The Economic Impact of Extending Marriage to Same-Sex Couples in Maine

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Extending marriage to same-sex couples will boost Maine’s economy by $60 million over three years, which would generate increases in state and local government tax and fee revenues by almost $3.6 million.

This analysis estimates the impact on business revenue and state and local government revenues if Maine were to extend marriage to same-sex couples. We take into account the new legal landscape of same-sex marriage, which includes Massachusetts and Connecticut as two same-sex marriage destinations, along with the brief period in which California opened marriage to same-sex couples (June to November of 2008). Using the best data available, we estimate that allowing same-sex couples to marry will result in approximately $3.6 million in revenue over the next three years.

We base our conclusion on the following estimates:

- Judging from the experience of other states that have extended marriage and civil unions to same-sex couples, such as Massachusetts and Vermont, approximately half of the 4,644 same-sex couples living in Maine, or 2,316 couples, will marry in the next three years.

- In addition, approximately 15,657 same-sex couples from other states will come to Maine to marry. These couples will primarily come from New York, where they are likely to have their marriages recognized, and from states in the immediate Northeast region.

The weddings of same-sex couples will generate new economic activity for the state’s businesses:
• Spending by resident same-sex couples on their weddings and by out-of-state couples on tourism and their weddings will boost Maine’s economy by just under $60 million in direct spending over the next three years.

• Over the next three years, the direct spending by resident and out-of-state same-sex couples will create and sustain approximately 1,000 new jobs in Maine.

Direct spending from same-sex couples on weddings and tourism will generate over $3.6 million in new revenues for state and local governments.

• Spending on weddings by couples living in Maine, and tourism and weddings by couples from outside of Maine, will generate over $3.1 million in state and local sales tax revenues and lodging and prepared food tax revenues. This estimate is conservative in that it does not include increased revenues from many other taxes that are harder to estimate, such as Maine’s fuel tax, service provider tax, or taxes on indirect spending or earnings.

• In addition, the weddings from in-state and out-of-state couples will generate approximately $539,193 in marriage license fees for Maine’s counties.

Our analysis relies on the same methods that we used in previous studies of the economic and fiscal impact of marriage for same-sex couples on Washington, New Mexico, New Hampshire, California, Connecticut, Colorado, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Vermont, Maryland, and Iowa.
INTRODUCTION

In this study, we engage in a series of analyses to examine the effect of same-sex marriage on Maine’s state budget over the next three years. We take into account that on July 31st, 2008 Massachusetts opened same-sex marriage to out-of-state couples,1 Connecticut legalized same-sex marriage on October 10th, 2008,2 and California allowed same-sex couples to marry for a brief period (June to November 2008).3

Our analyses are grounded in the methodology that we used in previous studies of the fiscal impact of marriage for same-sex couples on Vermont4, as well as New Jersey,5 Washington,6 New Mexico,7 New Hampshire,8 California,9 Connecticut,10 Colorado,11 Massachusetts,12 Maryland,13 and Iowa.14 Findings from all of these studies suggest that extending marriage rights to same-sex couples would result in a positive net impact on state budgets.

Throughout this report, we estimate the economic impact of weddings conservatively. In other words, we choose assumptions that are cautious from the State’s perspective in that they tend to produce lower revenues given the range of possibilities. Even so, we find that the effect of allowing same-sex couples to marry in Maine is a gain of approximately $60 million to Maine’s businesses and workers, and $3.6 million in state and local government revenues over the next three years.
1. Number of Same-Sex Couples Who Will Marry

Maine Couples

In order to assess the economic impact of extending marriage to same-sex couples, we must first calculate the number of same-sex couples who will marry in Maine during the next three years. Not all couples choose to enter a legally binding relationship, even when afforded the option. At the very least, the decision is likely to include a weighing of the symbolic value of public and legal recognition of the relationship with the particular rights and responsibilities implied by the legal status of marriage. We draw upon the experience of other states that have permitted same-sex marriage or non-marital legal statuses to estimate the number of same-sex couples who will marry in Maine.

