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Executive Summary

If marriage is extended to same-sex couples in Idaho, the state would see an economic boost as same-sex couples plan their weddings and their out-of-state guests purchase goods and services in the state. This study estimates the impact of wedding spending on the state’s economy and on state and local sales tax revenue.

- We predict that 1,021 in-state same-sex couples would choose to marry in the three years following the extension of marriage rights to same-sex couples in Idaho.
- The total spending on wedding arrangements and tourism by resident same-sex couples and their guests would add an estimated $6.8 million to the state and local economy of Idaho over the course of three years, with a $4.4 million boost in the first year alone.
- This economic boost would add $413,000 in sales tax revenue to state and local coffers.
- Spending related to same-sex couples’ wedding ceremonies and celebrations would generate 35 to 104 full- and part-time jobs in the state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC IMPACT OF MARRIAGE FOR SAME-SEX COUPLES IN IDAHO</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marriages by Same-Sex Couples</td>
<td>1,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedding Spending</td>
<td>$5,361,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-State Guest Spending</td>
<td>$1,486,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMBINED SPENDING</td>
<td>$6,847,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SALES TAX REVENUE</td>
<td>$412,910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

As of October 2014, 19 states, the District of Columbia, and the federal government formally recognized marriages between same-sex partners. Additionally, courts in several states, including Idaho, have held or suggested that withholding state-granted marriages to same-sex couples is unconstitutional in decisions which are on hold pending appeal. In this study, we estimate the impact of weddings of same-sex couples on Idaho’s economy over the next three years if the state were to recognize those marriages.

Our analyses are informed by the methodology that we’ve used in previous studies of the economic impact of marriage for same-sex couples in a number of other states. We utilized state-level data, as well as other relevant data sources, including Census 2010 and the American Community Survey, to estimate the impact of extending marriage to same-sex couples in Idaho.

In-State Couples

To determine the potential impact of marriage that we’ve used in previous studies of the economic impact of marriage for same-sex couples in a number of other states. We utilized state-level data, as well as other relevant data sources, including Census 2010 and the American Community Survey, to estimate the impact of extending marriage to same-sex couples in Idaho. According to the most recent Census in 2010, there are 2042 same-sex couples living in Idaho. We draw upon the experience of other states that have permitted marriage between individuals of the same sex to estimate the number of same-sex couples who might marry in Idaho in the initial three-year period. Our findings has been confirmed in several other jurisdictions that have more recently allowed same-sex couples to marry. Accordingly, we predict that 50% of Idaho’s 2042 same-sex couples, or 1021
couples, would marry in the first three years (Table 1).  

**Wedding Spending**

If Idaho grants same-sex couples the right to marry, we predict that the state will see a surge in spending on weddings by same-sex resident couples and their out-of-state guests. Our analysis predicts that allowing same-sex couples to marry would generate $6.8 million through direct wedding spending and wedding-related tourism in the first three years. We estimate that wedding spending generated by marriages of same-sex couples would create 35 to 104 full- and part-time jobs in the first three years.

**Direct Wedding Spending**

Following the legalization of marriage for same-sex couples, Massachusetts experienced a rise in spending associated with wedding planning, such as increased demand for catering services and hotel rooms. More recently, New York, Connecticut and Washington have also reported a rise in wedding spending after marriage rights were extended to same-sex couples. We expect that Idaho would experience the same economic benefit.

According to *The Wedding Report*, average spending on weddings in Idaho in 2012 was $21,003. Same-sex couples may receive less financial support from their parents and other family members to cover wedding costs due to persistent stigma, resulting in less spending than their heterosexual counterparts. Taking these factors into account, as in previous studies by the Williams Institute, we estimate here that same-sex couples spend one-quarter of the amount that different-sex couples spend on wedding arrangements. Accordingly, we assume that same-sex couples will spend an average of $5,250.75 per wedding in Idaho. Using this estimate, we expect resident same-sex couples to generate $5.4 million in direct wedding spending over the introductory three year period.

**Out-of-State Guests**

In 2008, a report based on *The Health and Marriage Equality in Massachusetts Survey* indicated that in Massachusetts, weddings of same-sex couples included an average of 16 out-of-state guests. We assume that the resident same-sex couples who celebrate their weddings in Idaho will have a similar count of 16 out-of-state guests at their ceremonies.

In order to estimate out-of-state guest spending, we utilize the per diem allowance for food and lodging by state as set forth by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA). The GSA’s per diem allowance rates are used by federal government agencies to reimburse their employees’ business-related travel expenses. We determine the overall state per diem, $91, by averaging hotel and food allowance by
county and calculating a weighted average by each county’s population. We assume that each of these guests will spend the average GSA per diem rate for meals for a one day visit. We further assume that guests will share a room and stay for one night.

Based on these studies by the Williams Institute, 16,336 out-of-state guests will spend an estimated $1.5 million attending weddings of same-sex couples in Idaho during the first three years after legalization (Table 1).

**Job Creation**

In Fiscal Year 2011, Idaho generated $1.77 billion overall in leisure and hospitality spending, which supported 26,757 jobs. Based on these figures, we calculated that for every $65,793 in leisure and hospitality spending in Idaho, one additional job is created. Taking into account our estimated amount of increased spending, we can deduce that new spending generated by weddings of same-sex couples would create an additional 35 to 104 jobs for Idaho residents.

