.1	1.1	13.9	
One to five years ago	5	23.7	7.1	55.6	7	21.3	8.7	43.7	
More than five years ago	9	34.4	13.1	64.6	22	74.5	52.5	88.6	
Ever experienced unfair treatment from neighbors	145	100.0			358	100.0			0.112
Yes	6	4.8	1.4	15.3	7	1.5	0.6	3.6	
No	139	95.2	84.7	98.6	351	98.5	96.4	99.4	
Most recent experience	6	100.0			7	100.0			0.223
Less than one year ago	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	17.9	1.4	76.9	
One to five years ago	5	92.2	34.4	99.6	3	55.3	13.4	90.8	
More than five years ago	1	7.8	0.4	65.6	3	26.8	4.8	72.5	
Ever verbally harassed by a landlord, other tenants, or neighbors	144	100.0			357	100.0			0
Yes	29	21.5	13.4	32.7	26	5.7	3.6	8.9	
No	115	78.5	67.3	86.6	331	94.3	91.1	96.4	
Most recent experience	29	100.0			26	100.0			0.154
Less than one year ago	8	26.1	8.7	56.6	6	19.7	7.7	41.8	
One to five years ago	16	53.3	28.0	77.0	9	29.6	13.5	53.0	
More than five years ago	5	20.6	7.1	47.1	11	50.7	28.2	72.9	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A41 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

HEALTH

Table A42a. Health characteristics of adult participants (n=501) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals

		ALL (N	l=501)	
	n	%	95%	6 CI
MENTAL HEALTH				
Anxiety (GAD-2)				
None/Mild	392	77.6	72.3	82.8
Mod/Severe	109	22.4	17.2	27.7
MENTAL HEALTH CARE				
Received or should get any professional counseling, medication, or other treatment from a therapist or other health care professional for mental health, emotions, or behavior, 12 mos				
Received care	237	46.1	39.9	52.2
Should get care	110	25.7	20.0	31.3
Do not need care	144	28.3	22.9	33.7
BARRIERS TO CARE (N=110)				
Thought it would cost too much				
One of the reasons	75	74.9	65.1	84.8
Not one of the reasons	35	25.1	15.2	34.9
No insurance coverage for care				
One of the reasons	43	44.7	31.1	58.2
Not one of the reasons	67	55.3	41.8	68.9
Did not know how or where to get treatment				
One of the reasons	64	64.4	52.1	76.8
Not one of the reasons	46	35.6	23.2	47.9
Could not find a program or health care professional wanted to go to				
One of the reasons	59	60.1	47.8	72.5
Not one of the reasons	51	39.9	27.5	52.2
No openings with program or with the health care professional wanted to go to				
One of the reasons	24	20.1	10.9	29.3
Not one of the reasons	86	79.9	70.7	89.1
Could not find a program or health care professional that is knowledgeable and supportive of LGBTQ people				
One of the reasons	31	30.8	18.3	43.3
Not one of the reasons	79	69.2	56.7	81.7

		ALL (N	l=501)	
	n	%	95%	6 CI
Afraid could not find a program or health care professional that is knowledgeable and supportive of LGBTQ people				
One of the reasons	37	29.6	18.2	41.0
Not one of the reasons	73	70.4	59.0	81.8
Other				
One of the reasons	27	30.7	17.7	43.8
Not one of the reasons	83	69.3	56.2	82.3
TREATMENT FOR ALCOHOL OR DRUG USE				
Received or should get professional counseling, medication, or other treatment for alcohol or drug use				
Received care	22	4.5	1.9	7.0
Should get care	25	5.8	2.7	8.9
Do not need care	444	89.7	85.8	93.6

Table A42b. Health characteristics of adult participants (n=501) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by gender identity

		TNB ((n=56)				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
MENTAL HEALTH									
Anxiety (GAD-2)									0.234
None/Mild	37	69.0	52.3	85.6	350	78.7	73.2	84.2	
Mod/Severe	19	31.0	14.4	47.7	90	21.3	15.8	26.8	
MENTAL HEALTH CARE									
Received or should get any professional counseling, medication, or other treatment from a therapist or other health care professional for mental health, emotions, or behavior, 12 mos									0.022
Received care	32	55.3	36.0	74.6	205	45.1	38.6	51.7	
Should get care	15	36.4	16.8	56.0	91	23.5	17.8	29.3	
Do not need care	6	8.3	0.1	16.4	137	31.3	25.3	37.3	

		CIS GAY M	IEN (n=203)	CIS LESBIANS (n=65)				CIS BISEXUAL MEN (n=49)				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN (n=122)				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	95% Cl r		n % 95% Cl		n	%	% 95% CI		n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE	
MENTAL HEALTH																	
Anxiety (GAD-2)																	0.120
None/Mild	171	82.8	75.3	90.3	54	88.0	79.4	96.6	35	71.1	53.4	88.8	89	71.7	60.4	83.1	
Mod/Severe	32	17.2	9.7	24.7	11	12.0	3.4	20.6	14	28.9	11.2	46.6	33	28.3	16.9	39.6	
MENTAL HEALTH CARE																	
Received or should get any professional counseling, medication, or other treatment from a therapist or other health care professional for mental health, emotions, or behavior, 12 mos																	0.090
Received care	78	36.7	27.6	45.7	29	35.5	20.1	51.0	26	52.0	32.8	71.3	71	59.6	47.1	72.1	
Should get care	48	28.3	19.5	37.2	11	21.6	4.7	38.5	10	17.9	5.7	30.1	22	19.8	9.3	30.3	
Do not need care	78	35.0	26.3	43.7	24	42.9	25.3	60.5	11	30.1	11.5	48.6	24	20.6	11.0	30.3	

Table A42c. Health characteristics of adult participants (n=501) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

Table A42d. Health characteristics of adult participants (n=501) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race ethnicity

		LGBTQ PO	DC (n=278)			LGBTQ WH	IITE (n=223)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
MENTAL HEALTH									
Anxiety (GAD-2)									0.306
None/Mild	208	75.7	68.8	82.6	184	81.1	73.7	88.5	
Mod/Severe	70	24.3	17.4	31.2	39	18.9	11.5	26.3	
Mental Health Care									
Received or should get any professional counseling, medication, or other treatment from a therapist or other health care professional for mental health, emotions, or behavior, 12 mos									0.841
Received care	129	46.3	38.2	54.5	108	45.6	36.7	54.5	
Should get care	66	26.4	18.9	34.0	44	24.2	16.1	32.2	
Do not need care	77	27.2	20.1	34.4	67	30.2	22.5	38.0	

