





OKLAHOMA

2020 Census Snapshot


Total same-sex couples	SS couples per 1K households	% of SS couples who are married
11,621	7.57	54.2%

Population density of same-sex couples in Oklahoma by Census tract




DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS



Race/ethnicity of same-sex couples (householder)





Gender of same-sex couples



Female SS couples per 1K households by county




Male SS couples per 1K households by county




FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS



Marital status of same-sex couples





Gender of same-sex couples by marital status




Married SS couples per 1K households by county





Unmarried SS couples per 1K households by county



Percent of same-sex couples raising own children



Percent of SS couples raising own children by county



TABLES

Counties with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by SS per 1K households

STATE RANK	US RANK	COUNTY	SAME-SEX COUPLES	SS COUPLES PER 1K HH	SS FEMALE COUPLES	SS MALE COUPLES	% RAISING OWN CHILDREN
1	144	Oklahoma County	3,374	10.63	1,820	1,554	17%
2	225	Tulsa County	2,546	9.54	1,475	1,071	19%
3	229	Cleveland County	1,070	9.50	651	419	23%
4	436	Comanche County	346	7.74	241	105	26%
5	557	Jackson County	70	7.15	46	24	33%
6	565	Canadian County	401	7.11	235	166	29%
7	644	Bryan County	121	6.74	76	45	21%
8	665	Ottawa County	79	6.66	50	29	35%
9	672	Washington County	140	6.64	92	48	22%
10	757	Logan County	111	6.32	69	42	27%
11	789	Delaware County	104	6.24	72	32	34%
12	815	Cherokee County	111	6.16	59	52	14%
13	835	Wagoner County	183	6.11	119	64	31%
14	902	Pontotoc County	89	5.86	55	34	21%
15	906	Pottawatomie County	157	5.85	98	59	29%
16	930	Kay County	102	5.81	67	35	34%
17	954	Osage County	101	5.75	60	41	20%
18	955	McCurain County	69	5.75	49	20	45%
19	977	Carter County	108	5.70	68	40	25%
20	983	Payne County	176	5.69	91	85	13%
21	986	Seminole County	51	5.68	30	21	24%
22	1,007	Rogers County	198	5.63	122	76	23%
23	1,011	Sequoyah County	86	5.62	51	35	30%
24	1,039	Garfield County	134	5.54	82	52	24%
25	1,050	Creek County	152	5.49	77	75	18%
26	1,135	Muskogee County	134	5.25	90	44	36%
27	1,152	Pittsburg County	90	5.19	56	34	29%
28	1,177	Okmulgee County	72	5.09	55	17	39%
29	1,266	Grady County	99	4.85	69	30	33%
30	1,328	McClain County	71	4.66	53	18	28%
31	1,347	Mayes County	70	4.60	44	26	33%
32	1,354	Stephens County	79	4.57	43	36	20%
33	1,378	Lincoln County	58	4.48	32	26	29%
34	1,445	Le Flore County	76	4.15	48	28	33%

Counties with <50 same-sex couples

COUNTY	SAME-SEX COUPLES	SS COUPLES PER 1K HH	SS FEMALE COUPLES	SS MALE COUPLES	% RAISING OWN CHILDREN
Caddo County	43	4.63	27	16	37%
Custer County	43	3.96	28	15	33%
Garvin County	43	4.23	36	7	35%
Woodward County	39	5.05	26	13	62%
Noble County	35	8.04	23	12	20%
Beckham County	34	4.23	21	13	24%
McIntosh County	33	4.15	22	11	21%
Murray County	31	5.72	21	10	32%
Texas County	31	4.20	22	9	42%
Choctaw County	27	4.53	21	6	22%
Blaine County	25	7.03	19	6	48%
Atoka County	24	4.76	8	16	12%
Adair County	23	3.25	17	6	22%
Pawnee County	23	3.80	15	8	13%
Hughes County	22	4.76	20	2	23%
Kingfisher County	19	3.38	11	8	42%
Nowata County	19	5.01	7	12	21%
Washita County	19	4.49	9	10	37%
Marshall County	18	2.95	7	11	39%
Okfuskee County	18	4.53	11	7	39%
Love County	16	4.02	10	6	19%
Haskell County	15	3.29	10	5	33%
Johnston County	15	3.79	10	5	47%
Pushmataha County	15	3.38	10	5	13%
Cotton County	14	6.34	8	6	64%
Tillman County	14	4.97	8	6	29%
Latimer County	13	3.43	10	3	8%
Beaver County	12	6.12	5	7	42%
Harper County	12	8.93	7	5	50%
Major County	12	3.89	8	4	17%
Harmon County	11	10.98	6	5	36%
Kiowa County	11	3.10	5	6	18%
Woods County	11	3.29	4	7	18%
Jefferson County	10	4.61	6	4	30%
Cimarron County	8	8.25	5	3	62%
Craig County	8	1.47	2	6	25%
Greer County	7	3.61	6	1	0%
Ellis County	5	3.16	3	2	40%
Coal County	4	1.89	2	2	0%