The number of jobs that could be generated by the total amount of additional spending provides our upper-bound estimate for job creation (104). Sustaining these jobs over time would require the same amount of spending per year. Thus, we assume that one-third of these jobs will likely be sustained for the full three-year period. Based on this assumption, our low-end estimate is that 35 jobs will be sustained over the three-year period.

**Sales Tax Revenue**

State and local governments will directly benefit from this increase in spending through the state sales tax and a range of local sales taxes. The state of Idaho imposes an average 6.03% general sales or use tax on consumers. The state levies sales tax at a rate of 6.0% and localities set their own rate of additional sales tax, which is 0.03% on average. The combined wedding-related spending of same-sex couples and their out-of-state guests is estimated to be $6.8 million, as indicated in Table 1. Using these figures, we estimate that the overall spending boost will generate $413,000 million in sales tax revenue in the first three years same-sex couples are permitted to marry.

**Impact of Existing Marriages**

Currently, the data do not show exactly how many Idaho couples have already married in other jurisdictions. Therefore, we do not exclude these marriages from our analysis. However, we also do not include in our analysis same-sex couples who are likely to travel to Idaho to marry during the next three years. Data from other states show that many couples living in states that do not recognize their
marriages travel to other states to marry. For example, the most recent data released from Washington State reveals that out-of-state same-sex couples accounted for 17% of marriages of same-sex couples performed in the first year. A significant number of couples travelled from out of state, including from Texas (170 couples) and California (155 couples). Same-sex couples may be even more likely to marry out of state now than in the past because, as a result of the U.S. Supreme Court’s 2013 decision striking down Section 2 of the Defense of Marriage Act, the federal government now recognizes marriages validly performed in any jurisdiction for purposes of many federal rights and benefits.

Idaho is likely to experience a number of couples traveling from out-of-state to marry. Four states bordering Idaho – Montana, Wyoming, Utah, and Nevada – do not currently allow same-sex couples to marry. According to Census 2010 data, these four states have a total of 13,054 same-sex couples. A sizeable impact may occur if even a small number of those couples decide to travel to Idaho to marry. Thus, while we do not exclude from our analysis an estimate of Idaho couples who may have already married in other states, that number is most likely offset, if not exceeded, by the number of out-of-state couples who will travel to Idaho to marry, whom we also exclude.

Conclusion
In this study, we have drawn on information regarding marriage spending by same-sex couples in other states, along with wedding expenditure and tourism data from the state of Idaho, to estimate the economic boost if the state extends the right to marry to same-sex couples. Our calculations indicate that the total spending on wedding arrangements and tourism by same-sex couples and their guests would be approximately $6.8 million over three years, with a positive impact of $4.4 million in the first year alone. We estimate that total economic boost over three years would generate about $413,000 in tax revenue for state and local governments.

It is important to note that also allowing out-of-state same-sex couples the opportunity to wed will likely result in further economic gains for Idaho businesses. This impact would then translate into additional increased tax revenue for the state and local budgets.

Finally, we note that sales taxes only capture the most direct tax effects of increased tourism and wedding expenditures. Businesses and individuals also pay taxes on the new earnings generated by wedding spending, providing a further boost to the state budget.
Table 1. Idaho Wedding Spending and Tourism Figures by Resident Same-Sex Couples and their Guests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPENDING</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Marriages by Same-Sex Couples</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedding Spending</td>
<td>$3,433,990</td>
<td>$1,123,661</td>
<td>$803,365</td>
<td>$5,361,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-State Wedding Guests</td>
<td>10,464</td>
<td>3,424</td>
<td>2,448</td>
<td>16,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-State Guest Spending</td>
<td>$952,224</td>
<td>$311,584</td>
<td>$222,768</td>
<td>$1,486,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMBINED SPENDING</td>
<td>$4,386,215</td>
<td>$1,435,245</td>
<td>$1,026,133</td>
<td>$6,847,592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Tax Revenue from Wedding Spending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAX REVENUE</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Sales Tax Revenue (6.0%)</td>
<td>$263,173</td>
<td>$86,115</td>
<td>$61,568</td>
<td>$410,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Sales Tax Revenue (0.03%)</td>
<td>$1,316</td>
<td>$431</td>
<td>$308</td>
<td>$2,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SALES TAX REVENUE</td>
<td>$264,489</td>
<td>$86,545</td>
<td>$61,876</td>
<td>$412,910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Endnotes


3 Reports available on the Williams Institute’s web site under Economic Impact Reports, by state.


9 This calculation is based upon collected data revealing that 9,931 same-sex couples married in Massachusetts within the first three years after same-sex couples were allowed to marry. To estimate the total number of same-sex couples residing in Massachusetts in 2004 we utilized American Community Survey data and determined that there were approximately 19,550 resident same-sex couples at that time. This suggests that approximately 51% of couples married over three years.


12 Not all couples who will marry in the first three years will do so within the first year they are permitted. Using data from Massachusetts, we can predict the timing of nuptials over three years; 64% married in the first year, 21% married in the second year, and 15% married in the third year.


The per diem lodging rates are based on Average Daily Rate data from lodging properties that meet the GSA’s criteria for 2014 allowances. The per diem meal rates are based on data received from restaurants. More information about the GSA’s per diem rates is available at http://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/104208#4.
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