	LGE	3TQ BELOW 2	00% FPL (n=	=144)	LGBT	Q AT OR ABO	VE 200% FPL	. (n=356)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n % 95% Cl n		n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE		
MENTAL HEALTH									
Anxiety (GAD-2)									0.062
None/Mild	94	70.6	60.6	80.7	298	81.2	75.3	87.2	
Mod/Severe	50	29.4	19.3	39.4	58	18.8	12.8	24.7	
MENTAL HEALTH CARE									
Received or should get any professional counseling, medication, or other treatment from a therapist or other health care professional for mental health, emotions, or behavior, 12 mos									0.072
Received care	75	53.8	42.6	65.0	162	41.9	34.8	49.0	
Should get care	34	26.7	16.5	36.8	76	25.2	18.3	32.0	
Do not need care	32	19.5	11.2	27.8	111	32.9	26.1	39.8	

Table A42e. Health characteristics of adult participants (n=501) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

Table A43a. Health characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, totals, and by LGBTQ status

	ALL (N=8,261) n % 955 CI I I I I I IQ-2) I I I I I IQ-2) I I I I I I IQ-2) I I I I I I I IQ-2) I </th <th></th> <th>LGBTQ (</th> <th>(n=1,006)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>))</th> <th>RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE</th>					LGBTQ ((n=1,006)))	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE		
	n	%	95% CI		n	%	959	% CI	n %		95% CI		P-VALUE
MENTAL HEALTH													
Depression Symptoms (PH	Q-2)												<.0001
Yes	911	10.6	9.7	11.6	198	21.0	17.3	24.6	713	9.5	8.6	10.5	
No	7,348	89.4	88.4	90.3	808	79.0	75.4	82.7	6,540	90.5	89.5	91.4	
Suicide attempt, lifetime													<.0001
Yes	354	4.2	3.6	4.9	111	13.0	9.7	16.3	243	3.3	2.7	3.9	
No	7,893	95.8	95.1	96.4	893	87.0	83.7	90.3	7,000	96.7	96.1	97.3	
AOD													
Binge drinking													<.0001
Binge drinker	1,820	22.3	21.0	23.5	345	32.2	28.1	36.3	1,475	21.2	19.9	22.5	
Non-binge drinker	3,165	36.6	35.2	38.0	363	34.6	30.5	38.7	2,802	36.8	35.3	38.3	
Non-drinker	3,267	41.1	39.7	42.6	295	33.2	28.9	37.5	2,972	42.0	40.4	43.6	

		ALL (N	l=8,261)			LGBTQ	(n=1,006)			NON-LGB	TQ (n=7,25	5)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	5% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Marjiuana use, 30 day													<.0001
None	6,833	84.3	83.3	85.4	637	64.1	59.8	68.5	6,196	86.5	85.4	87.6	
1-19 days	892	9.5	8.7	10.4	220	21.1	17.4	24.8	672	8.3	7.4	9.1	
20-30 days	531	6.1	5.4	6.9	147	14.7	11.4	18.0	384	5.2	4.4	6.0	
VIOLENCE VICTIMIZATION													
IPV, lifetime													<.0001
Yes	1,960	20.0	18.9	21.1	379	37.1	32.9	41.3	1,581	18.1	17.0	19.3	
No	6,301	80.0	78.9	81.1	627	62.9	58.7	67.1	5,674	81.9	80.7	83.0	
HEALTH CARE ACCESS													
Health insurance status (18-64 year olds)													0.714
Public	1,862	31.1	29.5	32.7	242	31.5	27.1	35.9	1,620	31.1	29.4	32.8	
Private	3,966	62.5	60.8	64.2	607	63.1	58.5	67.6	3,359	62.4	60.6	64.2	
No insurance	359	6.4	5.5	7.3	51	5.4	3.4	7.5	308	6.5	5.5	7.4	
Health insurance status (65+) (n=2,052)													N/A
Public	1,211	59.0	56.1	61.9	68	72.8	62.3	83.3	1,143	58.3	55.4	61.3	
Private	228	12.1	10.1	14.0	9	7.5	1.9	13.1	219	12.3	10.3	14.3	
Private & public	604	28.6	26.0	31.1	28	19.7	11.1	28.3	576	29.0	26.3	31.7	
No insurance	9	0.4	0.1	0.6	0				9	0.4	0.1	0.7	
Difficulty accessing needed medical care													<.0001
Very/somewhat difficult	1,855	23.8	22.5	25.1	294	32.4	28.1	36.6	1,561	22.9	21.6	24.3	
Very/somewhat easy	6,404	76.2	74.9	77.5	712	67.6	63.4	71.9	5,692	77.1	75.7	78.4	
HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE													
Self-rated health													0.463
Excellent/very good	4,547	55.5	54.1	57.0	579	53.5	49.2	57.9	3,968	55.8	54.2	57.3	
Good	2,609	31.6	30.2	33.0	300	31.9	27.8	35.9	2,309	31.5	30.1	33.0	
Fair/poor	1,098	12.9	11.9	13.9	126	14.6	11.3	17.9	972	12.7	11.7	13.7	

		ALL (N	J=8,261)			LGBTQ	(n=1,006)			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Disability (ACS)													<.0001
Yes	2,332	26.9	25.6	28.2	371	40.6	36.2	45.1	1,961	25.4	24.1	26.8	
No	5,918	73.1	71.8	74.4	635	59.4	54.9	63.8	5,283	74.6	73.2	75.9	
CHRONIC DISEASE RISK INDICATORS													
Smoking status													0.004
Current smoker	517	6.3	5.5	7.0	81	9.3	6.7	11.8	436	6.0	5.2	6.7	
Not current smoker	7,732	93.7	93.0	94.5	924	90.7	88.2	93.3	6,808	94.0	93.3	94.8	
Vaping (nicotine), e-cigs													<.0001
Yes	464	5.9	5.2	6.7	117	12.8	9.8	15.7	347	5.2	4.5	5.9	
No	7,795	94.1	93.3	94.8	888	87.2	84.3	90.2	6,907	94.8	94.1	95.5	
Weight Status (BMI)													0.006
< 18.5 (underweight)	187	2.2	1.7	2.7	18	2.2	0.9	3.6	169	2.2	1.7	2.7	
18.5 - 24.9 (healthy weight)	3,131	35.6	34.2	37.0	443	42.6	38.2	47.0	2,688	34.8	33.3	36.3	
25.0 - 29.9 (overweight)	2,655	32.8	31.4	34.2	301	27.6	23.8	31.3	2,354	33.3	31.8	34.8	
≥ 30.0 (obesity)	2,263	29.5	28.1	30.9	241	27.6	23.6	31.6	2,022	29.7	28.2	31.1	
High blood pressure													0.065
Yes	2,508	28.0	26.7	29.3	244	24.3	20.5	28.2	2,264	28.4	27.0	29.7	
No	5,750	72.0	70.7	73.3	761	75.7	71.8	79.5	4,989	71.6	70.3	73.0	
High cholesterol													0.253
Yes	2,938	32.7	31.4	34.1	317	30.4	26.4	34.5	2,621	33.0	31.5	34.4	
No	5,321	67.3	65.9	68.6	689	69.6	65.5	73.6	4,632	67.0	65.6	68.5	
CHRONIC DISEASE DIAGNOSES													0.028
Heart disease													
Yes	659	6.9	6.2	7.6	58	4.9	3.3	6.5	601	7.1	6.3	7.9	
No	7,601	93.1	92.4	93.8	948	95.1	93.5	96.7	6,653	92.9	92.1	93.7	

