





Same-sex couples	22,336	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households	4.5
Husband/wife	3,228	Same-sex "husband/wife" couples per 1,000 "husband/wife" couples	1.3
Unmarried partner	19,108	Same-sex "unmarried partner" couples per 1,000 "unmarried partner" couples	60.0


**Same-sex couples per 1,000 households
by Census tract (adjusted)**




0 100 Miles




All Same-sex Couples




Same-sex female couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)




Same-sex couples who identify as spouses




Same-sex male couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)



Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners




Percent of same-sex couples raising “own” children* by county (adjusted)




0 100 Miles


*“Own” children are never-married children under 18 who are sons or daughters of one partner or spouse (Person 1) by birth, marriage (stepchild), or adoption.




All Same-sex Couples



Same-sex couples who identify as spouses



Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners



Data and methodology

Data are compiled using the US Census Bureau’s state-level preferred estimates for same-sex couples found [here](#). Same-sex couples are identified in households where Person 1 describes his or her relationship with another adult of the same sex as either a “husband/wife” or “unmarried partner”. The Census Bureau preferred estimates adjust original Census tabulations reported in the Census 2010 SF-1, PCT15 to account for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

Adjusted data

The Census Bureau only released preferred estimates for states. County, city, and tract data used in this report are adjusted by the authors and do not represent official Census Bureau tabulations. Like the Census Bureau preferred estimates, the adjustment procedure accounts for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

Undercount

The adjusted figures do not take into account the possibility that some same-sex couples may not be counted in Census tabulations due to concerns about confidentiality or because neither partner was Person 1 in the household.

Go [here](#) for a complete description of the adjustment procedure.

Counties with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households

State rank	US rank among 1,142 counties with 50+ same-sex couples	County	Same-sex couples (adjusted)	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households (adjusted)	Same-sex male couples (adjusted)	Same-sex female couples (adjusted)	% Raising "own" children among same-sex couples (adjusted)
1	61	Pike	192	8.76	142	50	20%
2	78	Philadelphia	4784	7.98	3367	1418	23%
3	132	Dauphin	747	6.76	438	309	19%
4	298	Bucks	1241	5.28	715	526	9%
5	315	Lehigh	694	5.18	280	413	19%
6	332	Monroe	309	5.06	94	215	10%
7	352	Allegheny	2654	4.97	1454	1199	10%
8	418	Montgomery	1442	4.69	607	835	15%
9	456	Wayne	93	4.50	22	71	21%
10	473	Delaware	930	4.45	344	586	21%
11	487	Cumberland	415	4.42	143	272	11%
12	508	York	730	4.34	170	560	18%
13	588	Northampton	460	4.05	158	302	15%
14	625	Centre	227	3.94	119	108	3%
15	628	Luzerne	518	3.93	223	295	19%
16	633	Lycoming	183	3.91	47	136	13%
17	635	Chester	714	3.91	294	420	13%
18	652	Carbon	103	3.85	18	85	28%
19	660	Erie	422	3.82	160	261	17%
20	663	Mifflin	71	3.80	15	56	14%
21	697	Union	55	3.72	11	44	5%
22	702	Lackawanna	324	3.71	91	233	18%
23	712	Clinton	56	3.69	0	56	12%
24	728	Berks	564	3.65	200	364	16%
25	729	Lancaster	706	3.65	193	514	13%
26	783	Beaver	251	3.51	44	206	24%
27	793	Blair	182	3.49	79	103	10%
28	804	Columbia	92	3.47	21	70	2%
29	864	Crawford	116	3.30	53	63	11%
30	874	Washington	278	3.26	89	189	25%
31	876	Lawrence	121	3.26	26	95	14%
32	883	Tioga	54	3.25	17	37	18%
33	947	Lebanon	160	3.06	0	160	11%
34	948	Franklin	179	3.06	0	179	20%
35	953	McKean	52	3.05	22	30	4%
36	968	Adams	115	3.01	13	102	13%
37	1008	Cambria	167	2.84	0	167	13%
38	1014	Perry	50	2.82	0	50	14%
39	1017	Bradford	71	2.80	0	71	18%
40	1024	Mercer	129	2.77	0	129	9%
41	1027	Venango	63	2.77	0	63	36%
42	1029	Butler	201	2.76	0	201	6%
43	1049	Northumberland	105	2.67	21	84	22%

44	1064	Indiana	90	2.57	0	90	10%
45	1078	Westmoreland	381	2.48	0	381	8%
46	1089	Fayette	133	2.37	0	133	12%
47	1099	Schuylkill	140	2.32	16	124	6%
48	1126	Clearfield	65	2.01	1	64	8%
49	1132	Somerset	51	1.63	0	51	0%
Counties with <50 same-sex couples							
		Armstrong	50	1.72	0	50	36%
		Bedford	36	1.80	0	36	0%
		Cameron	7	3.02	0	7	0%
		Clarion	50	3.09	20	30	3%
		Elk	17	1.22	0	17	12%
		Forest	1	0.24	0	1	91%
		Fulton	14	2.31	9	5	7%
		Greene	21	1.41	0	21	0%
		Huntingdon	43	2.47	0	43	36%
		Jefferson	36	1.96	0	36	1%
		Juniata	24	2.49	16	7	23%
		Montour	29	3.86	3	25	44%
		Potter	11	1.54	0	11	0%
		Snyder	24	1.66	0	24	0%
		Sullivan	4	1.40	0	4	0%
		Susquehanna	37	2.05	0	37	0%
		Warren	25	1.40	0	25	24%
		Wyoming	32	2.82	12	20	0%

**Cities with 50+ same-sex couples
ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households**

State rank	US rank among 1,415 cities with 50+ same-sex couples	City	Same-sex couples (adjusted)	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households (adjusted)
1	9	New Hope	63	49.99
2	81	Lansdowne	68	14.80
3	104	Wilkinsburg	105	12.91
4	113	Harrisburg	257	12.46
5	225	Lancaster	205	9.43
6	248	York	147	9.07
7	320	Pittsburgh	1104	8.11
8	323	Philadelphia	4847	8.08
9	358	Allentown	330	7.72
10	401	Easton	69	7.44
11	437	Carlisle	55	7.22
12	444	Phoenixville	52	7.17
13	604	Norristown	75	6.28
14	632	Williamsport	72	6.16
15	639	Bethlehem	181	6.15
16	645	Reading	184	6.13
17	728	Erie	236	5.76
18	768	New Castle	55	5.62
19	862	Scranton	153	5.10
20	868	Chester	59	5.07
21	953	Drexel Hill	54	4.79
22	967	Wilkes-Barre	80	4.74
23	1090	Altoona	83	4.28
24	1315	Levittown	62	3.36

About the authors

Gary J. Gates, PhD is the Williams Distinguished Scholar at the Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law.

Abigail M. Cooke is a PhD candidate in the Department of Geography at UCLA and is affiliated with the California Center for Population Research.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Williams Institute Fellows Angeliki Kastanis, Laura Durso, and Christy Mallory for assistance with the Snapshots.

For more information

The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law, (310) 267-4382

<http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/>