COUNTY	SAME-SEX COUPLES	SS COUPLES PER 1K HH	SS FEMALE COUPLES	SS MALE COUPLES	% RAISING OWN CHILDREN
Alfalfa County	3	1.58	2	1	33%
Dewey County	3	1.73	1	2	0%
Roger Mills County	3	2.15	1	2	0%
Grant County	2	1.14	1	1	50%

Cities with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by SS per 1K households

STATE RANK	US RANK	CITY	SAME-SEX COUPLES	SS COUPLES PER 1K HH
1	102	The Village	101	20.91
2	538	Bethany	96	12.14
3	712	Tulsa	1,920	11.19
4	758	Oklahoma City	2,930	10.93
5	802	Norman	544	10.74
6	1,083	Del City	85	9.62
7	1,155	Midwest City	227	9.35
8	1,213	Moore	216	9.17
9	1,295	Durant	64	8.90
10	1,379	Sapulpa	75	8.65
11	1,429	Lawton	284	8.51
12	1,523	McAlester	57	8.26
13	1,535	Tahlequah	53	8.25
14	1,550	Yukon	76	8.21
15	1,727	Stillwater	143	7.74
16	1,759	Ardmore	76	7.65
17	1,838	Sand Springs	59	7.47
18	1,875	Broken Arrow	315	7.38
19	1,972	Altus	53	7.17
20	2,060	Owasso	99	6.87
21	2,071	Shawnee	82	6.84
22	2,088	Bixby	71	6.80
23	2,141	Claremore	51	6.66
24	2,177	Bartlesville	99	6.54
25	2,278	Edmond	226	6.21
26	2,313	Muskogee	91	6.08
27	2,331	Duncan	56	5.99
28	2,422	Enid	110	5.57
29	2,461	Ponca City	53	5.31

METHODOLOGY

Data was compiled using the US Census Bureau's state, county, city, and Census tract counts for same-sex couples found [here](#). In this analysis, the authors combined incorporated places, independent cities, and consolidated cities to create the "city" category. Geographies listed as "independent cities" (such as Richmond City, VA) are included in both the "county" and "city" designation in this analysis. "Independent cities" are cities that are outside the jurisdiction of any county and are recognized as "county equivalents" by the U.S. Census Bureau. They are assigned a Federal Information Processing Series (FIPS) code. Despite their equivalence to counties, they are also still recognized as cities (as they often have comparable populations to cities of similar geographic size). Independent cities are only located in Virginia, Maryland, Nevada, and Missouri.

The householder is the person, or one of the people, who primarily own or rent the house. Same-sex couples are identified in households where householder describes their relationship with another adult of the same sex as either a "husband/wife" or "unmarried partner". In addition, we utilized the 2020 Census Privacy Protected Microdata Files (PPMF) to identify the race/ethnicity of the householder and the presence of the householder's own child/children.

Disclosure Avoidance Processes

In order to preserve the privacy of individuals in the PPMF data, 2020 Census utilized a differential privacy approach to inject noise into the data at smaller demographics and geographies, then conducted postprocessing steps to ensure that the noised data matched data in the public use tables. This top-down algorithm preserves data reliability at larger universe sizes while maintaining trust in data privacy. Due to the disclosure avoidance process, use caution when interpreting findings from smaller geographies or populations.

We include all values at the national, state, county, city, and Census tract levels. When ranking counties and cities by the number of same sex couples per 1,000 households, the authors only ranked counties with 50 or more same-sex couples. Cities with fewer than 50 same-sex couples were not listed. For our full methodology note, see [here](#).

AUTHORS

Jody L. Herman, Ph.D., is the Reid Rasmussen Senior Scholar of Public Policy at the Williams Institute.

Raksha Kopparam is a Research Data Analyst at the Williams Institute.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Kris Bicknell and Rachel Dowd for design and production of the 2020 Census Snapshots and the data interactive. The authors thank Lindsay Mahowald for data production and Neko Castleberry, Kim Chatham, and Nicholas Levie for thorough reviews. Finally, the authors thank Gary J. Gates, whose groundbreaking work with the Decennial Census serves as the foundation for this series of reports.

ABOUT THE WILLIAMS INSTITUTE

The Williams Institute is dedicated to conducting rigorous, independent research on sexual orientation and gender identity law and public policy. A think tank at UCLA Law, the Williams Institute produces high-quality research with real-world relevance and disseminates it to judges, legislators, policymakers, media, and the public. These studies can be accessed at the Williams Institute website.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law
(310) 267-4382
williamsinstitute@law.ucla.edu
williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu

RESEARCH THAT MATTERS