		ALL (N	I=8,261)			LGBTQ	(n=1,006)			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	959	% CI	n	% 95% CI		% CI	n	%	95% CI		P-VALUE
Diabetes													0.466
Yes	914	10.8	9.9	11.7	75	9.7	6.7	12.7	839	10.9	10.0	11.8	
No	7,343	89.2	88.3	90.1	931	90.3	87.3	93.3	6,412	89.1	88.2	90.0	
Asthma													0.008
Yes	675	7.6	6.9	8.4	116	10.7	8.0	13.4	559	7.3	6.5	8.1	
No	7,582	92.4	91.6	93.1	890	89.3	86.6	92.0	6,692	92.7	91.9	93.5	
SOCIAL SUPPORT													
Experiencing loneliness (UCLA 3-item scale)													<.0001
Yes	2,331	25.3	24.0	26.6	479	47.6	43.2	52.0	1,852	22.9	21.6	24.2	
No	5,922	74.7	73.4	76.0	527	52.4	48.0	56.8	5,395	77.1	75.8	78.4	
Receive needed social and emotional support													<.0001
Always/usually	5,247	63.6	62.2	65.0	566	51.7	47.3	56.1	4,681	64.9	63.4	66.4	
Sometimes	1,862	21.4	20.2	22.6	316	33.2	29.0	37.4	1,546	20.1	18.9	21.4	
Rarely/never	1,150	15.0	13.9	16.0	124	15.1	11.8	18.3	1,026	15.0	13.8	16.1	

Table A43b. Health characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by gender identity

		TNB (n=114)			CIS LGBQ (n=879)						
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE			
MENTAL HEALTH												
Depression symptoms (PHQ)-2)								0.111			
Yes	34	28.2	16.8	39.6	159	19.3	15.5	23.1				
No	80	71.8	60.4	83.2	720	80.7	76.9	84.5				
Suicide attempt, lifetime									0.014			
Yes	22	23.7	11.7	35.7	87	11.1	7.8	14.4				
No	91	76.3	64.3	88.3	791	88.9	85.6	92.2				
AOD												
Binge drinking									0.015			
Binge drinker	34	20.3	12.0	28.6	305	33.9	29.4	38.4				
Non-binge drinker	34	32.0	19.7	44.3	325	35.1	30.7	39.5				
Non-drinker	46	47.7	34.7	60.6	247	30.9	26.5	35.4				
Marjiuana use, 30 day									0.164			
None	64	59.0	46.1	72.0	564	64.7	60.1	69.3				
1-19 days	21	18.0	8.2	27.8	198	21.9	17.9	26.0				
20-30 days	28	22.9	11.2	34.6	116	13.4	10.0	16.7				
VIOLENCE VICTIMIZATION												
IPV, lifetime									0.085			
Yes	56	46.8	33.9	59.8	317	35.2	30.8	39.7				
No	58	53.2	40.2	66.1	562	64.8	60.3	69.2				
HEALTH CARE ACCESS												
Health insurance status (18-64 year olds)									0.839			
Public	35	30.5	18.9	42.1	204	31.6	26.7	36.4				
Private	63	62.7	50.3	75.0	538	63.4	58.5	68.3				
No insurance	9	6.8	1.1	12.5	41	5.0	2.8	7.2				
Difficulty accessing needed medical care									0.132			
Very/somewhat difficult	48	40.5	28.0	53.1	239	30.7	26.2	35.2				
Very/somewhat easy	66	59.5	46.9	72.0	640	69.3	64.8	73.8				
HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE												
Self-rated health									0.136			
Excellent/very good	51	46.3	33.4	59.3	520	54.4	49.7	59.1				
Good	45	42.5	29.9	55.2	253	30.6	26.2	34.9				
Fair/poor	18	11.2	4.7	17.6	105	15.1	11.4	18.8				
Disability (ACS)									0.003			
Yes	65	57.8	45.2	70.4	299	37.6	32.9	42.3				
No	49	42.2	29.6	54.8	580	62.4	57.7	67.1				

		TNB (n=114)			CIS LGBQ (n=879)						
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE			
CHRONIC DISEASE RISK INDICATORS												
Smoking status									0.795			
Current smoker	10	8.6	2.2	15.0	71	9.5	6.7	12.3				
Not current smoker	104	91.4	85.0	97.8	807	90.5	87.7	93.3				
Vaping (nicotine), e-cigs									0.593			
Yes	12	14.9	4.2	25.6	102	12.1	9.1	15.0				
No	101	85.1	74.4	95.8	777	87.9	85.0	90.9				
Weight Status (BMI)									0.000			
< 18.5 (underweight)												
18.5 - 24.9 (healthy weight)	57	47.8	34.9	60.7	379	41.6	36.9	46.3				
25.0 - 29.9 (overweight)	21	17.4	8.1	26.7	276	29.2	25.1	33.3				
≥ 30.0 (obesity)	30	25.6	14.5	36.8	209	28.0	23.7	32.3				
High blood pressure									0.088			
Yes	25	16.9	8.9	24.9	217	25.5	21.3	29.8				
No	89	83.1	75.1	91.1	661	74.5	70.2	78.7				
High cholesterol									0.109			
Yes	26	22.2	12.2	32.1	288	31.8	27.4	36.2				
No	88	77.8	67.9	87.8	591	68.2	63.8	72.6				
CHRONIC DISEASE DIAGNOSES												
Asthma									0.252			
Yes	15	12.4	3.7	21.0	98	10.2	7.4	13.0				
No	99	87.6	79.0	96.3	781	89.8	87.0	92.6				
SOCIAL SUPPORT												
Experiencing loneliness (UCLA 3-item scale)									0.010			
Yes	70	62.1	50.1	74.1	400	44.8	40.2	49.5				
No	44	37.9	25.9	49.9	479	55.2	50.5	59.8				
Receive needed social and emotional support									0.021			
Always/usually	56	46.1	33.5	58.8	505	52.8	48.1	57.5				
Sometimes	45	46.4	33.3	59.5	267	31.4	27.0	35.7				
Rarely/never	13	7.5	2.2	12.7	107	15.8	12.2	19.4				

