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Executive Summary

Currently, same-sex couples are not allowed to marry in Rhode Island. However, should the state choose to allow those couples to marry in the future, the state would see an economic boost as same-sex couples plan their weddings and as their out-of-state guests purchase goods and services in the state. This study estimates the impact on the state’s economy and on some state tax revenue, updating part of our February 2011 study.

- We predict that approximately 808 in-state same-sex couples would choose to marry in the three years following an opening of marriage to same-sex couples in Rhode Island.
- The total spending on wedding arrangements and tourism by resident same-sex couples and their guests would add an estimated $7 million to the state and local economy of Rhode Island over the course of three years, with a $4.5 million boost in the first year alone.
- This economic boost would likely add $530,000 in tax revenue to state coffers, with an estimated $340,000 occurring in the first year.
- The conservative, low-end estimates included in this report account for Rhode Island couples who have entered civil unions in the state since July 2011. For our low-end estimates, approximately 708 in-state same-sex couples would choose to marry in the first three years, adding an estimated $6 million to the state and local economy of Rhode Island.
- This report does not include spending estimates for out-of-state same-sex couples that might travel to Rhode Island in order to marry, spending additional funds on wedding planning and tourism during their brief stay.

Introduction

If the State of Rhode Island grants same-sex couples the right to marry, we predict that the State will see a surge in spending related to weddings by same-sex couples who currently reside in Rhode Island, as well as an increase in tourism spending by wedding guests from other states. This increase in spending would benefit Rhode Island’s wedding and tourism-related...
businesses and would generate additional tax revenue for state coffers.

In this report, we estimate the size of the impact on Rhode Island’s businesses and on state sales tax revenue for the first three years that same-sex couples are allowed to marry. The figures in this report are based on the best available data from several sources. We draw upon data indicating average wedding expenditures in Rhode Island, state tourism reports, U.S. Census 2010 data on same-sex couples, along with data regarding marriage expenses by same-sex couples in other states.

Based on the analysis set forth in detail below, we predict that in the first three years that same-sex couples are permitted to marry in Rhode Island, the state’s wedding and tourism-related businesses would see spending rise by $7 million. As a result, the state tax revenues would rise by $530,000.

**In-State Couples**

In order to assess the economic impact of opening marriage to same-sex couples in Rhode Island, we must first calculate the number of same-sex couples who might elect to enter a marriage in Rhode Island. In Massachusetts, a little more than 50% of same-sex couples married during that initial three-year period. This prediction conforms to detailed data regarding rates at which same-sex couples have married in several other jurisdictions that have recently allowed them to do so.

Accordingly, we predict that half of the same-sex couples in Rhode Island would marry in the first three years. However, we must also account for the Rhode Island couples that may have married in other states. Rhode Island is situated adjacent to Connecticut and Massachusetts, and near other New England states that currently allow marriage for same-sex couples. We use data from a Williams Institute study of same-sex couples to help us estimate how many Rhode Island couples married elsewhere. Before New York and Maryland opened marriage to same-sex couples, data indicate that 21% of the same-sex couples in those two states had already entered into marriages in other jurisdictions. We assume that a similar proportion of Rhode Island same-sex couples, or 585 couples, are already married. Therefore, we estimate that a total of 808 marriage licenses will be issued to same-sex couples in the first three years following the opening of marriage in the State of Rhode Island.
Note that not all of these couples will marry within the first year that they are permitted to do so. We use data from Massachusetts to predict the timing and adjust our results throughout this report. Out of the total marriages of same-sex couples that took place in Massachusetts in the three-year period following 2004, 64% of marriages occurred in the first year, 21% in the second year, and 15% in the third year. Therefore, we predict that in Rhode Island, 64% of 808 same-sex couples would marry in the first year (Year 1), or 517 couples. An additional 21% would marry in the second (Year 2), bringing the total to 85% of 808, or 687 couples. And finally, all 808 couples would be married by the end of the third year (Year 3).

**Existing Civil Unions**

Another source of uncertainty about the number of couples who would marry comes from the availability of civil unions. In this report, we take into account the fact that couples in existing civil unions might have different spending patterns from couples that do not have that status. As we describe below, this adjustment procedure relies on the most recent civil union data available, and from various sources.

Unlike other states such as Illinois, the State of Rhode Island only offers civil union licenses to same-sex couples. It is reported that less than 100 same-sex couples entered a civil union in Rhode Island between July 1, 2011 and February 1, 2013. Unlike other states in which same-sex couples rushed to enter civil unions after legislation allowed for such licenses, Rhode Island reported only 14 civil union licenses in the two months following the bills passing. At the six-month mark, 46 same-sex couples had entered a civil union and, after one year, the total increased to 68 same-sex couples.