Massachusetts is the only state in which same-sex marriage has been legally permitted for over three years. Approximately 9,695 same-sex couples married in Massachusetts during the first three years they were allowed to do so, constituting at least 44% of Massachusetts’s same-sex couples as counted in the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey.

We are also able to gain insight from states that have offered civil unions and domestic partnerships to same-sex couples for over three years— statuses that, though different from marriage, offer some, if not most, of the state-level rights, benefits, and obligations of marriage. In California, there were 48,157 domestic partnerships as of April 2008; thus, approximately 47% of California’s 102,639 same-sex couples have entered into a domestic partnership. In addition, Vermont was the first state to offer "marriage-like" civil unions; there were 1,367 same-sex civil unions as of April 2007, meaning that about 56% of Vermont’s same-sex couples have entered into a civil union.

Based on the experiences of these states along with evidence that same-sex couples prefer marriage over civil unions or any other form of non-marital recognition, we predict that 50% (minus those few we assume to have married in California), or about 2,316, of Maine’s 4,644 same-sex couples will marry in the next three years. These couples would include many already registered under a domestic partnership and others seeking legal recognition for the first time.

Out-of-State Couples

When marriage becomes available for same-sex couples throughout Maine, we predict that a number of couples from other states will also choose to marry in Maine. When same-sex marriage was available in San Francisco, California for one month in 2004, couples came from 46 states and eight countries to marry.

Of course, Maine will have competition from at least two other states for out-of-state couples seeking marriage. In this analysis, we take into account the fact that California allowed both resident and out-of-state couples to marry from June to November of 2008, and that Connecticut and Massachusetts currently allow same-sex couples to marry regardless of residency. We estimate that in the first three years that same-sex couples are allowed to marry in Maine, 15,657 couples from other states will travel to the state to marry. We base our estimate on the following model.

First, we establish three categories: (1) New York, (2) Northeastern states, and (3) the remaining states.

(1) We predict that same-sex couples living in New York will have the most incentive to travel to Maine to marry based on the following assumptions: i) their relationships will be...
recognized by their state when they return home, and ii) an alternative to recognition of their relationships, such as civil unions or domestic partnerships, is not available in their home state. According to data averaged from the 2004, 2005, and 2006 American Community Survey, 48,761 same-sex couples live in New York. As in Maine, we assume that 50% of these couples will want to marry in the short-term.

First, we adjust this estimate for those New York couples likely to have married in California between June 2008 and November 2008.\(^{25}\) After subtracting the 632 New York couples that wed in California, 48,129 remain. We then take into account that some of these New York couples have already married in Canada.\(^{26}\) We therefore assume that 46% of the remaining couples, or 22,139 couples, would cross state lines to marry.\(^{27}\)

Finally, we recognize that many of these 22,139 couples will opt to marry in neighboring Connecticut or Massachusetts due to proximity, familial ties, or personal preference. To account for this competition, we use national tourism data to quantify the tourist attraction of Maine in relation to Connecticut and Massachusetts.\(^{28}\) After we adjust for the presence of Connecticut and Massachusetts as two other marriage destinations, we estimate that 5,247 same-sex couples from New York will travel to Maine to marry.

(2) For the rest of the country’s same-sex couples, we assume that marriages in Maine will not be recognized by their home state, either at all, or in the case of New Hampshire, not as a marriage.\(^{29}\) This situation will deter more couples from traveling to Maine to marry. However, as the one month in 2004 that marriage was offered in San Francisco demonstrated, many couples will travel to marry for symbolic and emotional reasons.

Maine is likely to be the destination of choice for at least some of those couples. We assume that travel costs, and the attraction of Maine, will be less of a deterrent for individuals from the Northeast: New Hampshire, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Vermont. According to averaged data from the 2004, 2005, and 2006 U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey, 58,521 same-sex couples live in these states. After subtracting those couples married in California, we estimate that 25% of the remaining couples, or 14,592 couples, will be willing to travel to some other state to marry. After adjusting for the tourist draw of Connecticut and Massachusetts, we estimate that 3,459 couples from the Northeast states will prefer to marry in Maine.