		CIS GAY N	1EN (n=400))	CIS LESBIANS (n=129)				CIS BISEXUAL MEN (n=97)				CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN (n=253)				RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
MENTAL HEALTH																	
Depression symptoms (PHQ-2	2)																0.395
Yes	66	17.7	12.2	23.1	18	13.3	5.4	21.2	20	23.3	11.0	35.5	55	22.6	15.3	29.8	
No	334	82.3	76.9	87.8	111	86.7	78.8	94.6	77	76.7	64.5	89.0	198	77.4	70.2	84.7	
Suicide attempt, lifetime																	<.0001
Yes	29	8.0	3.9	12.0	11	5.5	1.7	9.2	9	6.2	1.4	11.1	38	21.2	12.7	29.8	
No	371	92.0	88.0	96.1	118	94.5	90.8	98.3	88	93.8	88.9	98.6	214	78.8	70.2	87.3	
AOD																	
Binge drinking																	0.663
Binge drinker	139	30.5	24.5	36.4	47	35.3	24.5	46.1	33	39.2	24.5	54.0	86	35.9	27.2	44.6	
Non-binge drinker	152	39.8	32.9	46.7	44	32.7	22.7	42.8	36	32.1	19.3	44.9	93	30.5	23.2	37.9	
Non-drinker	107	29.7	22.8	36.6	38	32.0	21.3	42.7	28	28.7	16.2	41.1	74	33.6	25.2	42.0	
Marjiuana use, 30 day																	0.054
None	263	68.2	61.6	74.8	102	76.3	66.1	86.5	56	55.9	41.2	70.6	143	58.5	49.9	67.1	
1-19 days	94	21.8	15.9	27.7	16	14.6	5.6	23.5	25	30.1	15.5	44.8	63	21.3	15.0	27.6	
20-30 days	42	10.0	5.9	14.2	11	9.1	2.6	15.6	16	14.0	4.4	23.5	47	20.2	12.4	27.9	
VIOLENCE VICTIMIZATION																	
IPV, lifetime																	0.001
Yes	118	28.0	22.1	33.9	42	32.7	21.8	43.6	29	31.8	19.1	44.6	128	49.6	40.9	58.3	
No	282	72.0	66.1	77.9	87	67.3	56.4	78.2	68	68.2	55.4	80.9	125	50.4	41.7	59.1	
HEALTH CARE ACCESS																	
Health insurance status (18-6	4 year olds	5)															0.027
Public	69	24.8	18.1	31.6	27	26.2	15.8	36.6	29	42.8	27.1	58.5	79	37.4	28.8	46.1	
Private	260	71.5	64.6	78.3	77	67.8	56.2	79.3	53	47.3	32.4	62.2	148	58.4	49.7	67.2	
No insurance	16	3.7	1.6	5.8	4	6.0	0.0	13.5	5	9.9	0.0	20.6	16	4.1	1.8	6.5	
Difficulty accessing needed m	nedical care	9															0.084
Very/somewhat difficult	87	26.3	19.5	33.1	36	30.2	19.5	40.9	23	26.9	14.6	39.3	93	39.9	31.1	48.6	
Very/somewhat easy	313	73.7	66.9	80.5	93	69.8	59.1	80.5	74	73.1	60.7	85.4	160	60.1	51.4	68.9	

Table A43c. Health characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by sexual orientation

		CIS GAY N	/IEN (n=400))		CIS LESBI	ANS (n=129))	C	IS BISEXU	AL MEN (n=	97)	CIS	BISEXUAL	WOMEN (n	=253)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY C	OF LIFE																
Self-rated health																	0.188
Excellent/very good	256	57.4	50.3	64.5	77	57.2	46.0	68.5	55	60.6	47.3	73.9	132	45.1	36.6	53.7	
Good	101	27.3	21.2	33.5	38	32.2	21.4	43.0	27	22.4	12.3	32.5	87	39.1	30.3	47.8	
Fair/poor	42	15.3	9.3	21.2	14	10.6	3.2	18.0	15	17.0	6.9	27.0	34	15.8	8.9	22.6	
Disability (ACS)																	0.181
Yes	116	33.9	26.7	41.1	40	31.0	20.2	41.9	33	40.1	26.2	54.1	110	45.0	36.3	53.8	
No	284	66.1	58.9	73.3	89	69.0	58.1	79.8	64	59.9	45.9	73.8	143	55.0	46.2	63.7	
CHRONIC DISEASE RISK INDI	CATORS																
Vaping (nicotine), e-cigs																	0.522
Yes	50	12.1	7.8	16.4	11	10.5	3.5	17.5	16	17.1	7.3	27.0	25	10.1	4.6	15.6	
No	350	87.9	83.6	92.2	118	89.5	82.5	96.5	81	82.9	73.0	92.7	228	89.9	84.4	95.4	
Weight Status (BMI)																	n/a
< 18.5 (underweight)																	
18.5 - 24.9 (healthy weight)	169	40.4	33.5	47.3	58	42.3	31.5	53.0	41	47.9	33.3	62.5	111	40.1	31.5	48.7	
25.0 - 29.9 (overweight)	152	37.4	30.7	44.1	30	16.2	8.7	23.8	26	22.2	11.7	32.7	68	25.8	18.5	33.0	
≥ 30.0 (obesity)	73	21.3	15.2	27.3	40	41.5	30.0	53.0	29	27.8	16.4	39.2	67	32.6	24.2	41.0	
High blood pressure																	<.0001
Yes	130	36.8	29.7	44.0	30	20.5	11.3	29.8	28	24.9	14.0	35.7	29	10.4	5.3	15.4	
No	270	63.2	56.0	70.3	99	79.5	70.2	88.7	68	75.1	64.3	86.0	224	89.6	84.6	94.7	
High cholesterol																	0.008
Yes	156	39.5	32.5	46.6	37	25.1	15.7	34.4	36	31.1	19.2	43.1	59	23.1	15.8	30.3	
No	244	60.5	53.4	67.5	92	74.9	65.6	84.3	61	68.9	56.9	80.8	194	76.9	69.7	84.2	
SOCIAL SUPPORT																	
Experiencing loneliness (UCLA	A 3-item sc	ale)															0.018
Yes	168	40.8	33.9	47.6	44	32.7	22.1	43.3	51	47.4	33.1	61.6	137	55.4	46.5	64.2	
No	232	59.2	52.4	66.1	85	67.3	56.7	77.9	46	52.6	38.4	66.9	116	44.6	35.8	53.5	
Receive needed social and en	notional su	pport															0.341
Always/usually	243	55.9	48.8	62.9	80	63.0	52.4	73.6	47	46.2	31.7	60.7	135	46.8	38.1	55.6	
Sometimes	110	29.9	23.4	36.4	37	24.5	15.5	33.5	34	32.1	19.4	44.7	86	36.4	28.1	44.7	
Rarely/never	47	14.2	9.0	19.4	12	12.5	4.6	20.4	16	21.8	9.5	34.0	32	16.8	10.1	23.4	