The wedding and tourism spending estimates provided in our report account for the less than 100 existing same-sex couples in civil unions by calculating a range of spending figures which include low-end and high-end estimates.

For our low-end estimate of spending, we conservatively assume that each couple currently in a civil union would marry or convert their union into a marriage without significant spending on a wedding ceremony and celebration. We subtract these couples from each of our three-year marriage estimates, applying the same percentages from the previous section. Thus, the total number of marriages by same-sex couples will drop to 708, with 453 performed in the first year (Year 1), 149 in the second year (Year 2) and 106 in the third year (Year 3).

For our high-end estimate, we assume that all couples currently in a civil union would marry
with a ceremony and celebration, spending the same amount as other couples. Thus, this estimate will include all 808 same-sex couples in the calculations for total wedding spending and guest tourism figures.

**Wedding Spending**

According to *The Wedding Report*, the average spending on weddings in Rhode Island in 2012 was $27,388.\(^{10}\) Due to societal discrimination, same-sex couples may receive less financial support from their parents and other family members to cover wedding costs, resulting in overall reduced spending. Also, only spending that comes from couples’ savings would truly be “new spending” for the State’s businesses, rather than money diverted from some other expenditure. To take these factors into account, as in previous studies by the Williams Institute, we estimate here that same-sex couples spend one-quarter of the amount that different-sex couples spend on wedding arrangements.\(^{11}\) Accordingly, we assume that same-sex couples will spend just over $6,847 per wedding. Using this figure, the calculated total for the estimated 808 couples sums to $5.5 million in additional wedding spending for the first three years. For our low-end estimate of 708 couples, the total wedding spending sums to $4.8 million for the first three years.

**Out-of-Town Guests**

In 2008, a report based on the Health and Marriage Equality in Massachusetts Survey indicated that Massachusetts same-sex weddings included an average of 16 out-of-town guests.\(^ {12}\) A Rhode Island economic monitoring report lists average per diem expenditures for visitors to the state as $64 per guest.\(^ {13}\) Data on hotel occupancy rates in Rhode Island indicate that the average rate in 2012 was $102 per room.\(^ {14}\) We assume that guests come in pairs, adding $51 to the daily expenditure figure of $64 per guest in order to include all guest expenses for a total of $115.

We assume that same-sex couples who are residents of Rhode Island will have a similar count of 16 out-of-town guests at their ceremonies as indicated in the Massachusetts survey and that each of these guests will spend the $115 average amount spent by Rhode Island tourists for a one day visit. The total estimate for out-of-town guests for weddings of resident same-sex couples is calculated to be 12,922 people, leading to additional tourism expenditures of $1.5 million. For our low-end estimate, we calculate a total of 11,322 out-of-town guests, thus lowering the additional tourism expenditures to $1.3 million.

**Revenue: Sales Tax**

The State government will directly benefit from this increase in spending through the state sales tax and the hotel tax. As indicated in Table 1,
we estimate that a decision by Rhode Island to allow same-sex couples to marry would result in approximately $7 million in additional spending on weddings and tourism in the state.

According to state statutes, the State of Rhode Island imposes a tax of 7% on the sale of most non-lodging services. Rhode Island also imposes a 6% additional tax for the renting of hotels and rooming houses. We use these tax rates when calculating our figures.

By applying the various tax percentages to the categories in Table 1, we estimate that the overall spending boost will generate $530,000 in tax revenue for the state in the first three years same-sex couples may marry. The results of our calculations are displayed in Table 2. We estimate a further breakdown distinguishing state sales tax and hotel tax revenue in Table 3.

Our low-end tax revenue estimate, which accounts for those couples already married in other states and those couples who entered a civil union in Rhode Island since July 2011, is $465,000.

Out-of-State Couples
The total spending estimates in our report are conservative in that we do not include out-of-state same-sex couples that may decide to travel to Rhode Island to marry.

A recent Williams Institute study indicates that same-sex couples will travel from out of state to marry if they cannot marry in their home state, as seen in the experiences of several states. For example, of the total number of marriages by same-sex couples during the first year following the Iowa Supreme Court decision in Varnum v. Brien, 866 couples who married were Iowa residents and 1,233 couples were non-residents. Media reports suggest that these out-of-state couples have generated notable tourism and wedding related spending in other states.

Rhode Island is situated on the east coast near several states where same-sex couples are able to marry. However, if Rhode Island opens marriage to same-sex couples and issues licenses to both in-state and out-of-state same-sex couples, the state may become one of several destinations for out-of-state same-sex couples from further west looking for the opportunity to marry within the United States.