(3) For the rest of the country, we conservatively estimate that 5% of the couples (minus those married in California), or 29,329 couples, will be willing to travel to marry. We then subtract the couples likely to go to an alternative marriage destination state to estimate that 6,951 couples from the rest of the country will travel to Maine to marry.

We exclude couples from Massachusetts\(^{30}\) and Connecticut altogether, given that those states have already extended marriage rights to same-sex couples. We also exclude Rhode Island based on its proximity to Massachusetts and the fact that courts in Massachusetts determined, before the repeal of the “1913 laws” that that same-sex couples from Rhode Island could marry there.\(^{31}\)

We include states with domestic partnerships and civil unions because some individuals with these benefits would still choose to marry in order to receive the added social and emotional benefits that might be associated with marriage. In addition, in New Hampshire, marriage will also be recognized as a civil union without the need to re-register for that status.\(^{32}\)

In Table 1, we present the estimated numbers of out-of-state same-sex couples who would travel to Maine to marry. However, this estimate is conservative since we do not take into account couples who were not counted in the American Community Survey or any couples living in foreign countries who might wish to get married.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Same-Sex Couples</th>
<th>Married in CA</th>
<th>Adjusted for CA</th>
<th>Couples Who Will Marry</th>
<th>Tourism Adjustment</th>
<th>Estimated to Marry in ME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>48,761</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>48,129</td>
<td>22,139</td>
<td>0.237012987</td>
<td>5,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>58,521</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>58,369</td>
<td>14,592</td>
<td>0.237012987</td>
<td>3,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>603,627</td>
<td>17,056</td>
<td>586,571</td>
<td>29,329</td>
<td>0.237012987</td>
<td>6,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong> Out-of-State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>15,657</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAINE</strong></td>
<td>4,644</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4,632</td>
<td>2,316</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2,316</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong> All Couples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>17,973</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Wedding and Tourism Spending

The extension of marriage rights to same-sex couples will generate economic gains for Maine businesses, generating tax revenues for state and local governments. Weddings create economic activity as well as jobs, providing a boost to the economy. Forbes magazine projects that if same-sex marriage rights were granted nation-wide, same-sex weddings would generate $16.8 billion dollars in expenditures, adding significantly to America’s annual $70 billion wedding industry. Another recent estimate concludes that gay marriage will generate a billion dollars per year in spending in the United States.

For over twenty years, analyses of other states’ consideration of opening marriage to same-sex couples have argued that the first state or states to do so would experience a wave of increased tourism from out-of-state couples that would bring millions of additional dollars in revenue to state businesses. In the spring of 2004, the issuance of gay marriage licenses in Portland, Oregon and San Francisco, California provided support for these predictions. The actual experience of businesses in Portland and San Francisco demonstrated that allowing same-sex couples to marry does in fact generate tourism and additional revenue for businesses.

In fact, same-sex couples from forty-six states and eight countries traveled to San Francisco to get married during the one month that the city issued marriage licenses. Furthermore, California’s wedding-related businesses, in just the few months in which the state wed same-sex couples, experienced a significant boost.

Estimates of Massachusetts’ potential gain from out-of-state couples coming to the state to marry have exceeded $100 million. However, until 2008, the Supreme Court of Massachusetts had interpreted a set of 1913 Massachusetts laws to prohibit out-of-state gay and lesbian couples from marrying in Massachusetts unless they lived in a state, which has a public policy that would support the recognition of their marriages. With the recent repeal of the “1913 Laws,” the recent decision of the Connecticut Supreme Court, Connecticut and Massachusetts are poised to take full advantage of the same-sex tourism and wedding windfall. If Maine extended marriage to same-sex couples, it could share in that windfall.