Table A43d. Health characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by race/ethnicity

		LGBTQ P	OC (n=551)			LGBTQ WH	HTE (n=451)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
MENTAL HEALTH									
Depression symptoms (PHC)-2)								0.322
Yes	120	22.2	17.3	27.0	76	18.5	13.2	23.8	
No	431	77.8	73.0	82.7	375	81.5	76.2	86.8	
Suicide attempt, lifetime									0.261
Yes	66	14.4	9.9	18.9	44	10.7	6.1	15.2	
No	485	85.6	81.1	90.1	405	89.3	84.8	93.9	
AOD									
Binge drinking									0.110
Binge drinker	189	34.0	28.3	39.7	156	29.4	24.3	34.6	
Non-binge drinker	181	31.4	25.9	36.9	182	40.3	34.2	46.4	
Non-drinker	178	34.6	28.9	40.2	113	30.3	23.8	36.8	
Marjiuana use, 30 day									0.136
None	360	66.8	61.0	72.6	273	59.3	53.0	65.6	
1-19 days	116	20.6	15.5	25.7	104	22.2	17.1	27.2	
20-30 days	73	12.5	8.4	16.6	74	18.5	13.1	24.0	
VIOLENCE VICTIMIZATION									
IPV, lifetime									0.246
Yes	189	35.2	29.5	40.9	188	40.1	34.0	46.2	
No	362	64.8	59.1	70.5	263	59.9	53.8	66.0	
HEALTH CARE ACCESS									
Health insurance status (18-64 year olds)									<.0001
Public	166	38.7	32.7	44.7	73	17.9	12.7	23.1	
Private	311	54.5	48.4	60.5	295	79.1	73.7	84.5	
No insurance	37	6.8	3.8	9.8	14	3.0	1.0	5.1	
Difficulty accessing needed medical care									0.036
Very/somewhat difficult	175	35.7	29.9	41.6	119	27.0	21.3	32.7	
Very/somewhat easy	376	64.3	58.4	70.1	332	73.0	67.3	78.7	
HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE									
Self-rated health									0.032
Excellent/very good	287	49.5	43.6	55.5	289	60.1	53.7	66.5	
Good	186	36.0	30.4	41.7	113	25.0	19.8	30.1	
Fair/poor	78	14.4	10.5	18.4	48	15.0	9.1	20.8	
Disability (ACS)									0.546
Yes	210	41.5	35.6	47.5	159	38.8	32.3	45.3	
No	341	58.5	52.5	64.4	292	61.2	54.7	67.7	

		LGBTQ P	OC (n=551)			LGBTQ WI	HITE (n=451)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUAR
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
CHRONIC DISEASE RISK INDICATORS									
Smoking status									0.761
Current smoker	47	9.6	6.1	13.1	34	8.8	5.3	12.4	
Not current smoker	503	90.4	86.9	93.9	417	91.2	87.6	94.7	
Vaping (nicotine), e-cigs									0.347
Yes	69	13.4	9.6	17.2	47	11.5	6.7	16.3	
No	481	86.6	82.8	90.4	404	88.5	83.7	93.3	
Weight Status (BMI)									0.028
< 18.5 (underweight)									
18.5 - 24.9 (healthy weight)	221	39.4	33.5	45.4	220	47.9	41.6	54.2	
25.0 - 29.9 (overweight)	155	26.3	21.2	31.5	144	29.4	24.1	34.7	
≥ 30.0 (obesity)	163	31.5	26.2	36.8	78	21.3	15.3	27.3	
High blood pressure									0.035
Yes	122	21.3	16.4	26.1	121	29.6	23.4	35.7	
No	428	78.7	73.9	83.6	330	70.4	64.3	76.6	
High cholesterol									0.278
Yes	162	28.9	23.5	34.3	155	33.3	27.4	39.2	
No	389	71.1	65.7	76.5	296	66.7	60.8	72.6	
CHRONIC DISEASE DIAGNOSES									
Heart disease									0.012
Yes	21	3.3	1.5	5.1	37	7.6	4.6	10.6	
No	530	96.7	94.9	98.5	414	92.4	89.4	95.4	
Diabetes									0.114
Yes	52	11.4	7.2	15.7	23	6.9	3.4	10.4	
No	499	88.6	84.3	92.8	428	93.1	89.6	96.6	
Asthma									0.405
Yes	69	11.5	7.8	15.3	47	9.3	5.7	12.9	
No	482	88.5	84.7	92.2	404	90.7	87.1	94.3	
SOCIAL SUPPORT									
Experiencing loneliness (UCLA 3-item scale)									0.341
Yes	266	46.1	40.2	51.9	212	50.2	43.9	56.5	
No	285	53.9	48.1	59.8	239	49.8	43.5	56.1	
Receive needed social and emotional support									0.046
Always/usually	289	49.8	43.9	55.7	275	54.8	48.4	61.3	
Sometimes	180	32.2	26.8	37.6	136	35.3	28.8	41.8	
Rarely/never	82	18.0	13.5	22.5	40	9.9	5.6	14.1	

Table A43e. Health characteristics of adult participants (N=8,261) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS), 2023, by economic status

