





Same-sex couples	657	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households	2.9
Husband/wife	179	Same-sex "husband/wife" couples per 1,000 "husband/wife" couples	1.5
Unmarried partner	478	Same-sex "unmarried partner" couples per 1,000 "unmarried partner" couples	31.0


**Same-sex couples per 1,000 households
by Census tract (adjusted)**




0 100 Miles




All Same-sex Couples




Same-sex female couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)




Same-sex couples who identify as spouses




Same-sex male couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)




Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners



Percent of same-sex couples raising “own” children* by county (adjusted)




0 100 Miles




*“Own” children are never-married children under 18 who are sons or daughters of one partner or spouse (Person 1) by birth, marriage (stepchild), or adoption.


All Same-sex Couples



Same-sex couples who identify as spouses



Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners



Data and methodology

Data are compiled using the US Census Bureau’s state-level preferred estimates for same-sex couples found [here](#). Same-sex couples are identified in households where Person 1 describes his or her relationship with another adult of the same sex as either a “husband/wife” or “unmarried partner”. The Census Bureau preferred estimates adjust original Census tabulations reported in the Census 2010 SF-1, PCT15 to account for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

Adjusted data

The Census Bureau only released preferred estimates for states. County, city, and tract data used in this report are adjusted by the authors and do not represent official Census Bureau tabulations. Like the Census Bureau preferred estimates, the adjustment procedure accounts for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

Undercount

The adjusted figures do not take into account the possibility that some same-sex couples may not be counted in Census tabulations due to concerns about confidentiality or because neither partner was Person 1 in the household.

Go [here](#) for a complete description of the adjustment procedure.

Counties with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households

State rank	US rank among 1,142 counties with 50+ same-sex couples	County	Same-sex couples (adjusted)	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households (adjusted)	Same-sex male couples (adjusted)	Same-sex female couples (adjusted)	% Raising "own" children among same-sex couples (adjusted)
1	228	Fremont	89	5.78	48	41	53%
2	577	Laramie	153	4.07	92	61	43%
3	615	Albany	62	3.95	32	30	31%
4	753	Natrona	110	3.59	55	55	20%
5	795	Sweetwater	57	3.49	0	57	80%
Counties with <50 same-sex couples							
		Big Horn	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Campbell	16	0.94	0	16	0%
		Carbon	6	0.98	0	6	0%
		Converse	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Crook	7	2.27	0	7	0%
		Goshen	2	0.33	0	2	100%
		Hot Springs	7	3.35	6	1	39%
		Johnson	8	2.15	0	8	0%
		Lincoln	22	3.16	0	22	0%
		Niobrara	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Park	48	4.02	23	25	5%
		Platte	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Sheridan	24	1.91	0	24	0%
		Sublette	5	1.17	0	5	14%
		Teton	29	3.23	0	29	0%
		Uinta	8	1.00	0	8	0%
		Washakie	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Weston	4	1.45	0	4	51%

**Cities with 50+ same-sex couples
ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households**

State rank	US rank among 1,415 cities with 50+ same-sex couples	City	Same-sex couples (adjusted)	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households (adjusted)
1	1170	Cheyenne	102	4.01
2	1230	Laramie	50	3.76
3	1256	Casper	83	3.64

About the authors

Gary J. Gates, PhD is the Williams Distinguished Scholar at the Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law.

Abigail M. Cooke is a PhD candidate in the Department of Geography at UCLA and is affiliated with the California Center for Population Research.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Williams Institute Fellows Angeliki Kastanis, Laura Durso, and Christy Mallory for assistance with the Snapshots.

For more information

The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law, (310) 267-4382

<http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/>