In this section, we estimate the potential economic impact of weddings and tourism by same-sex couples. By allowing same-sex couples to marry—regardless of residency status—Maine’s businesses will experience a large increase in wedding and tourism revenue that will also result in an increase in state and local government revenues. Based on our analysis, we estimate that allowing same-sex couples to wed in Maine could result in $60 million in additional spending on weddings and tourism in the State over the next three years, creating approximately 1,000 new jobs and resulting in additional state and local revenues of $2.9 million. To put these figures in context, $6.7 billion tourist dollars were spent in Maine in 2006, supporting 140,000 jobs and generating $429 million in local and state tax revenues.

Out-of-State Couples

From 2009-2011, spending on tourism and weddings by same-sex couples would boost Maine’s economy by $60 million and create almost 1,000 new jobs

In order to estimate tourism expenditures derived from the 15,657 out-of-state couples that we estimate will likely marry in Maine over the next three years, we draw on Maine tourism data that indicate the average per person per diem spending for Maine tourists to be $160, and we assume the length of stay for same-sex marrying couples will be 3 days. Although the average tourist trip in Maine last 5.2 days, we conservatively assume that non-resident same-sex couples would spend just 3 days in Maine since the majority of couples will be travelling from the Northeast region. Our calculation then estimates that these couples will spend an
average of $962 on travel-related expenses during their stay in Maine.

In addition to tourism expenses, spending will be generated by the wedding preparations themselves, including items such as ceremonies, meals, parties, transportation, flowers, photographs, and other expenses. According to The Wedding Report, a wedding industry research group, the 2008 cost of a wedding in the United States was $21,814, a figure 24% lower than original estimates because of couples’ decreasing spending during the recession.44 (In this report we draw on data on wedding costs in Maine that are also adjusted for this recession-induced drop in spending.) We conservatively assume that out-of-state couples would spend less, on average, than in-state couples on weddings, given the challenges of planning a wedding from another state and the travel costs already considered.

Nonetheless, out-of-state same-sex couples would typically spend more than the average tourist, as they will likely purchase accommodations, meals, clothing, flowers, gifts, and other wedding-related items. We also expect additional spending by friends or family members who might accompany the couple, which is spending not included in the average wedding cost or in the estimates in this report. Therefore, we conservatively assume that the additional wedding spending by out-of-state couples will be one-tenth of the typical wedding expense, or $2,181.

This conservative estimate also reflects our assumption that many couples may have already had a civil union or other commitment ceremony and that same-sex couples may be less able to rely on the resources of their parents and family for wedding expenditures. We also use this conservative estimate to account for the fact that out-of-state couples may split their expenditures between Maine and their home state.

Thus, we estimate wedding and tourism spending at $3,143 per couple for all out-of-state couples marrying in Maine. Multiplying our estimate of out-of-state couples by this figure, we estimate that extending marriage to non-resident same-sex couples will boost the state economy by approximately $49.2 million over the next three years. Same-sex couples from New York alone account for $16.5 million of this spending.

Next, we estimate state and local tax revenues from spending by out-of-state same-sex couples. We use Maine’s sales tax rate of 5% and the state’s lodging and prepared food tax of 7%. We estimate that spending by out-of-state couples will generate more than $2.6 million in tax revenues.45

These taxes only capture the most direct tax impact of increased tourism; they do not include Maine’s other taxes, the state’s fuel tax, service provider tax, and any property tax revenues, nor do they include increased taxes from earnings. Businesses and individuals will also pay taxes on the new earnings generated by wedding spending, providing a further boost to the state budget.

**Maine Couples**

We estimate that 2,316, or roughly half, of Maine’s same-sex couples would choose to marry if permitted (See Section 1 above). The weddings of these in-state couples would typically be larger than those of out-of-state couples, given that they will be better able to plan a large wedding, and their friends and families are more likely to be local. However, same-sex couples in Maine may have already held ceremonies for their domestic partnership and may receive less financial support from their parents and other family members to cover wedding costs. Additionally, only spending that comes from couples’ savings would truly be “new spending” for the State’s businesses, rather than money diverted from some other expenditure. Accordingly, we assume that same-sex couples will spend only 25% of the amount that different-sex couples in Maine spend on their weddings ($18,562),46 or just over $4,641. This figure has been adjusted for decreased spending by the Wedding Report. The total for 2,316 couples would come to over $10.7 million in new wedding spending over three years.