	LGBT	Q BELOW 2	200% FPL(n=302)	LGBTQ	AT OR ABO	VE 200% FF	PL (n=704)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
MENTAL HEALTH									
Depression symptoms (PHC)-2)								0.000
Yes	95	30.1	22.7	37.6	103	16.1	12.3	19.9	
No	207	69.9	62.4	77.3	601	83.9	80.1	87.7	
Suicide attempt, lifetime									0.001
Yes	56	20.3	13.1	27.5	55	9.2	6.0	12.3	
No	246	79.7	72.5	86.9	647	90.8	87.7	94.0	
AOD									
Binge drinking									0.008
Binge drinker	74	26.4	19.1	33.7	271	35.3	30.4	40.1	
Non-binge drinker	98	31.0	23.5	38.6	265	36.5	31.6	41.3	
Non-drinker	127	42.6	34.3	50.8	168	28.3	23.4	33.1	
Marjiuana use, 30 day									0.010
None	189	64.0	56.0	71.9	448	64.2	59.1	69.3	
1-19 days	59	15.7	10.8	20.7	161	24.0	19.1	28.9	
20-30 days	54	20.3	12.9	27.7	93	11.8	8.8	14.7	
VIOLENCE VICTIMIZATION									
IPV, lifetime									0.126
Yes	131	41.8	33.7	49.9	248	34.6	29.8	39.4	
No	171	58.2	50.1	66.3	456	65.4	60.6	70.2	
HEALTH CARE ACCESS									
Health insurance status (18-64 year olds)									<.0001
Public	163	59.8	51.3	68.3	79	16.7	12.0	21.4	
Private	78	29.4	21.3	37.6	529	80.6	75.8	85.5	
No insurance	31	10.8	5.6	15.9	20	2.7	1.1	4.2	
Difficulty accessing needed medical care									0.001
Very/somewhat difficult	128	42.6	34.4	50.7	166	27.0	22.2	31.8	
Very/somewhat easy	174	57.4	49.3	65.6	538	73.0	68.2	77.8	
HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE									
Self-rated health									<.0001
Excellent/very good	119	36.8	28.7	44.9	460	62.4	57.4	67.4	
Good	110	38.3	30.3	46.2	190	28.5	23.9	33.1	
Fair/poor	73	24.9	17.8	32.0	53	9.1	5.8	12.4	
Disability (ACS)									<.0001
Yes	161	53.7	45.4	61.9	210	33.8	28.6	38.9	
No	141	46.3	38.1	54.6	494	66.2	61.1	71.4	

	LGBT	Q BELOW	200% FPL(n=302)	LGBTQ	AT OR ABO	VE 200% FI	PL (n=704)	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
CHRONIC DISEASE RISK INDICATORS									
Smoking status									0.001
Current smoker	39	15.1	9.4	20.8	42	6.2	3.8	8.6	
Not current smoker	263	84.9	79.2	90.6	661	93.8	91.4	96.2	
Vaping (nicotine), e-cigs									<.0001
Yes	58	21.2	14.6	27.9	59	8.3	5.5	11.1	
No	243	78.8	72.1	85.4	645	91.7	88.9	94.5	
Weight Status (BMI)									0.079
< 18.5 (underweight)									
18.5 - 24.9 (healthy weight)	119	36.6	28.7	44.6	324	45.7	40.6	50.9	
25.0 - 29.9 (overweight)	77	22.9	15.9	29.9	224	30.0	25.6	34.4	
≥ 30.0 (obesity)	95	37.3	29.2	45.4	146	22.5	18.4	26.6	
High blood pressure									0.993
Yes	67	24.3	16.6	32.0	177	24.4	20.1	28.6	
No	234	75.7	68.0	83.4	527	75.6	71.4	79.9	
High cholesterol									0.148
Yes	78	26.0	18.4	33.5	239	32.8	28.1	37.5	
No	224	74.0	66.5	81.6	465	67.2	62.5	71.9	
CHRONIC DISEASE DIAGNOSES									
Heart disease									0.203
Yes	18	3.6	1.6	5.6	40	5.5	3.4	7.7	
No	284	96.4	94.4	98.4	664	94.5	92.3	96.6	
Diabetes									0.001
Yes	31	16.1	9.0	23.3	44	6.3	4.0	8.5	
No	271	83.9	76.7	91.0	660	93.7	91.5	96.0	
Asthma									0.624
Yes	50	12.1	7.8	16.4	66	9.9	6.4	13.4	
No	252	87.9	83.6	92.2	638	90.1	86.6	93.6	
SOCIAL SUPPORT									
Experiencing loneliness (UCLA 3-item scale)									0.063
Yes	181	53.7	45.4	62.0	298	44.4	39.3	49.5	
No	121	46.3	38.0	54.6	406	55.6	50.5	60.7	
Receive needed social and emotional support									<.0001
Always/usually	121	38.6	30.4	46.7	445	58.6	53.5	63.7	
Sometimes	113	35.1	27.4	42.9	203	32.2	27.3	37.2	
Rarely/never	68	26.3	19.0	33.6	56	9.2	6.3	12.0	

Note: Data for "<18.5 (underweight)" are suppressed on A43b-A43e due to small cell sizes that lead to unstable estimates.

Table A44. Health-related quality of life among adult participants (n=8,250) in the Los Angeles County Health Survey (LACHS) 2023

	n	MEAN	95	% CI
NUMBER OF POOR MENTAL HEALTH DAYS IN THE PAST 30 DAYS				
LGBTQ status				
LGBTQ	1,006	8.5	7.7	9.3
non-LGBTQ	7,238	4.4	4.2	4.7
Gender identity				
TNB	114	9.9	7.7	12.1
Cis LGBQ	879	8.2	7.4	9.1
Sexual orientation & gender				
Cis gay men	400	7.0	5.7	8.2
Cis lesbians	129	5.9	4.2	7.5
Cis bisexual men	97	9.4	6.8	12.1
Cis bisexual women	253	10.6	9.0	12.2
Race				
LGBTQ POC	551	8.2	7.1	9.2
LGBTQ White	451	9.0	7.7	10.2
Economic status				
LGBTQ below 200% FPL	302	10.3	8.7	11.9
$LGBTQ \ge 200\% FPL$	704	7.6	6.7	8.5
NUMBER OF DAYS WITH DAILY ACTIVITY LIMITED BY POOR PHYSICAL/MENTAL HEALTH IN THE PAST 30 DAYS				
LGBTQ status				
LGBTQ	1,006	5.7	5.0	6.5
non-LGBTQ	7,244	3.2	3.0	3.5
Gender identity				
TNB	114	6.7	4.8	8.5
Cis LGBQ	879	5.6	4.8	6.4
Sexual orientation & gender				
Cis gay men	400	4.7	3.5	5.8
Cis lesbians	129	5.1	3.0	7.1
Cis bisexual men	97	6.5	4.0	8.9
Cis bisexual women	253	6.9	5.6	8.3
Race				
LGBTQ POC	551	5.9	4.9	6.8
LGBTQ white	451	5.5	4.4	6.6
Economic status				
LGBTQ below 200% FPL	302	8.0	6.4	9.6
LGBTQ ≥ 200% FPL	704	4.5	3.9	5.2