Out-of-state couples would generate additional spending on wedding-related goods and services and, most likely, on tourist-related goods and services.

Further Impacts
The economic impact of travel and tourism by same-sex couples seeking to marry in Rhode Island is more far reaching than just the effects
on state and local sales tax receipts. For example, tourism expenditures are often directly related to employment opportunities in the travel industry. According to data provided by the Rhode Island Tourism Division on the economic impact of tourism in Rhode Island in 2011, travel spending directly supported 41,900 full-time and part-time jobs with earnings of $2.37 billion.\textsuperscript{19} Thus, we calculate roughly that for every $56,563 spent by travelers in Rhode Island, one job will be generated. If we use our guest tourism expenditure estimates, we conclude that extending the right to marry to same-sex couples can generate approximately 26 jobs in the travel industry in Rhode Island within the first three years.\textsuperscript{20}

**Conclusion**

In this study, we have drawn on information regarding marriage spending by same-sex couples in other states, along with Rhode Island wedding expenditure and tourism data, to estimate the economic boost if Rhode Island extends the right to marry to same-sex couples. Our calculations indicate that the total spending on wedding arrangements and tourism by same-sex couples and their guests would be about $4.5 million in the first year alone and $7 million over three years. A lower-end scenario suggests a slightly smaller spending total of $6 million in new spending. We estimate that either economic boost over three years would generate about $500,000 in tax revenue for the state.

Although we did not include the impact in our estimate, it is important to note that also allowing out-of-state same-sex couples the opportunity to wed will likely result in further economic gains for Rhode Island businesses. This impact would then translate into additional increased tax revenue for the state and local budgets.

We note that sales taxes only capture the most direct tax effects of increased tourism and wedding expenditures. Businesses and individuals also pay taxes on the new earnings generated by wedding spending, providing a further boost to the state budget.
### Table 1. Rhode Island Wedding Spending and Tourism Figures by Resident Same-Sex Couples and Guests

#### HIGH-END ESTIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPENDING</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Marriages by Same-Sex Couples</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedding Spending</td>
<td>$3,539,187</td>
<td>$1,161,296</td>
<td>$829,497</td>
<td>$5,529,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-Town Wedding Guests</td>
<td>8,272</td>
<td>2,720</td>
<td>1,936</td>
<td>12,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-Town Guest Spending</td>
<td>$951,089</td>
<td>$312,076</td>
<td>$222,911</td>
<td>$1,486,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SPENDING</td>
<td>$4,490,276</td>
<td>$1,473,372</td>
<td>$1,052,408</td>
<td>$7,016,056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### LOW-END ESTIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPENDING</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Marriages by Same-Sex Couples</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedding Spending</td>
<td>$3,100,979</td>
<td>$1,017,509</td>
<td>$726,792</td>
<td>$4,845,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-Town Wedding Guests</td>
<td>7,248</td>
<td>2,384</td>
<td>1,696</td>
<td>11,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-Town Guest Spending</td>
<td>$833,329</td>
<td>$273,436</td>
<td>$195,311</td>
<td>$1,302,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SPENDING</td>
<td>$3,934,308</td>
<td>$1,290,945</td>
<td>$922,103</td>
<td>$6,147,356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Tax Revenue from Wedding Spending and Tourism by Resident Same-Sex Couples and their Guests

#### Tax Revenue Estimates Based on HIGH END ESTIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAX REVENUE</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wedding Spending</td>
<td>$3,539,187</td>
<td>$1,161,296</td>
<td>$829,497</td>
<td>$5,529,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue from Wedding Spending</td>
<td>$247,743</td>
<td>$81,291</td>
<td>$58,065</td>
<td>$387,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-Town Guest Spending</td>
<td>$951,089</td>
<td>$312,076</td>
<td>$222,911</td>
<td>$1,486,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-Town Guest Tax Revenue</td>
<td>$91,883</td>
<td>$30,149</td>
<td>$21,535</td>
<td>$143,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL TAX REVENUE</td>
<td>$339,627</td>
<td>$111,440</td>
<td>$79,600</td>
<td>$530,666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Tax Revenue by Type of Tax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAX REVENUE</th>
<th>State Sales Tax (7%)</th>
<th>Hotel Tax (6%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total- HIGH END</strong></td>
<td>$491,124</td>
<td>$39,543</td>
<td>$530,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total- LOW END</strong></td>
<td>$430,315</td>
<td>$34,647</td>
<td>$464,961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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