We do not estimate any additional tourism spending from Maine couples. But couples
might invite friends and family members who live in other states to attend weddings in Maine, adding to tourism expenditures.

Using the Maine sales tax rate, this direct wedding spending by resident couples will generate an additional $537,370 in sales tax revenues over the three years.

Table 2 adds the spending by in-state and out-of-state same-sex couples to estimate a total of just under $60 million in wedding and tourism spending over the first three years, generating approximately $3.1 million in additional tax revenues for state and local governments.

Table 2: Expenditures on Maine Weddings and Tourism by Same-Sex Couples (First Three Years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Couples Marrying in Maine</th>
<th>Wedding and Tourism Spending per Couple</th>
<th>Total Spending per Group (millions)</th>
<th>State and Local Tax Revenues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>5,247</td>
<td>$3,143</td>
<td>$16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>3,459</td>
<td>$3,143</td>
<td>$10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other States</td>
<td>6,951</td>
<td>$3,143</td>
<td>$21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>2,316</td>
<td>$4,641</td>
<td>$10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$59.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. MARRIAGE LICENSE FEES

The weddings of both in-state and out-of-state same-sex couples will also generate revenues for counties through marriage license fees. The fee for a marriage license in Maine is $30.00.\textsuperscript{47} Table 3 multiplies this fee by our estimates of the number of resident and non-resident same-sex couples who will marry in Maine during the first three years. The result is that same-sex marriages will generate $539,193 from these fees.

Of course, some of the revenues of these fees will be offset by the costs of processing the additional marriage licenses. However, other states that have extended marriage, civil unions, or domestic partnerships to same-sex couples have experienced very small increases in administrative costs.\textsuperscript{48}

In addition, we do not include in our estimate additional fees that will be generated by couples who request plain or certified copies of their marriage license.\textsuperscript{49}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{} & \textbf{Couples Marrying in Maine} & \textbf{Marriage License Fee} & \textbf{Total Fees Generated} \\
\hline
Out-of-State & 15,657 & $30.00 & $69,480 \\
\hline
Maine & 2,316 & $30.00 & $469,713 \\
\hline
Total & & & $539,193 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Table 3: Maine Revenues for Marriage License Fees from Same-Sex Couples in First Three Years}
\end{table}
4. **Summary and Conclusions**

Table 4 shows our estimate of the total revenues for Maine during each of the first three years that same-sex couples are allowed to marry. We use the experience of Massachusetts to model the number of same-sex couples who will marry in New Jersey in each of the next three years. In Massachusetts, 9,695 same-sex couples married in the first three years: 63% of those couples married in the first year, 21% married in the second year, and 15% married in the third year. For out-of-state couples, we assume that the need to travel and plan a trip will space out their weddings more evenly. Accordingly, we assume that one-third of those couples will come to the state in each of the first three years that Maine extends marriage to same-sex couples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Summary of Fiscal Effects (First Three Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue Source</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue from Out-of-State Couples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue From Maine Couples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License Fees from Out-of-State Couples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License Fees from Maine Couples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total tax and fee revenue</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Wedding Spending From Maine Couples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Wedding and Tourist Spending From Out-of-State Couples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Economic Impact</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using U.S. Census Bureau data about same-sex couples and drawing on the experience of Massachusetts and other states, we estimate that during the first three years that marriage is extended to same-sex couples in Maine:

- Approximately 2,316 couples residing in Maine will marry.
- In addition, approximately 15,657 same-sex couples from other states will come to Maine to marry.
- Maine’s wedding and tourism-related business sectors will see an increase of almost $60 million in direct spending over the next three years.
- This direct spending will support over 1,000 new jobs in travel-related business in Maine.
• The direct spending from same-sex couples on weddings and tourism will generate $3.1 million in state and local tax revenues.

• In addition, the weddings of in-state and out-of-state couples will generate $539,193 in marriage license fees.
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