Table A45. Avoided health care providers in order to avoid poor treatment or for safety concerns based on sexual orientation or gender identity in the past year among participants (N=493) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		ALL I	_GBTQ			т	NB			CISI	LGBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	% 95% CI			%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Avoided health care provider to avoid being threatened or attacked because of SOGI in past year	493	100	100		54	100			434	100			0.238
Yes	26	7.5	7.5 4.6 12.2		6	12.9	4.9	30	20	6.8	3.8	11.9	
No	467	92.5	87.8	95.4	48	87.1	70	95.1	414	93.2	88.1	96.2	
Avoided health care provider to avoid unfair treatment because of SOGI in past year	493	100			54	100			434	100			0.044
Yes	47	10.9	7.6	15.3	14	20.7	10.4	37	33	9.4	6.1	14.3	
No	446	89.1	84.7	92.4	40	79.3	63	89.6	401	90.6	85.7	93.9	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A45 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A46a. Level of outness to health care providers among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by gender identity

		ALL L	.GBTQ			т	NB			CIS L	_GBQ		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Out to health care providers	490	100.0				100.0			432	100.0			0.001
None	68	21.1	15.9	5.9 27.5 6		23.4	9.2	47.8	62	21.0	15.6	27.6	
Some	85	16.1	12.3	21.0	5	6.0	1.7	19.4	79	17.4	13.1	22.8	
Most	43	7.1	4.8	10.3	5	8.3	2.6	23.7	37	6.8	4.5	10.2	
All	245	45.7	39.6 51.9		26	34.6	20.0	52.9	217	47.5	41.0	54.1	
Does not apply to me	32	7.1	4.6	10.9	8	25.3	11.8	46.0	23	4.3	2.7	6.9	
Don't know	17	2.8	1.6	5.0	3	2.4	0.7	8.6	14	2.9	1.6	5.4	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A46 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

		CIS LE	SBIANS			CIS G	AY MEN			CIS BISEXU	JAL WOME	N		CIS BISE>	KUAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95	% CI	n	%		% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Out to health care providers	63	100.0			204	100.0			117	100.0			47	100.0			0
None	6	5.5	2.0	14.3	11	5.5	2.8	10.5	31	46.4	33.6	59.7	14	36.5	19.9	57.2	
Some	16	25.2	12.6	43.9	17	11.4	6.2	19.8	42	26.8	18.0	38.0	4	11.4	4.0	28.5	
Most	3	2.3	0.6	7.7	20	10.1	5.8	17.1	7	3.3	1.4	7.4	7	7.7	3.2	17.4	
All	34	58.5	40.7	74.3	145	68.8	59.5	76.7	18	9.6	5.3	16.7	19	41.7	24.0	61.8	
Does not apply to me	3	7.4	2.2	22.2	5	1.4	0.5	3.8	14	9.4	5.1	16.8	1	0.8	0.1	6.1	
Don't know	1	1.1	0.2	8.1	6	2.8	1.0	7.7	5	4.5	1.7	11.1	2	1.8	0.4	8.1	

Table A46b. Level of outness to health care providers among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by sexual orientation

Table A46c. Level of outness to health care providers among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by race/ethnicity

		25.4 18 17.6 12 7.0 4.3 40.2 32 6.5 3.9				LGBTQ	WHITE		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Out to health care providers	272	100.0			218	100.0			0.063
None	50	25.4	18.5	34.0	18	12.8	6.9	22.4	
Some	50	17.6	12.5	24.3	35	13.3	8.5	20.1	
Most	25	7.0	4.2	11.5	18	7.2	4.1	12.3	
All	116	40.2	32.5	48.5	129	56.2	47.1	65.0	
Does not apply to me	21	6.5	3.9	10.9	11	8.3	3.9	16.9	
Don't know	10	3.1	1.5	6.3	7	2.2	1.0	5.1	

Table A46d. Level of outness to health care providers among participants (N=490) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC	W 200% FI	PL	LGB	IQ AT OR A	BOVE 200%	6 FPL	RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	95%	95% CI		%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Out to health care providers	141	100.0			348	100.0			0.036
None	32	31.7	21.5	43.9	36	15.4	10.1	22.7	
Some	20	12.9	7.6	20.9	65	17.9	13.0	24.3	
Most	9	4.4	1.8	10.1	34	8.6	5.6	13.0	
All	60	39.9	29.4	51.4	184	48.8	41.5	56.1	
Does not apply to me	14	8.4	4.3	15.6	18	6.5	3.6	11.4	
Don't know	6	2.8	1.1	6.8	11	2.8	1.4	5.8	

Table A47. Denied or provided inferior medical care because of sexual orientation or gender identity in Los Angeles County among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

		ALL L	GBTQ			CIS GA	Y MEN			CIS LES	BIANS		(CIS BISEXU	AL WOME	N		CIS BISEX	UAL MEN		RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever denied or provided inferior medical care because of SOGI	504	100.0							66												0.022
Yes	65	11.7	8.5	16.0	33	15.3	9.8	22.9	9	18.3	6.9	40.2	6	3.6	1.3	9.8	4	5.0	1.7	13.6	
No	439	88.3	84.0	91.5	172	84.7	77.1	90.2	57	81.7	59.8	93.1	116	96.4	90.2	98.7	45	95.0	86.4	98.3	
Most recent experience	65	100.0			33	100.0			9	100.0			6	100.0			4	100.0			0.124
Less than one year ago	26	37.8	22.9	55.4	12	28.2	13.9	48.9	5	66.9	19.5	94.4	1	9.8	0.6	67.6	3	75.2	7.0	99.2	
One to five years ago	25	37.4	23.5	53.7	13	43.5	23.0	66.5	3	29.4	4.7	78.0	3	35.7	4.6	86.4	1	24.8	0.8	93.0	
More than five years ago	14	24.8	12.3	43.7	8	28.3	10.6	56.7	1	3.7	0.3	34.7	2	54.5	8.5	93.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Note: Authors' calculations based on Table A47 appear in graphs in the report. Calculations are on file with authors.

Table A48a. Experienced verbal harassment while accessing health care because of sexual orientation or gender identity in Los Angeles County among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals and by sexual orientation

	ALL LGBTQ			CIS GAY MEN			CIS LESBIANS			CIS BISEXUAL WOMEN				CIS BISEXUAL MEN			RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE				
	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	959	% CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by health care providers because of SOGI	502	100.0			205	100.0							122	100.0			48	100.0			0.01
Yes	47	11.1	7.4	16.2	27	16.5	9.8	26.6	7	20.3	7.4	44.6	3	2.2	0.6	7.4	4	5.2	1.6	15.7	
No	455	88.9	83.8	92.6	178	83.5	73.4	90.2	58	79.7	55.4	92.6	119	97.8	92.6	99.4	44	94.8	84.3	98.4	
Most recent experience	47	100.0			27	100.0			7	100.0			3	100.0			4	100.0			0.503
Less than one year ago	13	29.5	12.8	54.3	5	21.3	4.6	60.2	2	45.5	4.9	93.1	2	63.4	0.7	99.8	2	57.4	3.7	98.0	
One to five years ago	15	30.9	15.7	51.7	9	26.7	10.3	53.6	2	38.7	4.1	90.4	1	36.6	0.2	99.3	1	13.8	0.4	87.7	
More than five years ago	19	39.6	21.5	61.2	13	52.0	24.7	78.1	3	15.8	2.0	63.2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	28.8	0.8	95.2	

Table A48b. Experienced verbal harassment while accessing health care because of sexual orientation or gender identity in Los Angeles County among participants (N=502) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, by economic status

	L	GBTQ BELC)W 200% F	PL	LGB	RAO-SCOTT CHI- SQUARE#			
	n	n % 95% CI				%	95%	6 CI	P-VALUE
Ever verbally harassed by health care providers because of SOGI	144	100.0			357	100.0			0.061
Yes	18	16.7	9.2	28.6	29	8.1	4.8	13.3	
No	126	83.3	71.4	90.8	328	91.9	86.7	95.2	
Most recent experience	18	100.0			29	100.0			0.086
Less than one year ago	6	42.9	14.9	76.4	7	14.6	5.1	35.2	
One to five years ago	7	35.5	12.4	68.2	8	25.8	10.0	52.1	
More than five years ago	5	21.6	6.1	53.6	14	59.6	33.6	81.1	

EDUCATION

Table A49. Experiences in Los Angeles County high schools reported by adults ages 18 to 24 (N=26) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey

	n	%	95% CI		
High school attended longest					
Public school in Los Angeles County	24	91.9	76.9	100.0	
Private school in Los Angeles County	2	8.1	0.0	23.1	
BULLYING, HARASSMENT, OR ASSAULT					
Any bullying, harassment, or assault at school					
Yes	13	53.2	28.5	77.9	
No	13	46.8	22.1	71.5	
Specific type of bullying, harassment or assault					
Bullied or harassed in person	11	48.8	23.9	73.7	
Bullied or harassed not in person: online, by text, notes, anonymous messages, or offensive material left at your locker or someplace else	7	33.5	8.7	58.4	
SAFETY AND BELONGING					
l felt unsafe at school					
Yes	4	23.6	1.2	46.1	
No	22	76.4	53.9	98.8	
I felt a sense of belonging					
Yes	17	67.3	44.6	90.0	
No	9	32.7	10.0	55.4	
SCHOOL-LEVEL INDICATORS OF LGBTQ INCLUSION					
LGBTQ student organization such as a GSA					
Yes	18	69.5	46.0	93.0	
No	6	23.5	1.3	45.7	
Don't know	2	7.0	0.0	19.0	
One or more "out" LGBTQ teachers, staff, or administrators					
Yes	9	40.8	16.3	65.4	
No	8	29.6	6.3	52.9	
Don't know	9	29.6	7.8	51.3	
LGBTQ symbols in school buildings					
Yes	6	22.5	2.0	43.1	
No	15	60.9	37.2	84.5	
Don't know	5	16.6	0.4	32.8	
Information about LGBTQ days (Pride, TDOR, National Coming Out Day)					
Yes	5	14.5	0.0	30.8	
No	18	81.1	63.9	98.3	
Don't know	3	4.4	0.0	10.3	
Information about LGBTQ people taught in the classroom					
Yes	4	12.0	0.0	27.7	
No	20	81.0	62.1	99.8	
Don't know	2	7.0	0.0	19.0	

	n	%	95%	6 CI
Information about same-gender sexuality integrated into a health or sexual education class				
Yes	9	31.4	7.8	54.9
No	17	68.7	45.1	92.2
LGBTQ guest speakers				
Yes	3	6.8	0.0	15.6
No	19	66.9	43.1	90.8
Don't know	4	26.2	2.7	49.7
One or more gender-neutral bathrooms				
Yes	6	20.6	0.0	41.3
No	18	66.4	42.3	90.5
Don't know	2	13.0	0.0	30.8
Count of LGBTQ inclusion indicators (0-8)				
None	3	9.8	0.0	25.1
One	9	44.5	19.7	69.2
Two or more	14	45.7	21.0	70.4

Table A50. Discouraged from pursuing educational opportunities in Los Angeles County because of sexual orientation or gender identity among participants (N=504) in the Lived Experiences in Los Angeles County (LELAC) Survey, totals, and by race/ethnicity

		ALL L	.GBTQ		LGBTQ POC					RAO-SCOTT CHI-SQUARE			
	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n	%	959	% CI	P-VALUE
Ever discouraged from pursuing opportunities	504	100			279	100			225	100			0.023
Yes	23	4.1	2.4	6.7	18	5.4	3	9.4	5	1.6	0.6	4.1	
No	481	95.9	93.3	97.6	261	94.6	90.6	97	220	98.4	95.9	99.4	
Most recent experience	23	100			18	100			5	100			0.205
Less than one year ago	6	30.3	10.9	60.8	4	28.4	8.1	64	2	43	4.3	92.6	
One to five years ago	7	17.8	7.1	38	5	14	4.7	35	2	42.8	4.5	92.2	
More than five years ago	10	51.9	26.5	76.3	9	57.6	27.9	82.7	1	14.2	0.7	79.8	